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#### Abstract

Let $T$ be an arbitrary $n \times n$ matrix with real entries. We explicitly find the closest (in Frobenius norm) matrix $A$ to $T$, where $A$ is $n \times n$ with real entries, subject to the condition that $A$ is "generalized doubly stochastic" (i.e. $A \mathbf{e}=\mathbf{e}$ and $\mathbf{e}^{T} A=\mathbf{e}^{T}$, where $\mathbf{e}=(1,1, \ldots, 1)^{T}$, although $A$ is not necessarily nonnegative) and $A$ has the same first moment as $T$ (i.e. $\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} A \mathbf{e}_{1}=\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} T \mathbf{e}_{1}$ ). We also explicitly find the closest matrix $A$ to $T$ when $A$ is generalized doubly stochastic has the same first moment as $T$ and the same second moment as $T$ (i.e. $\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} A^{2} \mathbf{e}_{1}=\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} T^{2} \mathbf{e}_{1}$ ), when such a matrix $A$ exists.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be the vector of all ones, i.e. $\mathbf{e}=(1,1, \ldots, 1)^{T}$, and let $\mathbf{e}_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ denote the vector with a 1 in the $i$ th position and zeroes elsewhere. An $n \times n$ matrix $A$ with real entries is said to be generalized doubly stochastic if $A \mathbf{e}=\mathbf{e}$ and $\mathbf{e}^{T} A=\mathbf{e}^{T}$. A generalized doubly stochastic matrix does not necessarily have nonnegative entries, unlike a doubly stochastic matrix which has all entries nonnegative. The $k$ th moment of $A$ is defined as

[^0]$\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} A^{k} \mathbf{e}_{1}$. Let $T=\left(t_{i j}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be an arbitrary matrix which is given. We will say that a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is $M_{k}$ if $\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} A^{k} \mathbf{e}_{1}=\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} T^{k} \mathbf{e}_{1}$, where $k$ is a positive integer. We use the convention that $\|\cdot\|$ refers to either the Frobenius matrix norm $\|\cdot\|_{F}$, or the vector 2-norm $\|\cdot\|_{2}$, with the context determining which is intended. Frequent use is made of the fact that if $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ are unit vectors we can find a Householder matrix $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that $Q \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{y}[5]$.

We will determine the closest (in Frobenius norm) matrix $A$ to $T$, subject to the conditions that $A$ is generalized doubly stochastic and has the same first and second moments as $T$. The motivation for this problem comes from an application in [2] where it is desired to approximate a certain matrix $T$, where $T$ comes from a linear system corresponding to a large linear network, subject to the approximating matrix satisfying certain conditions. We outlined these applications in [3] and (among other things there) used a computational algorithm to find the closest matrix $A$ to $T$, subject to $A$ being generalized doubly stochastic and $M_{1}$, or subject to $A$ being doubly stochastic and $M_{1}$. See the references [2] and [3] for more details about the applications. Our extended work here takes only an analytic approach to the problem, includes the second moment condition, and explicitly finds the closest matrix which is generalized doubly stochastic, $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, having dropped the requirement that the closest matrix be nonnegative. It is worth emphasizing that despite dropping the nonnegativity requirement our solution is still relevant to the original problem. Previous approaches to this problem, in both [3] and $[8]$, did not include the second moment, although they did include the nonnegative condition. A survey of matrix nearness problems and their applications, which include areas of control theory, numerical analysis and statistics, was given by Higham [4], see also [7].

## 2 The closest generalized doubly stochastic matrix

Although Theorem 1 and Corollary 2 resemble results proved in [3] and [6], the results herein present a different formulation. We include them for clarity and because we will use them later.

Theorem 1 Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and let $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be an orthogonal matrix so that $Q \mathbf{e}_{n}=$ $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbf{e}$. Then $A$ is generalized doubly stochastic if and only if $A=Q\left[\begin{array}{cc}A_{1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0}^{T} & 1\end{array}\right] Q^{T}$, for any $A_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-1) \times(n-1)}$.

Proof $A \mathbf{e}=\mathbf{e}$ if and only $Q^{T} A Q \mathbf{e}_{n}=\mathbf{e}_{n}$, and $\mathbf{e}^{T} A=\mathbf{e}^{T}$ if and only if $\mathbf{e}_{n}^{T} Q^{T} A Q=\mathbf{e}_{n}^{T}$.
Theorem 1 enables us to easily incorporate the condition that $A$ is generalized doubly stochastic and in Corollary 2 find the closest such matrix to $T$.

Corollary 2 Let $T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $Q^{T} T Q=\left[\begin{array}{cc}T_{1} & \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{2}} \\ \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{3}}{ }^{T} & t_{4}\end{array}\right]$, where $T_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-1) \times(n-1)}, \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{2}}, \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{3}} \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, t_{4} \in \mathbb{R}$, and where $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is as in Theorem 1. Then the generalized doubly stochastic matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ given by $A=Q\left[\begin{array}{ll}T_{1} & 0 \\ \mathbf{0}^{T} & 1\end{array}\right] Q^{T}$ satisfies the inequality $\|A-T\| \leq$ $\|Z-T\|$ among all generalized doubly stochastic matrices $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.

Proof The Frobenius norm is invariant under orthogonal similarity so $\|A-T\|^{2}=\| A_{1}-$ $T_{1}\left\|^{2}+\right\| \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{2}}\left\|^{2}+\right\| \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{3}} \|^{2}+\left(1-t_{4}\right)^{2}$, and $A$ is minimal among generalized doubly stochastic matrices when $A_{1}=T_{1}$.

## 3 The closest generalized doubly stochastic matrix which is $M_{1}$

For $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, the direct sum of $A$ and $B$, denoted $A \oplus B$, is the $(m+n) \times(m+n)$ block matrix $\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & B\end{array}\right)$.

We now construct an orthogonal matrix $Q$ with an additional desirable property which we need for the first and second moments. Let $Q_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be an orthogonal matrix such that $Q_{1} \mathbf{e}_{n}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbf{e}$, with $Q_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{c}\mathbf{u} \\ \beta\end{array}\right]$, for some $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $Q_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-1) \times(n-1)}$ be an orthogonal matrix such that $Q_{2}^{T} \mathbf{u}=\left[\begin{array}{l}\mathbf{0} \\ \alpha\end{array}\right]$, where $\alpha=\|\mathbf{u}\|$, and let $Q=Q_{1}\left(Q_{2} \oplus 1\right)$. Then $Q \mathbf{e}_{n}=Q_{1}\left(Q_{2} \oplus 1\right) \mathbf{e}_{n}=Q_{1} \mathbf{e}_{n}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbf{e}$ as in Section 2, and we have the additional property that $Q^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}=\left(Q_{2}^{T} \oplus 1\right) Q_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}=\left(Q_{2}^{T} \oplus 1\right)\left[\begin{array}{l}\mathbf{u} \\ \beta\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ \alpha \\ \beta\end{array}\right]$. Note that if $\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{0}$, then $Q_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}=\beta \mathbf{e}_{n}$, so $\mathbf{e}_{1}=\beta Q_{1} \mathbf{e}_{n}=\beta \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbf{e}$, which is not possible, so we must have $\alpha \neq 0$.

The proof of Theorem 3 will use the fact, as stated in the introduction, that if $T=$ $\left(t_{i j}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is the matrix to be approximated then saying $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is $M_{1}$ means $\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} A \mathbf{e}_{1}=\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} T \mathbf{e}_{1}=t_{11}$. This theorem gives the form of a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ that is both generalized doubly stochastic and $M_{1}$.

Theorem 3 Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and let $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be an orthogonal matrix such that $Q \mathbf{e}_{n}=$ $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbf{e}$ and $Q^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{l}\mathbf{0} \\ \alpha \\ \beta\end{array}\right]$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is generalized doubly stochastic and $M_{1}$ if and only if $A=Q\left[\begin{array}{ccc}A_{1} & \mathbf{a}_{2} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{3}}{ }^{T} & \frac{t_{11}-\beta^{2}}{\alpha^{2}} & 0 \\ \mathbf{0}^{T} & 0 & 1\end{array}\right] Q^{T}$, for any $A_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-2) \times(n-2)}, \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{2}}, \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{3}} \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{n-2}$.

Proof The matrix $A$ is both generalized doubly stochastic and $M_{1}$ if and only if $t_{11}=$ $\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} Q\left[\begin{array}{ccc}A_{1} & \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{2}} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{3}}{ }^{T} & a_{4} & 0 \\ \mathbf{0}^{T} & 0 & 1\end{array}\right] Q^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}=a_{4} \alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}$, where $A=Q\left[\begin{array}{ccc}A_{1} & \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{2}} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{3}}{ }^{T} & a_{4} & 0 \\ \mathbf{0}^{T} & 0 & 1\end{array}\right] Q^{T}$ from Theorem 1.

Theorem 1 gives us the means to now find the closest matrix to $T$ which is both generalized doubly stochastic and $M_{1}$.

Corollary 4 Let $T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $Q^{T} T Q=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}T_{1} & \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{2}} & \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{5}} \\ \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{3}}{ }^{T} & t_{4} & t_{6} \\ \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{7}}{ }^{T} & t_{8} & t_{9}\end{array}\right]$, where $T_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-2) \times(n-2)}$, $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{2}}, \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{5}}, \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{7}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-2}, t_{4}, t_{6}, t_{8}, t_{9} \in \mathbb{R}$, and where $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is an orthogonal matrix such that $Q \mathbf{e}_{n}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbf{e}$ and $Q^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{l}\mathbf{0} \\ \alpha \\ \beta\end{array}\right]$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the generalized doubly stochastic and $M_{1}$ matrix $A$ given by $A=Q\left[\begin{array}{ccc}T_{1} & \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{2}} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{3}}{ }^{T} & \frac{t_{11} \beta^{2}}{\alpha^{2}} & 0 \\ \mathbf{0}^{T} & 0 & 1\end{array}\right] Q^{T}$ is the closest matrix to $T$ in the sense that $\|A-T\| \leq\|Z-T\|$ for all generalized doubly stochastic and $M_{1}$ matrices $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.

Proof As in the proof of Corollary 2, since the Frobenius norm is invariant under orthogonal similarity, we have that $\|A-T\|^{2}=\left\|A_{1}-T_{1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{2}}-\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{2}}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{3}}-\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{3}}\right\|^{2}+\left(\frac{t_{11}-\beta^{2}}{\alpha^{2}}-\right.$ $\left.t_{4}\right)^{2}+\left\|\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{5}}\right\|^{2}+t_{6}^{2}+\left\|\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{7}}\right\|^{2}+t_{8}^{2}+\left(1-t_{9}\right)^{2}$, and $A$ is minimal when $A_{1}=T_{1}, \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{2}}$, and $\mathrm{a}_{3}=\mathrm{t}_{3}$.

## 4 The closest generalized doubly stochastic matrix which is $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$

Similar reasoning to that given in Section 3 can be used to find necessary and sufficient conditions for a matrix to be generalized doubly stochastic, $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$. However, for
finding a nearest point in Corollary 6, a difficulty comes from the fact that the set of $M_{2}$ matrices is not a convex set, so we don't necessarily expect for there to be a unique nearest point [1]. Although, if there is such a nearest point then it will be determined by the conditions given in Corollary 6.

Theorem 5 Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be an orthogonal matrix such that $Q \mathbf{e}_{n}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbf{e}$ and $Q^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ \alpha \\ \beta\end{array}\right]$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is generalized doubly stochastic, $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ if and only if $A=Q\left[\begin{array}{ccc}A_{1} & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{y}^{T} & \frac{t_{11}-\beta^{2}}{\alpha^{2}} & 0 \\ \mathbf{0}^{T} & 0 & 1\end{array}\right] Q^{T}$, for any $A_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-2) \times(n-2)}$ and any $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$ such that $\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{y}=\frac{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} T^{2} \mathbf{e}_{1}-\beta^{2}}{\alpha^{2}}-\left(\frac{t_{11}-\beta^{2}}{\alpha^{2}}\right)^{2}$.

Proof $A$ is generalized doubly stochastic, $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ if and only if $A$ both satisfies Theorem 3 and satisfies the second moment condition that $\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} T^{2} \mathbf{e}_{1}=\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} A^{2} \mathbf{e}_{1}$. However,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} A^{2} \mathbf{e}_{1} & =\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} Q\left(Q^{T} A Q\right)^{2} Q^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\mathbf{0} & \alpha & \beta
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
A_{1} & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{y}^{T} & \frac{t_{11}-\beta^{2}}{\alpha^{2}} & 0 \\
\mathbf{0}^{T} & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]^{2}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{0} \\
\alpha \\
\beta
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\mathbf{0} & \alpha & \beta
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
* & * & 0 \\
* & \mathbf{y}^{T} \mathbf{x}+\left(\frac{t_{11}-\beta^{2}}{\alpha^{2}}\right)^{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{0} \\
\alpha \\
\beta
\end{array}\right]=\alpha^{2}\left[\mathbf{y}^{T} \mathbf{x}+\left(\frac{t_{11}-\beta^{2}}{\alpha^{2}}\right)^{2}\right]+\beta^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

and then substituting $\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} T^{2} \mathbf{e}_{1}$ and rearranging gives the result.
Preparing for the proof of Corollary 6, and arguing similarly to the preceding corollaries, since the Frobenius norm is invariant under orthogonal similarity we calculate that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|A-T\|^{2}=\left\|A_{1}-T_{1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{2}}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{3}}\right\|^{2}+\left(\frac{t_{11}-\beta^{2}}{\alpha^{2}}-\right. & \left.t_{4}\right)^{2}+\left\|\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{5}}\right\|^{2}+t_{6}^{2} \\
& +\left\|\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{7}}\right\|^{2}+t_{8}^{2}+\left(1-t_{9}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now $A$ is minimal among generalized doubly stochastic, $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ matrices when $A_{1}=T_{1}$, and when $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ are such that $\left\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{2}}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{3}}\right\|^{2}$ is minimized subject to the constraint $\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{y}=\frac{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} T^{2} \mathbf{e}_{1}-\beta^{2}}{\alpha^{2}}-\left(\frac{t_{11}-\beta^{2}}{\alpha^{2}}\right)^{2}$. Thus we have our final corollary.

Corollary 6 Let $T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $Q^{T} T Q=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}T_{1} & \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{2}} & \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{5}} \\ \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{3}}{ }^{2} & t_{4} & t_{6} \\ \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{7}}{ }^{T} & t_{8} & t_{9}\end{array}\right]$, where $T_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-2) \times(n-2)}$, $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{2}}, \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{5}}, \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{7}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$, $t_{4}, t_{6}, t_{8}, t_{9} \in \mathbb{R}$, and where $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is an orthogonal matrix such that $Q \mathbf{e}_{n}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbf{e}$ and $Q^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{l}\mathbf{0} \\ \alpha \\ \beta\end{array}\right]$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the generalized doubly stochastic, $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ matrix $A$ given by $A=Q\left[\begin{array}{ccc}T_{1} & \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{y}^{T} & \frac{t_{11}-\beta^{2}}{\alpha^{2}} & 0 \\ \mathbf{0}^{T} & 0 & 1\end{array}\right] Q^{T}$, satisfies the requirement that $\|A-T\| \leq\|Z-T\|$ for all generalized doubly stochastic, $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ matrices $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, where $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ have been chosen (where possible) so as to minimize $\left\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{2}}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{3}}\right\|^{2}$ subject to the constraint $\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{y}=\frac{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} T^{2} \mathbf{e}_{1}-\beta^{2}}{\alpha^{2}}-\left(\frac{t_{11}-\beta^{2}}{\alpha^{2}}\right)^{2}$.
Proof For convenience we write $\mathbf{c}=\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{2}}, \mathbf{d}=\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{3}}$ and $r=\frac{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} T^{2} \mathbf{e}_{1}-\beta^{2}}{\alpha^{2}}-\left(\frac{t_{11}-\beta^{2}}{\alpha^{2}}\right)^{2}$.
It remains for us to solve the problem

$$
\min _{\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{y}=r}\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{c}\|^{2}+\|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{d}\|^{2}
$$

for which we find the Kuhn-Tucker conditions to be $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{c}-\mu \mathbf{y}$ and $\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{d}-\mu \mathbf{x}$, where $\mu$ is the Lagrange multiplier. We solve simultaneously $\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{y}=r, \mathbf{x}+\mu \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{c}$ and $\mu \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{d}$. The latter two equations imply $\mathbf{c}-\mu \mathbf{d}=\left(1-\mu^{2}\right) \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{d}-\mu \mathbf{c}=\left(1-\mu^{2}\right) \mathbf{y}$, which imply $\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)^{2} \mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{y}=(\mathbf{c}-\mu \mathbf{d})^{T}(\mathbf{d}-\mu \mathbf{c})$, and with the first equation this implies

$$
\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)^{2} r=\mathbf{c}^{T} \mathbf{d}\left(1+\mu^{2}\right)-\mu\left(\|\mathbf{c}\|^{2}+\|\mathbf{d}\|^{2}\right)
$$

If this quartic equation has no real solution $\mu$ then there is no minimum.
Case 1: If each real solution $\mu \neq \pm 1$ then for each such $\mu$

$$
\mathbf{x}=\frac{\mathbf{c}-\mu \mathbf{d}}{1-\mu^{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbf{y}=\frac{\mathbf{d}-\mu \mathbf{c}}{1-\mu^{2}}
$$

and we check to see which pair $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$ gives a minimum.
Case 2: If $\mu=1$ and $\mathbf{c}=\mathbf{d}$ then we must solve $\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{y}=r$, i.e. $\mathbf{x}^{T}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{c})=-r$, which by completing the square becomes $\left(\mathbf{x}-\frac{\mathbf{c}}{2}\right)^{T}\left(\mathbf{x}-\frac{\mathbf{c}}{2}\right)=\left\|\frac{\mathbf{c}}{2}\right\|^{2}-r$.

If $\left\|\frac{\mathbf{c}}{2}\right\|^{2}-r<0$ there is no minimum in this case. Note that since $\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{c}$ we have

$$
\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{c}\|^{2}+\|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{c}\|^{2}=\|\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}\|^{2}-2 \mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{y}=\|\mathbf{c}\|^{2}-2 r .
$$

This is a constant, so if $\left\|\frac{\mathbf{c}}{2}\right\|^{2}-r \geq 0$ we can take for any $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, where $\mathbf{w} \neq \mathbf{0}$,

$$
\mathbf{x}=\frac{\mathbf{c}}{2}+\sqrt{\left\|\frac{\mathbf{c}}{2}\right\|^{2}-r} \frac{\mathbf{w}}{\|\mathbf{w}\|} \text { and } \mathbf{y}=\frac{\mathbf{c}}{2}-\sqrt{\left\|\frac{\mathbf{c}}{2}\right\|^{2}-r} \frac{\mathbf{w}}{\|\mathbf{w}\|} .
$$

Case 3: If $\mu=-1$ and $\mathbf{c}=-\mathbf{d}$ then a similar calculation shows that if $\left\|\frac{\mathbf{c}}{2}\right\|^{2}+r<0$ there is no minimum. Whereas if $\left\|\frac{\mathbf{c}}{2}\right\|^{2}+r \geq 0$ we can take for any $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, where $\mathbf{w} \neq \mathbf{0}$,

$$
\mathbf{x}=\frac{\mathbf{c}}{2}+\sqrt{\left\|\frac{\mathbf{c}}{2}\right\|^{2}+r} \frac{\mathbf{w}}{\|\mathbf{w}\|} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbf{y}=\frac{\mathbf{c}}{2}-\sqrt{\left\|\frac{\mathbf{c}}{2}\right\|^{2}+r} \frac{\mathbf{w}}{\|\mathbf{w}\|} .
$$
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