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SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

1. In an anesthetized, paralyzed in vivo preparation, we recorded extracellular responses of 61 geniculate neurons (2 W, 25 X, 33 Y, and 1 mixed) to drifting sine-wave gratings of various spatial frequency, temporal frequency, and contrast. Our goal was to study the differential contributions to these visual responses of bursting caused by voltage dependent, low-threshold (LT) Ca2+ spikes and of purely tonic responses unrelated to LT spikes. Cells responding with LT spikes are said to be in the burst firing mode and those responding in a purely tonic fashion to be in the relay or tonic firing mode. We separated the total visual response into LT burst and tonic components by use of the empirical criteria set forth in our intracellular study described in the previous paper (Lu et al. 1992). A response component was considered to be an LT burst if its action potentials displayed interspike intervals ≤4 ms and if the first spike in the burst episode occurred after a silent period of ≥100 ms (or ≥50 ms when the neuron responds to visual stimuli at temporal rates ≥8 Hz). All other activity is considered to be part of the tonic response.

2. In addition to LT bursts, we recognized another type of burst response, the high-threshold (HT) burst. These also have clusters of action potentials with interspike intervals ≤4 ms. However, HT bursts, unlike LT bursts, lack a preburst silent period. HT bursts are part of the tonic response component and merely reflect the gradual decrease in interspike intervals that occurs as the cell becomes more depolarized and thus more responsive. Thus interspike interval is a necessary but insufficient criterion to identify LT bursts.

3. Visually evoked LT bursts were recorded among W, X, and Y cells. When evoked, LT bursts occurred in phase with drifting sine-wave gratings at a rate never exceeding one per stimulus cycle. In response to individual cycles of the visual stimulus, LT bursts could comprise the total response, a tonic component could comprise the total response, or an LT burst and tonic component could be mixed. When a stimulus evoked a mixture of LT bursts and tonic response components, LT bursts were always the first response.

4. Of the 61 cells tested with grating stimuli, 14 exhibited LT bursts and 14 did not. Those that did exhibit varying amounts of bursting. We occasionally recorded individual cells for a sufficiently lengthy period to observe them switch between the burst and tonic firing modes. We conclude that most cells have membrane potentials close to the level needed for LT spike deactivation and that small fluctuations in membrane voltage can switch them between these response modes. We also occasionally recorded several cells simultaneously and noted that different cells could be in different modes. This implies that whatever afferent pathways control response modes need not act globally on all geniculate neurons.

5. Two indexes were used to express the variation of LT bursting. For the first index, an LT burst ratio was determined for each cell by separating the total visual response into LT burst and tonic components and calculating the fundamental (F1) Fourier response amplitude for each. Once separated, we divided the F1 amplitude of the LT burst component by the sum of F1 amplitudes of the LT burst and tonic components. The other index was the percentage of stimulus cycles that elicited LT bursts. This percentage was computed by inspecting the response to each stimulus cycle and determining the presence or absence of an LT burst. The indexes were highly correlated (r = 0.93), and both showed that LT bursts represent a variable proportion of the total response from barely detectable for some cells to the vast majority of the response for others. The proportion of cells exhibiting LT bursts was slightly higher for Y cells (88%) than for X cells (64%).

6. Inspection of average response histograms indicated that the LT burst component added a substantial nonlinearity to the visual response. As a measure of nonlinearity, we used the second Fourier harmonic (F2) component of the response and computed an F2-to-F1 ratio. For every neuron tested this ratio was larger for the LT burst than the tonic response component. Thus LT bursts seem to distort visual responses by making them less linear.

7. To test for the possibility that the amount of LT bursting may vary with temporal frequency, we generated response-versus-temporal frequency functions for 17 neurons and response-versus-contrast response functions for 15 neurons. The extent of LT bursting seen in cells did not vary significantly with spatial frequency or contrast; that is, the proportion of LT bursting in the total response was no more prevalent at one spatial frequency or contrast than another. Thus LT bursts do not appear to provide a selective nonlinear response amplification for weak or less salient stimuli.

8. To test for the possibility that LT bursting may vary with temporal frequency, we generated response-versus-temporal frequency functions (at optimal spatial frequency) for 17 neurons. We found a progressive increase in the LT burst ratio with increasing temporal frequency.

9. As noted, we found that LT bursts occurred earlier in the response cycle than did the tonic response components. We used the response-versus-temporal frequency functions of 10 cells to examine whether these temporal differences reflect phase and/or latency differences. The latencies of the two components were virtually identical, but the LT bursts were evoked by an earlier phase of the stimulus than was the tonic response component. We thus conclude that the temporal difference between the LT burst and tonic response components was due primarily to phase and not to latency.

10. We conclude that LT spikes contribute to the transfer of visual signals through the lateral geniculate nucleus to visual cortex. They do not represent an obligatory disconnection of thalamic relay cells from their sensory inputs. Instead, they can provide a nonlinear amplification that permits hyperpolarized relay cells to signal cortex about the presence of a salient stimulus.
INTRODUCTION

Relay neurons of the lateral geniculate nucleus in the cat generate action potentials in one of two distinct modes: the tonic or relay mode, in which action potentials occur independently of one another; and the burst mode, in which two to seven action potentials cluster together as a high-frequency (250–400 Hz) discharge separated by a variable silent period (see the preceding paper, Lu et al. 1992; see also Crunelli et al. 1989; Hirsch et al. 1983; Hu et al. 1989a,b; Lo et al. 1991; McCarley et al. 1983; McCormick and Feaser 1990; Steriade and Llinás 1988). Burst firing is due to a barrage of action potentials riding the crest of a large Ca\(^{2+}\) conductance activated at hyperpolarized membrane potentials (Crunelli et al. 1989; Deschênes et al. 1984; Jahnseu and Llinás 1984a,b). It is called a low-threshold (LT) spike or burst because its threshold for activation is lower than that of conventional action potentials. Tonic firing occurs when suprathreshold excitatory postsynaptic potentials (EPSPs) produce a train of action potentials.

Our goal has been to understand how the relay and burst response modes contribute to the receptive field properties of geniculate neurons. As a first step, we used in vivo intracellular recording techniques to monitor visual responses of geniculate cells (Lu et al. 1992). Intracellular recording of visual responses allowed us to verify the presence and voltage dependency of LT spikes. This required that we conduct lengthy, stable intracellular recordings so as to monitor visual responses at many different membrane potentials. Although we recorded intracellularly from numerous cells, we often found it technically difficult to maintain stable recording long enough to fully assess the voltage dependency of responses to various visual stimuli. Thus our intracellular results are based on a limited number of neurons. Nonetheless, we learned from an analysis of the temporal pattern of action potentials recorded during the generation of LT spikes that we could readily distinguish LT bursts from tonic activity (Lu et al. 1992). Such a characterization enables the use of extracellular recording techniques to study role of LT bursting. With extracellular recordings, we can readily achieve the lengthy recordings needed for a more quantitative analysis of the contribution of the LT burst and tonic response component to visual processing. In this paper, we report the results of such an experiment.

METHODS

We recorded extracellularly from neurons in the A- and C-laminae of the cat’s lateral geniculate nucleus. All methods for surgical preparation, electrophysiological recording, and receptive field analysis were identical to those reported in the previous paper (Lu et al. 1992; see also Bloomfield et al. 1987; Bloomfield and Sherman 1988; Lo et al. 1991).

RESULTS

We recorded extracellular activity from 61 geniculate neurons. Of these, three were located in the C-laminae (2 W cells and 1 Y cell). The remainder were all located in the A-laminae. Among these, we identified 25 X cells, 32 Y cells, and 1 mixed cell. The mixed cell responded in an X-like manner on some tests and Y-like on others. We studied the responses of all 61 cells to drifting sine-wave gratings that varied in spatial frequency, temporal frequency, and contrast.

A main purpose of this study was to describe the contribution of LT bursts in response to visual stimuli. We were able to identify these bursts during extracellular recording by adopting the empirical criteria set forth in our intracellular study described in the preceding paper (Lu et al. 1992). We shall briefly reiterate these criteria here. A response episode is deemed to be an LT burst if its component spikes display interspike intervals ≤4 ms and if the first spike in the burst episode occurs after a silent period; this requisite silent period is ≥100 ms except when the cell is responding to stimuli at temporal rates ≥8 Hz, at which time the requisite silent period is >50 ms. All other response episodes are considered to be part of the tonic response component. We recognize a different type of burst, the “HT burst,” which is distinguished by interspike intervals <4 ms and a preceding silent period of ≤100 ms, or ≤50 ms in response to temporal frequencies ≥8 Hz. The HT burst is part of the tonic response component (Lu et al. 1992). As noted in the preceding paper (Lu et al. 1992), the LT burst is due to a barrage of action potentials riding the crest of a large Ca\(^{2+}\) conductance activated from hyperpolarized membrane potentials, whereas the HT burst reflects the gradual decrease in interspike intervals as the cell becomes more depolarized and thus more responsive. The LT burst is said to be “low threshold” because it is elicited at relatively hyperpolarized membrane potentials, whereas the HT burst is “high threshold” because it requires more depolarization to be seen.

Examples of LT and HT bursts

LT BURSTS. Figure 1 shows a typical pattern of LT bursting in response to a grating drifting at or near spatial and temporal frequencies that maximally excite the cell. When evoked, LT bursts occur in phase with the visual stimulus. We never saw more than one LT burst evoked per stimulus cycle, although the lowest temporal frequency we used was 1.5 Hz, and in our prior intracellular study (Lu et al. 1992), we did observe the rare appearance of a second LT burst in response to a lower temporal frequency (1.09 Hz). In response to individual cycles of the visual stimulus, an LT burst could comprise the total response (see responses marked with asterisks in Fig. 1), a tonic component could comprise the total response (not shown in Fig. 1), or an LT burst and tonic component could be mixed. As exemplified by Fig. 1, when a stimulus cycle evokes a mixture of LT bursts and tonic activity, LT bursts are always the first response. This pattern is also illustrated by examining average response histograms. Figure 2 presents such histograms for typical X and Y cells, and separately shown are the total responses (Fig. 2, A and D), the LT bursts (Fig. 2, B and E), and the tonic response components (Fig. 2, C and F). Note that LT bursts dominate early in the response cycle and that the tonic component appears later (see also below).

HT BURSTS. Not all geniculate neurons respond to gratings stimuli with LT bursts. Neurons that fail to show LT bursting typically have a higher rate of discharge, reflecting relative depolarization, and often a substantial HT burst component is evident in their response. Figure 3 provides examples of an X and a Y cell that respond in this fashion.
FIG. 1. Extracellular records showing typical LT burst responses of an X and a Y cell to drifting sine-wave grating stimuli; contrast changes in the grating are shown at bottom left. Left pair of traces: responses at a compressed time base; each asterisk denotes the presence of an LT burst. Right pair of traces: expanded time base of the 3rd response cycle. These LT bursts are defined as 2–7 action potentials with interspike intervals \( \leq 4 \) ms preceded by a silent period \( \geq 100 \) ms. Note that LT bursts are visually evoked and synchronized to the drifting grating at 1 per stimulus cycle. During some stimulus cycles, the response is a mixture of LT bursts and tonic activity. LT bursts are always the initial response and tonic activity occurs later. Calibration bars: A left, 500 ms and 3.0 mV; A right, 20 ms and 3.0 mV; B left, 500 ms and 1.5 mV; B right, 20 ms and 1.5 mV.

Because neither cell of Fig. 3 displays LT bursts, the total response (Fig. 3, A and D) is tonic, but it is divided into HT bursts (Fig. 3, B and E) and non-HT responses (i.e., interspike intervals \( > 4 \) ms; Fig. 3, C and F). In contrast to LT bursting (see Fig. 2), the HT burst is not shifted in time relative to the non-HT response. Because none of our observations in this study or the preceding paper (Lu et al. 1992) suggest that the HT burst represents anything more than a portion of the tonic response exhibiting a high rate of firing, the remainder of this paper concentrates on the LT burst.

Variations in extent of LT bursting within and among cells

As noted above, not every cell in our sample displayed LT burst responses, and those that did exhibited varying amounts of LT burstiness. This presumably reflects variations in mean membrane potential, because LT bursting is voltage dependent (Crunelli et al. 1989; Deschenes et al. 1984; Jahnsen and Llinas 1984a,b; Lo et al. 1991), and all of the cells in our prior intracellular study displayed visually evoked LT spikes when properly hyperpolarized (Lu et al. 1992). We occasionally observed a phenomenon consistent with this explanation: some cells that we recorded for an extensive period showed apparently spontaneous switching between the relay and burst response modes. Figure 4 shows an example. Early in the recording period, the cell exhibited a relay mode of firing with a response that had both LT burst and tonic response components (Fig. 4A).

Not only did we note individual neurons that seemed to switch between the relay and burst response modes, but we also occasionally recorded simultaneously from several distinguishable neurons that were not in the same response mode. Figure 5 shows a simultaneous recording from three discriminable neurons responding to the drifting grating. One of the cells responded with LT bursts, whereas the other two responded tonically. This means that whatever process switches cells between their LT burst and tonic response components does not necessarily switch all cells simultaneously. There is evidently more local and precise control of this process of response mode switching.

Measure of extent of LT bursting

We have computed two different indexes to express the variation on LT bursting levels, and these are illustrated in Fig. 6.

LT BURST RATIO. One index is the LT burst ratio. To compute this, we first separate the total visual response into LT burst and tonic components (see above) and determine the

FIG. 2. Average response histograms to 1 cycle of a drifting grating for an X and a Y cell. Both cells displayed LT bursts, and neither showed HT bursts. Y cell is also illustrated in Fig. 1 B, A: total response for the X cell. This includes both the LT burst and tonic response components shown in B and C. B: LT burst component for the X cell. C: tonic response component for the X cell. D: total response for the Y cell. This includes both the LT bursts and tonic response component shown in E and F. E: LT burst component for the Y cell. F: tonic response component for the Y cell.
fundamental Fourier (F1) response amplitude for each. The LT burst ratio is then calculated by dividing the F1 amplitude of LT burst component by the sum of the F1 amplitudes of the LT burst and tonic components; the ratio thus can vary between 0 and 1. Because of the offset in phase between the LT burst and tonic response components (see Fig. 2 and see also below), the F1 amplitude of the total response is generally slightly less than the sum of F1 amplitudes of the LT burst and tonic response components.

Figure 6A shows the distribution of LT burst ratios for our sample of 61 cells and reflects the responses to optimal spatial and temporal frequencies of the drifting grating. Of the 61 cells tested, 47 (77%) exhibited LT bursts and 14 (23%) did not. Among the former, LT burst responses represented a variable proportion of the total response from barely detectable for some cells to the vast majority of the response for others.

On this measure of LT burst ratios, we found only slight differences between X and Y cells. For instance, although the proportion of cells exhibiting LT bursts was higher for Y cells than for X cells (29 of 33 for Y cells vs. 16 of 25 for X cells), this difference does not quite reach statistical significance (0.1 > P > 0.05 on a χ²-test). Despite failure to reach statistical significance, this result is not inconsistent with an earlier study indicating that Y cells are more prone to LT bursting than arc X cells (Lo et al. 1991).
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FIG. 6. Distribution of LT burstiness among our sample of 61 neurons. Results reflect responses to gratings drifted at near optimal spatial and temporal frequencies. A: distribution of burstiness expressed as an LT burst ratio (see text for details). B: distribution of burstiness expressed as a percentage of stimulus cycles that elicit LT bursts. C: scatter plot showing the relationship between a neuron’s LT burst ratio and percentage of stimulus cycles with LT bursts.

LT BURSTS PER CYCLE. The other index of burstiness is a determination of the percentage of stimulus cycles that elicit an LT burst. We compute this by simply inspecting the response to each stimulus cycle and determining the presence or absence of an LT burst. Figure 6B illustrates this distribution, which is markedly similar to the distribution of LT burst ratios of Fig. 6A. This similarity is further supported by Fig. 6C, which depicts for each cell showing LT bursts the relationship between its LT burst ratio and percentage of stimulus cycles with LT bursts.

Spatial tuning of LT bursts

The results discussed above are based on the responses at optimal spatial and temporal frequencies. Because the LT burst is activated from hyperpolarized membrane potentials, it represents a sort of nonlinear amplification that enables a hyperpolarized cell to respond to visual stimuli. This, in turn, suggests the possibility that the extent of LT bursting relative to the tonic response component might vary with the strength of visual stimulation. One way of varying the strength of stimulation is to systematically vary spatial frequency, because geniculate neurons respond better to some frequencies than to others. Therefore we obtained spatial tuning functions in response to gratings drifted at an optimal temporal frequency for a subset of 35 neurons (11 X, 21 Y, 2 W, and 1 mixed). These measured the Fl amplitude as a function of spatial frequency, and the F2 amplitude was separately computed for the LT burst and tonic response components. Of the 35 cells tested, 30 showed LT bursting at one or more spatial frequencies. Examples are shown in Fig. 8.

Figure 8 shows that, when present, LT bursts exhibit roughly the same spatial tuning as does the total response. This is more quantitatively considered in Figs. 9 and 10. For each cell displaying LT bursting, Fig. 9 shows the scatter plot of the LT burst ratio at spontaneous activity versus that at the optimal spatial frequency. Although there is a correlation between these variables (r = +0.48, P < 0.01), signifying that a cell strongly bursting during spontaneous activity tends to do so during visual stimulation, there was a nonlinearity of LT burst and tonic response components

Although it is difficult to see from the examples shown in Fig. 2, we noted that often the LT burst produced a prominent brief peak of responsiveness, whereas the profile of the tonic response component more faithfully matched the sinusoidal shape of the stimulus. This would suggest that the LT burst results in a less linear response than does the tonic response component. Figure 7 documents this point for the 47 geniculate neurons showing LT burst responses; the data are taken from responses to gratings drifted at the optimal spatial and temporal frequencies. As before, we have separated the total visual response into LT burst and tonic components. To characterize the nonlinearity present in each response component, we have computed an F2-to-F1 ratio similar to the nonlinearity index of Hochstein and Shapley (1976). This is simply the ratio of the amplitude of the F2 component of the response to that of the F1 component: the F1 amplitude represents the linear component, whereas the F2 amplitude represents a major nonlinear distortion present in the overall response. As shown in Fig. 7, every neuron shows a higher F2-to-F1 ratio for LT bursts than for tonic response components.

Linearity of LT burst and tonic response components

Although it is difficult to see from the examples shown in Fig. 2, we noted that often the LT burst produced a prominent brief peak of responsiveness, whereas the profile of the tonic response component more faithfully matched the sinusoidal shape of the stimulus. This would suggest that the LT burst results in a less linear response than does the tonic response component. Figure 7 documents this point for the 47 geniculate neurons showing LT burst responses; the data are taken from responses to gratings drifted at the optimal spatial and temporal frequencies. As before, we have separated the total visual response into LT burst and tonic components. To characterize the nonlinearity present in each response component, we have computed an F2-to-F1 ratio similar to the nonlinearity index of Hochstein and Shapley (1976). This is simply the ratio of the amplitude of the F2 component of the response to that of the F1 component: the F1 amplitude represents the linear component, whereas the F2 amplitude represents a major nonlinear distortion present in the overall response. As shown in Fig. 7, every neuron shows a higher F2-to-F1 ratio for LT bursts than for its tonic response components.
Effects of stimulus contrast on LT bursting

Another way to explore the effects of varying stimulus strength on the LT burst ratio would be to vary stimulus contrast in gratings drifted at optimal spatial and temporal frequencies. To do this, we generated response-versus-contrast functions for 15 of the geniculate neurons, 12 of which (1 W, 3 X, and 8 Y) exhibited LT bursting; as above, we separately calculated the F1 amplitudes for the total response and LT burst. Examples of these functions are shown in Fig. 11. The response-versus-contrast functions for the LT burst and total response look quite similar, each saturating at contrasts >40%.

We investigated this more systematically for all 12 cells showing LT bursting. First, in individual cells, we compared LT burst ratios at high versus low contrast and found no tendency for bursting to be stronger during visual stimulation than spontaneous activity (P > 0.1 on both a Mann-Whitney U test and a \( \chi^2 \)-test). This was also true when we compared responses at the optimal spatial frequency and various nonoptimal frequencies (not illustrated). This implies that there is no spatial tuning for LT burst ratios. Figure 10 summarizes the pattern of LT burst ratios as a function of spatial frequency for all cells that exhibited LT bursts and from which spatial tuning data were obtained. To construct Fig. 10, we normalized the spatial tuning of the LT burst ratio for each cell by setting the maximum ratio seen in that cell to 1 and proportionately adjusting the ratios at other spatial frequencies. Figure 10 shows the means ± SE of these values for all cells (Fig. 10 A), the subset of X cells (Fig. 10 B), and the subset of Y cells (Fig. 10 C). That these curves are fairly flat supports the view that the extent of LT bursting seen in cells does not vary significantly with spatial frequency. LT bursts thus do not appear to become relatively more prominent in the responses to suboptimal stimuli, and they do not appear to distort the spatial tuning properties of geniculate neurons.
no systematic difference \((P > 0.1\) on a paired \(t\) test). Furthermore, we plotted the means ± SE of the normalized LT burst ratios as a function of stimulus contrast, using the same normalization procedure as described above for Fig. 10. Figure 12 shows this relationship. Except perhaps for zero contrast, which represents spontaneous activity, there is virtually no change in the normalized LT burst ratio with contrast. This result is consistent with that described above for spatial tuning: LT bursts do not appear to provide a selective, nonlinear response enhancement to weak visual stimuli.

**Temporal tuning of LT bursts**

There are suggestions that, once begun, LT bursting may become cyclic and regenerative with a resonant frequency of perhaps 8 Hz or lower (e.g., Curró Dossi et al. 1992; Deschenes et al. 1984; Jahnsen and Llinás 1984a,b; Leresche et al. 1991; McCormick and Pape 1990; Roy et al. 1984; Steriade et al. 1991). Also, because LT bursts derive from the activation of a \(Ca^{2+}\) conductance that displays a prominent time dependency as well as a voltage dependency, LT bursting might vary with temporal frequency differently than might the tonic response component. To test this systematically for 17 neurons, 13 of which (3 X and 10 Y) exhibited LT bursting, we collected responses evoked by gratings drifted at the optimal spatial frequency but at various temporal frequencies. We then separately plotted the F1 amplitude at each temporal frequency for the total response and LT burst. Examples are shown in Fig. 13.

Although LT bursting was generally seen at all temporal frequencies that elicited responses, there was a tendency for higher temporal frequencies to elicit relatively more LT bursting (see Fig. 13). We examined this more systematically for all 13 cells showing LT bursting by plotting the means ± SE of the normalized LT burst ratios as a function of temporal frequency, using the same normalization procedure as described above for Figs. 7 and 10. This analysis is summarized by Fig. 14, which shows the progressive increase in LT burst ratios with increasing temporal frequency. At the highest temporal frequencies tested, virtually the entire response is comprised of LT bursts.

**Phase and latency relationships of the LT burst and tonic response components**

As noted above (see Figs. 1 and 2), LT bursts seem to occur earlier in the response cycle than does the tonic response component. These temporal differences in response could be due to phase and/or latency differences; that is, the earlier appearance of the LT burst could result from a response to an earlier phase of the stimulus cycle and/or from a response that simply has a shorter latency. From the temporal tuning data we obtained, phase and latency can be distinguished by plotting the relative phase of the F1 response versus temporal frequency: this produces a fairly linear function whose slope provides the estimate of latency and whose intercept on the ordinate provides the estimate of phase.

We performed this response phase-versus-temporal frequency analysis on a subset of our cells for which temporal tuning was obtained. We were limited to a subset, because we needed to separately analyze the total response and LT

---

**FIG. 9.** Scatter plot of LT burst ratios (see text for details) during spontaneous activity and during response to optimal spatial frequency. Dashed line has a slope of 1, and W, X, and Y cells are separately illustrated.
burst component as above, and the line fitting required that
the cell provide reliable LT bursting to at least three tem-
poral frequencies. Ten of our sample (7 X and 8 Y) met
these criteria. We computed the relative response phase of
the LT burst and tonic response components and arbi-
trarily set the phase of the LT burst to zero. The relative
phase was straightforward to determine, because the re-
sponse amplitude and phase of each component was calcu-
lated in response to the same stimulus; absolute phase,
which would be more difficult to estimate in response to a
drifting grating, was not essential to our analysis.

Figure 15 shows examples of this analysis performed on
four representative cells. We used an algorithm that fit the
points to a straight line with weighting proportional to re-
sponse amplitude, so that more robust responses had more
effect on the derived slope and intercept values. When com-
puted in this manner, for each cell and each response com-
ponent, the regression coefficient exceeded 0.99. This indi-
cates highly reliable measures for phase and latency mea-
sures, which are the intercept on the ordinate and the slope,
respectively. As Fig. 15 illustrates for each cell, the phase-
versus-temporal frequency functions, one for LT bursts and
one for the tonic response component, were parallel, but
that of the tonic response component was displaced slightly
upward. This means that the actual latencies of the two
components were similar, but that the LT burst was evoked
by an earlier phase of the stimulus than was the tonic re-
sponse component.

The examples shown in Fig. 15 are representative for the
sample of 10 cells that were analyzed for phase and latency. For instance, the derived latency values for the LT burst components are 53.5 ± 10.2 ms (mean ± SD) and for the tonic response components are 53.5 ± 8.7 ms. A pairwise comparison for each cell shows that the latency differences between components were not statistically significant (0.9 ± 3.2 ms; \( P > 0.1 \) on a paired t test). In contrast, as shown in Fig. 16A, the phase of the LT burst was consistently advanced relative to that of the tonic response component for every cell (\( P < 0.001 \) on a \( \chi^2 \)-test). For the cell sample illustrated in Fig. 16A, the relative phase advance for the LT bursts was 0.86 ± 0.34 radians.

To put the lack of latency difference between response components in perspective, the latency difference seen between LT burst and tonic response components (0.9 ± 3.2 ms) at a temporal frequency of 8 Hz, which is a near-optimal frequency for all cells (see Fig. 13), would be equivalent to a phase difference of only 0.04 ± 0.16 radians. In contrast, the phase advance of the LT burst over the tonic response component (0.86 ± 0.34 radians) corresponds at 8 Hz to a temporal advance of 17.1 ± 6.8 ms; at 4 Hz, these values of temporal advance would be doubled. Because we found no appreciable latency difference between the LT burst and tonic response components, we can attribute all of the temporal differences between these response components to a single temporal frequency as being due to phase differences. This enables us to plot the relative phase advance in responses of LT bursts relative to tonic response components for our total sample of 47 geniculate cells showing LT bursting, and not just the limited subset for which full temporal tuning functions were obtained. This larger distribution is shown in Fig. 16B. Note that, for all but two of the cells, the LT burst was evoked by an earlier phase of the stimulus than was the tonic response component (\( P < 0.001 \) on a \( \chi^2 \)-test); also, there is no statistically significant difference between the distributions of Fig. 16A and B (\( P > 0.1 \) on a \( \chi^2 \)-test). The phase advance of the LT burst for this larger population is 0.80 ± 0.36. This corresponds to a temporal advance of 15.8 ± 7.1 ms at 8 Hz and 31.6 ± 14.2 ms at 4 Hz. There is no significant difference in
Fig. 15. Relative phase of Fl response plotted against temporal frequency for 4 representative geniculate neurons, 1 X and 3 Y cells. Plotted separately are LT burst and tonic response components. Regressions were computed with an algorithm that weighted each phase value by the amplitude of its associated Fl Fourier response, and all regression coefficients exceeded 0.99. A: X cell. B: Y cell. C: Y cell. D: Y cell.

these values for phase advance between X and Y cells (0.76 ± 0.36 for X cells vs. 0.79 ± 0.33 for Y cells; P > 0.1 on a Mann-Whitney U test).

Discussion

By using the criteria established in our previous, intracellular study of geniculate neurons (Lu et al. 1992), we were able to use more accessible extracellular techniques to study the LT Ca2+ spike and its associated burst of action potentials in these cells. The presence of LT spiking indicates that the cell is in the burst response mode, and its absence, which can be accomplished via membrane depolarization, indicates that the cell is in the relay response mode (see the preceding paper, Lu et al. 1992; see also Crukelić et al. 1989; Deschénes et al. 1984; Jahnsen and Linés 1984a,b; Hirsch et al. 1983, Hu et al. 1989a,b, Lo et al. 1991; McCormick and Feeser 1990). In particular, we were able to study quantitatively the different effects of the two modes on receptive field properties of geniculate neurons, and this, in turn, reveals their differential effects on transmission through the lateral geniculate nucleus of retinal signals evoked by conventional visual stimuli. Most of our cell population exhibited both LT bursts and tonic responses to visual stimuli, suggesting that they switched easily between response modes, and there were no dramatic differences between X and Y cells in this regard. In general, our conclusions from extracellular recording concerning the effects of LT bursts and tonic responses on receptive field properties are in close agreement with those of our intracellular study (Lu et al. 1992); these conclusions are discussed in more detail below. This agreement between studies not only serves to validate the criteria we have used to distinguish between the LT burst and tonic response components, but it also suggests that the process of impaling cells with microelectrodes does not dramatically alter their LT spike properties.

Switching between the relay and burst response modes

Virtually all geniculate relay neurons can switch their response mode between burst and tonic firing (e.g., Hu et al. 1989a,b; Lo et al. 1991; Lu et al. 1992; McCarley et al. 1983; Steriade et al. 1989). The pathways that control this switching, presumably mostly by appropriate alterations in membrane potential, are not yet defined, although it appears that activation of the cholinergic afferents to the lateral geniculate nucleus from the parabrachial region of the brainstem effectively switches cells from the burst to the relay mode (Hu et al. 1989a; Lo et al. 1991; Steriade et al. 1991). What is also unclear is how precisely this switching can be controlled on a cell-by-cell basis in the lateral geniculate nucleus. For instance, some studies have emphasized the global switching of virtually all thalamic relay cells from one state to the other in a fashion correlated to the electroencephalogram (EEG) and thus to levels of alertness (e.g., Steriade and Deschénes 1984, 1988; Steriade and Linés 1988; Steriade and McCarley 1990). However, our observation that simultaneously recorded cells can reflect both response modes suggests that the control mechanisms for this switching need not be global. Perhaps local regions of
LT BURST AND TONIC RESPONSES IN LGN CELLS

PHASE ADVANCE (radians)

**FIG. 16.** Distribution of the phase differences for LT and tonic response components. We determined relative phase values of the LT burst and tonic response component for each cell and plotted the difference by subtracting that of the LT burst from that of the tonic response component. A positive number thus signifies a relative phase advance of the LT burst compared with the tonic response component. A: distribution of phase advances determined for 13 neurons in which we determined relative phase by the method outlined in Fig. 15; that is, we determined the y-intercept of the phase-vs.-temporal frequency functions for the LT burst and tonic response components. B: distribution of phase advances for a sample of 47 cells taken from responses to a single temporal frequency. Here, we assume that the relative timing difference between the LT burst and tonic response components is due to phase rather than latency differences (see text for details).

Spatial properties

We saw no evidence that spatial properties of the receptive fields were dramatically altered between relay and burst firing modes (see Figs. 9 and 10). We assume that both the LT burst and tonic response component evoked by visual stimuli are activated by EPSPs generated from retinal afferents. If so, then it follows that whatever causes the retinal afferents to discharge can contribute to one or the other response component, depending on the postsynaptic cell’s membrane potential. By such reasoning, one would predict no dramatic difference in the spatial properties of receptive fields revealed by the two response components.

**Temporal properties**

**RELATIVE PHASE ADVANCE OF THE LT SPIKE.** As might be expected by the different temporal pattern of action potentials in the LT burst and tonic response components, there is a

the lateral geniculate nucleus representing a small visual field location or the different X-versus-Y pathways can be independently switched between response modes.

It is also of interest that most of our cells (47 of 61) displayed LT spikes to some but not all stimulus cycles (Fig. 6). We also noted during intracellular recording (see Lu et al. 1992) that spontaneous shifts in membrane potentials tended to be quite small (≤10 mV). The simplest explanation for these observations is that small variations in each cell’s membrane potential fluctuate around a “resting” membrane potential that lies near the inactivation potential for the LT spike; that is, a little hyperpolarization will deinactivate the LT spike, permitting its activation by the stimulus, and a little depolarization will inactivate the LT spike, resulting in a purely tonic response evoked by the stimulus. The conclusion that the membrane potentials of geniculate neurons lie near this LT spike inactivation threshold, at least in our recording situation, implies that afferents to these neurons do not have to generate much of a postsynaptic potential to switch these neurons between the relay and burst modes.

**BURST MODE**

**RELAY MODE**

**FIG. 17.** Schematic explanation of phase difference between the LT burst and tonic response components. We assume that postsynaptic potentials evoked by the afferent retinal axons will approximate a sinusoidal shape in response to drifting sinusoidal gratings. Left: burst firing mode. Here, any depolarization that excites the cell must pass through the lower threshold for an LT spike before it can reach the higher threshold for action potentials. Although a tonic response component is not shown here, any that can be evoked by this stimulus cycle will have to occur after completion of the LT burst. Thus any LT burst will be evoked by an earlier response phase than will the tonic response component. Right: relay firing mode. We assume here that the difference between burst and tonic firing results from small shifts in membrane potential. Thus it still takes more depolarization to reach firing threshold than when the cell is in the burst mode, and the evoked action potentials respond to a later phase of the stimulus.
temporal effect related to these response modes. The most obvious is the earlier response of the LT spike relative to the tonic response component (see Figs. 1 and 2 of the prior paper, Lu et al. 1992; see also Fig. 2 of this paper), which we have shown to be due to a relative phase advance rather than a shorter latency (Figs. 15 and 16). The explanation for this phase advance may be quite straightforward, as is schematically shown in Fig. 17. The drifting sine-wave grating will evoke a sinusoidal modulation in firing of the retinal afferents to the geniculate cell, thereby producing an analogous sinusoidal modulation in the postsynaptic potentials. If the geniculate cell is hyperpolarized sufficiently to deinactivate the LT spike, then it follows that a depolarization must pass through the lower threshold needed to activate the LT spike before it can reach firing threshold for action potentials (Fig. 17, left). Thus any tonic response component to the same stimulus cycle must be activated at a later phase of the stimulus cycle. If the stimulus cycle evokes only a tonic response component (Fig. 17, right), this is likely due to a very slight depolarization of the membrane (see above). This, in turn, implies that the stimulus must still depolarize the cell considerably before reaching threshold for action potentials, and again this results in a tonic response from a relatively late phase of the stimulus.

Note that the explanation illustrated by Fig. 17 implies small shifts in the resting membrane potential. Larger shifts might invoke other voltage-dependent conductances that can have other effects on responsiveness and response timing. For example, there is now evidence that cells of the lateral geniculate nucleus, like cells in many other brain regions, exhibit a voltage-dependent, transient K+ conductance known as I_A (Huguenard et al. 1991; McCormick 1991). Like the LT spike, I_A can be activated by depolarization from hyperpolarized membrane potentials and is inactivated by depolarization (Connor and Stevens 1971; Huguenard et al. 1991; McCormick 1991; Storm 1988), but the absolute voltage dependencies of the LT spike and I_A seem to be somewhat different. Unlike the LT spike, I_A leads to an outward conductance of K+, which tends to hyperpolarize the cell and offset or retard the depolarization produced by the activation. This slows down the excitatory response. Our preliminary data indicate that something like I_A exists in geniculate cells recorded in vivo, because when held at depolarized levels they often respond with much shorter latencies than when hyperpolarized (Lu et al. 1991). Thus, whereas an LT burst should appear earlier than a tonic response component if the cell starts out relatively hyperpolarized, it is not necessarily the case that the tonic response of a more depolarized cell in the relay mode is relatively late. In other words, I_A may delay both the LT burst and tonic response components evoked from more hyperpolarized levels but have no role in the tonic response at more depolarized levels.

TEMPORAL TUNING. Previous studies have indicated that LT spiking in thalamic neurons resonates at particular temporal frequencies, such as 6–10 Hz (Deschênes et al. 1984; Jahnsen and Llinás 1984a,b; Roy et al. 1984; Steriade et al. 1991) or perhaps lower rates (Curró Dossi et al. 1991; Leresche et al. 1991; McCormick and Pape 1990; Steriade et al. 1991). However, it is clear from our results that LT spiking can follow rates of temporal stimulation well in excess of 6–10 Hz (however, see McCormick and Feeser 1990). Furthermore, we found no evidence that LT spiking displays temporal tuning that is dramatically different from that of the tonic response component. There is thus no tendency for LT bursting to resonate at 6–10 Hz or any other particular frequency.

A somewhat unexpected observation was the increase we saw in the LT burst ratio in response to higher temporal frequencies (Figs. 13 and 14). This may simply follow from the observation noted above that the LT burst, if it occurs, is always the first response to a stimulus cycle, and any tonic response component must occur later in the cycle. As temporal frequency increases, the absolute amount of time in each stimulus cycle that the retinal afferents can depolarize the membrane above the threshold for action potential activation diminishes, yet a fixed amount of time will always be first occupied by the LT spike. Thus, at higher temporal frequencies, there is less of an opportunity for tonic responses to occur before the arrival of the next stimulus cycle. Eventually, tonic responses will be evoked only by those stimulus cycles that fail to evoke an LT spike, and the result is that the LT burst ratio increases with temporal frequency.

Variability in strength of LT spikes

There is some variability in the size of LT spikes (e.g., Deschênes et al. 1984; Jahnsen and Llinás 1984a,b), so that it is not as regular as is the action potential. Were this not the case, every LT spike, once activated, would have the same shape and would elicit consistent and unvarying bursts of action potentials. It should matter little whether the LT spike was activated by a strong depolarization or a weak one. This, in turn, would imply that a hyperpolarized cell in the burst mode, if it responded at all, would respond the same way to an optimal stimulus as to a nonoptimal one. This does not happen, as can clearly be seen from our spatial tuning and contrast sensitivity data, which show fairly constant LT burst ratios across optimal and nonoptimal stimuli (Figs. 6, 7, 11, and 12). Because our data suggest that weaker stimuli (e.g., lower contrasts or nonoptimal spatial frequencies) evoke constant LT burst ratios despite lower levels of overall responsiveness, it follows that these weaker stimuli must also produce smaller LT spikes.

Significance of the LT spike for visual processing

Our data demonstrate that geniculate neurons in the burst mode can reliably and regularly respond to visual stimuli with LT spikes. It is thus not obligatory that the burst mode requires the thalamic cell to fire LT spikes rhythmically irrespective of their sensory input. This may be seen during in vitro recording (Jahnsen and Llinás 1984a,b; Leresche et al. 1991; McCormick and Pape 1990) or in certain in vivo preparations that disconnect the thalamus from many of its afferents (Curró Dossi et al. 1992; Deschênes et al. 1984; Hu et al. 1989a,b; Roy et al. 1984; Steriade et al. 1989, 1991). Presumably, the more intact circuitry present in our recording situation nullifies any inherent tendency for thalamic neurons to burst rhythmically.

Although we did not see rhythmic LT bursting of geniculate neurons in the presence of visual stimuli, we do not
mean to rule out its presence under different recording conditions and/or behavioral states. Perhaps retinal inputs require the coactivation of another afferent pathway to prevent such rhythmicity seen in other situations. For instance, previous studies suggest that the cholinergic parabrachial aff erents can strongly deter LT spiking (Hu et al. 1989a; Lo et al. 1991; Steriade et al. 1991), and perhaps the presence and background activity of this pathway in our preparation preclude rhythmic LT spiking. When this pathway is cut off or quiescent (e.g., perhaps during certain phases of sleep), such rhythmic bursting ensues.

In any case, we conclude that LT spiking has a role in the transmission of visual signals to cortex (Crick 1984). The observation that the pattern of action potentials differs between the relay and burst response modes may have further significance for sensory processing. Perhaps the visual cortex can recognize this difference between response modes. A bursty response with prominent silent periods before each burst could signal the presence of a visual stimulus being relayed by a hyperpolarized geniculate neuron. The burstiness would also signal response nonlinearity, implying that spatiotemporal details of the stimulus are not being faithfully relayed. The cortex could use this information to alter inputs to the bursting relay cell in such a way as to depolarize the cell and convert it to the relay mode. This could be done directly via monosynaptic inputs from visual cortex to geniculate relay cells or indirectly via cortical innervation of inhibitory interneurons or neurons of the thalamic reticular nucleus and possibly even through less direct cortical influence on ascending influences such as those from the parabrachial region (see Sherman and Koch 1986, 1990). Not only does the LT spike nonlinearly amplify retinogeniculate transmission for a hyperpolarized relay cell, but also it occurs with minimal phase delay. These features suggest the possibility that the LT spike may act as a sort of "wake-up call" for the visual cortex.
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