Sc-phthalocyanine sheet: Promising material for hydrogen storage

Kun Lü, Jian Zhou, Le Zhou, Qian Wang, Qiang Sun et al.

Letters

Applied Physics

Citation: Appl. Phys. Lett. **99**, 163104 (2011); doi: 10.1063/1.3653465 View online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3653465 View Table of Contents: http://apl.aip.org/resource/1/APPLAB/v99/i16 Published by the American Institute of Physics.

Related Articles

Mechanical alloying of Co and Sb: Structural, thermal, optical, and photoacoustic studies J. Appl. Phys. 110, 083528 (2011)

Mn-activated Na2SiF6 red and yellowish-green phosphors: A comparative study J. Appl. Phys. 110, 063514 (2011)

Scaling laws for thermal conductivity of crystalline nanoporous silicon based on molecular dynamics simulations J. Appl. Phys. 110, 064305 (2011)

Simulated porosity and electronic structure of nanoporous carbons J. Chem. Phys. 135, 104706 (2011)

Graphitization of n-type polycrystalline silicon carbide for on-chip supercapacitor application Appl. Phys. Lett. 99, 112104 (2011)

Additional information on Appl. Phys. Lett.

Journal Homepage: http://apl.aip.org/ Journal Information: http://apl.aip.org/about/about_the_journal Top downloads: http://apl.aip.org/features/most_downloaded Information for Authors: http://apl.aip.org/authors

ADVERTISEMENT



Sc-phthalocyanine sheet: Promising material for hydrogen storage

Kun Lü,¹ Jian Zhou,² Le Zhou,² Qian Wang,^{1,3} Qiang Sun,^{1,2,3,a)} and Puru Jena³ ¹Center for Applied Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China ²Department of Materials Science and Engineering, College of Engineering, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

³Department of Physics, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, Virginia 23284, USA

(Received 24 August 2011; accepted 28 September 2011; published online 18 October 2011)

It has been a long-standing dream to have high surface area materials with isolated and exposed transition-metal ions for hydrogen storage. The flexible synthesis procedure proposed recently by M. Abel, *et al.* [J. Am. Chem. Soc. **133**, 1203 (2011)] and A. Sperl *et al.* [J. Am. Chem. Soc. **133**, 11007 (2011)] provides a different pathway to achieve this goal. Using first-principles theory and grand canonical Monte Carlo simulation, we carry out a systematic study of 3d transition metals (Sc to Zn)-phthalocyanine porous sheets and find that Sc-phthalocyanine can store 4.6 wt. % hydrogen at 298 K and 100 bar. © 2011 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3653465]

The biggest challenge to a hydrogen economy is to find materials that can store hydrogen with sufficient gravimetric and volumetric density at ambient temperature and pressure to meet the requirements of the transportation industry. No such materials exist at this time.¹ Materials that meet the density requirements do not meet the thermodynamic requirements and vise versa. For example, light metal hydrides can store hydrogen with gravimetric density as high as 20 wt. %, but hydrogen storage is not reversible and it requires very high temperature for hydrogen desorption. Materials such as carbon nanotubes, metal and covalent organic frameworks (MOFs, COFs) can store hydrogen reversibly, but hydrogen desorbs at very low temperature. This is because, in light metal hydrides, hydrogen is held in rather strong covalent or ionic bonds, while in MOFs, hydrogen is weakly bound. It is ideal to have materials where hydrogen is bound with binding energy intermediate between physisorption and chemisorption. Two such mechanisms have been proposed earlier, one by Kubas and the other by Jena and coworkers. In the Kubas mechanism,^{2–4} hydrogen binds to transition metal (TM) atom in guasi-molecular form through charge donation from hydrogen to the unfilled d-orbitals of the metal atom and back donation from metal atom to the antibonding orbital of the hydrogen molecule. In the mechanism proposed by Jena and coworkers,^{5–8} hydrogen binds to metal cations through a charge polarization mechanism where the electric field produced by the metal ion polarizes the hydrogen molecule. Typical binding energies associated with lone transition metal atoms and the Kubas mechanism are about $0.5 \,\text{eV/H}_2$, while that associated with lone non transition metal ions and the charge polarization mechanism are about 0.2 eV/H₂. The difficulty is that transition metal atoms doped on substrates have a tendency to coalesce⁹ while binding of H₂ molecules on light metal cations such as Li is lower than the desired value.¹⁰ What would be ideal is to have a material composed of light elements such as C where doped transition metal atoms can be well dispersed and prevented from coalescence. Such materials have been scarce.

In a recent paper, Abel *et al.*¹¹ have developed a synthesis technique where Fe-phthalocyanine (FePc) forms a periodic single layer sheet with regularly spaced Fe atoms. The synthesis procedure is flexible so that Fe atoms can be replaced by other metal atoms.^{11,12} The question is: Can Fe atoms in FePc trap hydrogen effectively? Are other transition metals better suited for the purpose? We have explored the potential of these stable organo-metallic porous sheets with regularly and separately exposed TM atoms to naturally store hydrogen. In this communication, we present our results based on multiscale modeling that combines first-principles density functional theory and grand canonical Monte Carlo (GCMC) simulation. We show that Sc-phthalocyanine (ScPc) has all the desirable features as a hydrogen storage material.

We used the density functional theory with Perdew-Burke-Enzerhof (PBE)¹³ form for exchange correlation potential and the projector augmented wave (PAW) method¹⁴ implemented in the Vienna *ab initio* simulation



FIG. 1. (Color online) Geometry of Sc-phthalocyanine sheet.

^{a)}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. Electronic mail: sunqiang@pku.edu.cn.



FIG. 2. (Color online) Potential energy curves of H_2 on substrates derived from first-principles calculations and force field.

package (VASP).¹⁵ The optimized unit cell parameters for FePc are a = b = 10.7 Å which agree very well with the experimental values¹¹ (a = b = 10.5 ± 0.1 Å). The lattice parameters for ScPc sheet are a = b = 10.7 Å. Due to its larger atomic radius as compared to other first-row TMs, Sc atom is out of the plane by 0.67 Å, as shown in Figure 1. To calculate the accurate adsorption energy of H₂ on metal sites, we have used long range correlated hybrid functional WB97XD (Ref. 16) for exchange and correlation energy. 6-311 G(d) basis was used for C, H, and N, and the LanL2TZ+ (Ref. 17; triple zeta basis designed for effective core potential with diffuse d function) basis was applied for metal atoms, as implemented in Gaussian 09 program package.¹⁸ The adsorption energy of one H₂ on TMPc is found to be 5.2816, 6.6154, 1.0458, 1.2529, 3.0186, 1.9872, 0.9923, 1.0786, 0.9614, and 1.3506 kcal/mol for TM = Sc, Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, and Zn, respectively. Since the optimum energy window for ideal hydrogen binding energy is 3.61-7.22 kcal/mol at room temperature,¹⁹ we note from the above that only ScPc and TiPc satisfy this requirement. Because of the recent experimental synthesis of FePc, our discussions are first extended to these three systems.

We use the following Lennard-Jones potential to describe the interactions of H_2 with the sheet,

$$U_{ij}(r_{ij}) = 4\varepsilon \left[\left(\frac{\sigma}{r_{ij}} \right)^{12} - \left(\frac{\sigma}{r_{ij}} \right)^6 \right],$$

where ε represents the depth of the potential well, σ is the finite distance at which the inter-particle potential is zero, and r_{ij} is the distance between the particles. The hydrogen-hydrogen interactions were described by the DREIDING forcefield²⁰ ($\varepsilon = 0.0152$ kcal/mol, $\sigma = 2.846$ Å). To obtain these parameters, we used the quadruple-zeta-valence basis set plus polarization and diffuse basis function (labeled as def2-QZVPPD)²¹ for metal atoms, and the triple-zeta-valence basis (labeled as def-TZVPPD)²¹ for other atoms. The potential curves are shown in Figure 2, and fitted parameters are listed

in Table I. GCMC simulations are then performed as implemented in the MUSIC Code.²² A vacuum space of 32 Å is added to the sheets. The simulation was conducted in a constant volume system defined by a simulation box consisting of $4 \times 4 \times 1$ unit cells. Hydrogen molecules were randomly inserted, moved, and deleted, which allowed the number of the total molecules, N, and configurational energy, E, to fluctuate at constant temperature and chemical potential. For each state point, 4×10^6 steps are used in GCMC simulations to guarantee the equilibrium followed by 6×10^6 steps to sample the thermodynamic properties.

The results are given in Figure 3. At T = 77 K, the highest H₂ gravimetric storage capacities are found to be near 40 bar. The corresponding hydrogen gravimetric densities are 12.5 wt. %, 11.0 wt. %, and 8.6 wt. % for ScPc, TiPc, andFePc, respectively. These values exceed the reported hydrogen storage capacities in MOFs under similar conditions^{23,24} (for example, 5.2 wt. % for MOF-5, 7.5 wt. % for MOF-177). At T = 298 K and P = 100 bar, the gravimetric density of H₂ reaches 4.6 wt. % for ScPc, which is comparable to the revised 2010 DOE targets (0.045 Kg H₂/Kg system, that is, 4.3 wt. % for system gravimetric capacity) for on-board hydrogen storage systems.

It is interesting to note that although H_2 has larger adsorption energy on TiPc, the performance of ScPc is better. The possible reasons are the following: due to the larger size of Sc, it is out of the Pc plane and become more exposed, which reduces the steric hindrance effects when

TABLE I. Fitted potential parameters.

Term (H_—)	ε (kcal/mol)	$\sigma({\rm \AA})$	Term (H_—)	ε (kcal/mol)	$\sigma({\rm \AA})$
Н_	0.01520	2.84642	Sc	0.82388	1.83573
H_A	0.02806	3.55824	Ti	0.10221	1.72816
N_A	0.01580	3.40757	Fe	0.01041	2.34128
N_B(Sc)	0.44615	3.15637	C_A	0.10242	2.68000
N_B(Ti)	0.64229	2.51325	C_B	0.11687	3.12360
N_B(Fe)	0.01499	3.28603			



more H_2 molecules are introduced to the Sc site. Furthermore, the smaller mass of Sc would favor the higher weight percentage of storage.

To get further insight into the interaction between H_2 and ScPc, we performed natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis,²⁵ where the electronic wave function is interpreted in terms of a set of occupied Lewis and a set of unoccupied non-Lewis delocalized orbitals. For each donor NBO (i) and acceptor NBO (j), the stabilization energy E(2) associated with charge transfer $i \rightarrow j$ is estimated as

$$E(2) = \Delta E_{ij} = q_i \left[\frac{F(i,j)^2}{\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_i} \right]$$

where q_i is the donor orbital occupancy, ε_i and ε_i are the diagonal elements (orbital energies), and F(i,j) is the off-diagonal NBO Fock matrix element. We have identified that there are two main channels of electron donation from the bonding state of H_2 to the spin-up orbital with the component of s-47.975% and d-51.32%, and to the spin-down orbital with the component of s-49.1% and d-49.9%; the corresponding donation of electrons is 0.024 and 0.020, respectively, resulting in the stabilization energy E(2) of 14.0 and 13.6 kcal/mol. However, back donation mainly takes place between the spin-up orbital with the component of s-0.85% and d-99.15% to the antibonding state of H₂, and about 0.011electrons are back donated giving energy of 2.45 kcal/mol to E(2). We can clearly see that the interactions between H₂ and ScPc follow the Kubas mechanism. For TiPc system, there are also two main channels for charge donations: the spin-up channel with s-46.78% and d-44.54% and the spin-down channel with s-71.93%, p-13.82%, and d-14.25%, contributing to E(2) with 13.96 and 16.26 kcal/mol, respectively, while the electron back donation mainly takes place in the spin-down channel with the orbital components of p-99.6% and d-0.4%. The corresponding E(2) is found to be 3.9 kcal/mol. The situations are different in FePc system, the d-orbital components in the charge transfer channels are much less as compared to the former two systems. The main spin-up channel has the components of s-99.3%, p-0.3%, and d-0.3%, while the spin-down channel has the components of s-4.2%, p-88.46%, and d-7.33%. The corresponding contributions to E(2) are 4.35 and 5.55 kcal/ mol, respectively. Moreover, there is one main channel with p-100%, respectively, in spin-up and spin-down for the charge back donation, and their contributions to E(2) are 3.08 and 3.15 kcal/mol. For TiPc and FePc systems, the numbers of donated charges are 0.195e and 0.042e, the corresponding back donations are 0.016e and 0.002e.

FIG. 3. (Color online) Predicted H_2 excess adsorption isotherms for TMPc (TM = Fe, Sc, Ti) at T = 77 K and 298 K.

In summary, multi-scale modeling studies have been carried out to investigate the performance of TMPc porous sheets for storing hydrogen. The ScPc sheet is indentified as a promising hydrogen storage material, because Sc is more exposed and has a lighter mass than other 3d transition metals. Detailed NBO analysis showed that Kubas mechanism is involved in this system. At temperature of 298 K and pressure of 100 bar, the excess H_2 uptake is found to be 4.6 wt. % which is comparable to the target set by the USA Department of Energy for 2010. We hope that the present study will stimulate further experimental effort in this system.

This work is partially supported by grants from the National Grand Fundamental Research 973 Program of China (No. 2010CB631301), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NNSFC-10874007, 20973010, 21173007), and from the U.S. Department of Energy.

- ¹P. Jena, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. **2**, 206 (2011).
- ²G. J. Kubas, Acc. Chem. Res. 21, 120 (1988).
- ³Y. Zhao, Y. H. Kim, A. C. Dillon, J. M. Heben, and S. B. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 155504 (2005).
- ⁴T. Yildrim and S. Ciraci, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 175501 (2005).
- ⁵B. K. Rao and P. Jena, Europhys. Lett. **20**, 307 (1992).
- ⁶J. Niu, B. K. Rao, and P. Jena, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 2277 (1992).
- ⁷J. Niu, B. K. Rao, P. Jena, and M. Manninen, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 4475 (1995).
- ⁸J. Zhou, Q. Wang, Q. Sun, P. Jena, and X. S. Chen, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **107**, 2801 (2010).
- ⁹Q. Sun, Q. Wang, P. Jena, and Y. Kawazoe, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **127**, 14582 (2005).
- ¹⁰Q. Sun, P. Jena, Q. Wang, and M. Marquez, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **128**, 9741 (2006).
- ¹¹M. Abel, S. Clair, O. Ourdjini, M. Mossoyan, and L. Porte, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **133**, 1203 (2011).
- ¹²A. Sperl, J. Kroger, and R. Berndt, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **133**, 11007 (2011).
- ¹³J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865 (1996).
- ¹⁴P. E. Blöchl, Phys. Rev. B 20, 17953 (1994).
- ¹⁵G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Phys. Rev. B 54, 11169 (1996).
- ¹⁶J. D. Chai and M. Head-Gordon, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **10**, 6615 (2008).
- ¹⁷P. J. Hay and W. R. Wadt, J. Chem. Phys. 82, 270 (1985).
- ¹⁸M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, and H. B. Schlegel, *et al.*, GAUSSIAN 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT, 2010.
- ¹⁹S. K. Bhatia and A. L. Myers, Langmuir **22**, 1688 (2006).
- ²⁰S. L. Mayo, B. D. Olafson, and W. A. Goddard, J. Phys. Chem. **94**, 8897 (1990).
- ²¹D. Rappoport and F. Furche, J. Chem. Phys. **133**, 134105 (2010).
- ²²A. Gupta, S. Chempath, M. Sanborn, L. Clark, and R. Snurr, Mol. Simul. 29, 29 (2003).
- ²³A. G. Wong-Foy, A. J. Matzger, and O. M. Yaghi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **128**, 3494 (2006).
- ²⁴H. Furukawa, M. A. Miller, and O. M. Yaghi, J. Mater. Chem. **17**, 3197 (2007).
- ²⁵A. E. Reed, L. A. Curtiss, and F. Weinhold, Chem. Rev. 88, 899 (1988).