# Superhalogen properties of CuF<sub>n</sub> clusters

Q. Wang,<sup>1</sup> Q. Sun,<sup>2</sup> and P. Jena<sup>1,a)</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, Virginia 23284-2000, USA <sup>2</sup>Department of Advanced Materials and Nanotechnology and Center for Applied Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

(Received 16 June 2009; accepted 2 September 2009; published online 22 September 2009)

A first-principles calculation based on gradient corrected density functional theory reveals unusual properties of a Cu atom interacting with F. Up to six F atoms are bound to a single Cu atom with electron affinities steadily rising as successive F atoms are attached, reaching a peak value of 7.2 eV in CuF<sub>5</sub>. The large energy gaps between the highest occupied and lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals, both in neutral and anionic form, provide further evidence of their stability. These unusual properties brought about by involvement of inner shell 3*d*-electrons not only allow CuF<sub>n</sub> to belong to the class of superhalogens but also show that its valence can exceed the nominal value of 1 and 2. © 2009 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3236576]

# **I. INTRODUCTION**

A superhalogen consists of a metal atom at the center surrounded by peripheral electronegative atoms such as fluorine, chlorine, and oxygen. As the number of these electronegative atoms increases, the added electron is delocalized over these atoms and consequently electron affinity (EA) increases. Indeed, the EA of a superhalogen is much larger than that of any electronegative atom in the periodic table. The concept of superhalogens was initially developed for sp elements. According to Gutsev and Boldyrev,<sup>1</sup> superhalogens obey the formula  $MX_{(n+1)/m}$ , where *n* is the maximal formal valence of the central atom (M), and *m* is the normal valence of X. Thus LiF<sub>2</sub> should be a superhalogen and indeed its EA of 5.45 eV (Ref. 2) is larger than that of F. Many superhalogens have been known over the years and some of these even belong to the 3d transition metal series. While the valence of sp elements is fixed, the valence of transition metal elements can vary over a wide range and thus provides the possibility of discovering an entirely new class of superhalogens. For example, the maximum formal valence of Mn, which has an outer orbital configuration of  $3d^54s^2$ , is 7 and MnO<sub>4</sub> can be a superhalogen. Indeed, the EA of MnO<sub>4</sub> was predicted to be 5 eV, which was experimentally verified.<sup>3</sup> In comparison, the EA of O is only 1.42 eV. Similarly, other 3d transition metal atoms are also known to form superhalogens, and FeO<sub>4</sub> and CrO<sub>4</sub> clusters have electron affinities of 3.8 and 4.96 eV, respectively.4

The third row transition metals M are known to form hexafluoride molecules in salts<sup>5</sup> and the electron affinities of MF<sub>6</sub> are larger than that of F. These molecules can serve as important oxidizers and when combined with appropriate positive ions, MF<sub>6</sub> molecules can form salts. Gold provides a unique example in this series. Although it has an outer electron configuration of  $5d^{10}6s^1$  and should only be monovalent, its highest oxidation state is confirmed to be +5 and

may even be as high as  $+7.^{6}$  The oxidation state of a metal atom is defined as the number of electrons that can be removed from it to participate in chemical bonding. AuF<sub>6</sub>, with an estimated EA of  $10 \pm 0.5$  eV,<sup>7</sup> is the most powerful oxidizing hexafluoride of the third row transition series and is known to form a stable CsAuF<sub>6</sub> salt.<sup>8</sup> Ag, on the other hand, has the highest oxidation state of +3 and AgF<sub>4</sub> also forms a stable salt.<sup>9</sup> Cu belongs to the same group as Ag and Au. With its outer electron configuration of  $3d^{10}4s^1$  Cu is known to possess a valence of 1 and 2 as exemplified by the existence of Cu<sub>2</sub>O and CuO. In this contribution we ask the following questions. Can Cu, which belongs to the same group as Ag and Au, also possess an oxidation state as high as +6? Can CuF<sub>n</sub> clusters for  $n \le 6$  exist in the gas phase? Do these clusters belong to the class of superhalogens? If so, do  $CuF_n$  superhalogens form dimers as halogen atoms do, e.g.,  $F_2$ ,  $Cl_2$ ? Is the binding of  $CuF_n$  superhalogens with an alkali metal stronger than that between F and alkali atoms?

Using density functional theory (DFT) we show that Cu can indeed have oxidation states ranging from +1 to +4, and possibly +5. Neutral  $CuF_n$  clusters are stable in the gas phase against dissociation to F and  $F_2$  for  $n \le 4$  while anion clusters are stable against dissociation to all possible channels for n $\leq$  5. The vibrational frequencies of CuF<sub>6</sub> cluster, both in the anionic and neutral forms, are positive which implies that these clusters are at a local minimum and can be stabilized if atomic F is used in their synthesis. The electron affinities of  $CuF_n$  clusters increase steadily with *n* reaching a peak value of 7.2 eV for CuF<sub>5</sub>. CuF<sub>6</sub> has an EA of 7 eV. These values are much larger than the EA of F, namely, 3.40 eV.<sup>10</sup> The highest occupied molecular orbital and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (HOMO-LUMO) gaps of these  $CuF_n$  clusters range between 1 and 5 eV. For comparison, we note that the HOMO-LUMO gap of  $C_{60}$  is only 1.6 eV. Based upon these observations  $CuF_n$  clusters can be expected to form the building blocks of a new class of salts with potential applications. Indeed a new salt containing CuF<sub>6</sub> octahedrons was

0021-9606/2009/131(12)/124301/6/\$25.00

131, 124301-1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a)</sup>Electronic mail: pjena@vcu.edu.

recently synthesized.<sup>11</sup> We also find that the binding of  $CuF_n$  superhalogens to an alkali atom is stronger than that between an alkali atom and F.

# **II. COMPUTATIONAL METHOD**

Our calculations are carried out using the self-consistent field-linear combination of atomic orbital-molecular orbital approach. The total energies are calculated using DFT and generalized gradient approximation (GGA) for exchangecorrelation potential (B3LYP).<sup>12</sup> While a number of GGA functionals are available, our choice of B3LYP functional was motivated by the recent work of Kolboe and Svelle,<sup>13</sup> where the authors showed that B3LYP functional confirmed the existence of a complex between the benzenium ion and ethane, in agreement with higher level calculations such as CCSD and QCISD. The atomic orbitals are represented by a Gaussian basis. We have used 6-311+G\* basis set for F, and triple-zeta nonrelativistic all-electron basis set (cc-pVTZ-NR) for Cu.<sup>14</sup> Structures were optimized without any symmetry constraint using the GAUSSIAN 03 code.<sup>15</sup> Normal mode frequencies were calculated for all structures to ensure that they belong to minima in the potential energy surface. Several initial geometries were also used to confirm the ground state structure. Calculations were also repeated for higher spin states to determine the preferred spin multiplicity of the neutral and anionic clusters. The convergence for energy and force was set to 0.000 01 eV and 0.001 eV/Å. This numerical procedure yielded an EA for the F atom and an ionization potential for the Cu atom as 3.485 and 7.98 eV, respectively, which agree very well with corresponding experimental values of 3.40 (Ref. 10) and 7.72 eV.<sup>16</sup> The calculated bond length and binding energy of  $F_2$  of 1.409 Å and 1.38 eV also agree very well with corresponding experimental values of 1.412 Å (Ref. 17) and 1.399 eV.<sup>18</sup>

### **III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

In Fig. 1 we plot the equilibrium geometries of neutral and anionic  $\operatorname{CuF}_n(n=1-6)$  clusters. The geometries of neutral  $\operatorname{CuF}_n$  clusters are similar to those of their anions and are planar up to  $\operatorname{CuF}_4$ . The geometry of  $\operatorname{CuF}_6^-$  has octahedral symmetry. While the structures of these clusters in the gas phase have not been verified experimentally, in salts  $\operatorname{CuF}_6^-$  is a distorted octahedron.<sup>11</sup> The average bond lengths  $\langle R \rangle$  between Cu–F in these clusters are given in Fig. 2. In anionic  $\operatorname{CuF}_n$  clusters for n > 2,  $\langle R \rangle$  is smaller than that in their neutral counterparts. This is in contrast to most anionic clusters where interatomic distances are larger than those in their neutrals. We will show in the following that this trend is consistent with the increasing stability and electronegativity of  $\operatorname{CuF}_n$  clusters for n > 2.

In Table I we list the relative energies for two lowest spin multiplicities of  $\text{CuF}_n$  neutral and anionic clusters. With the exception of neutral  $\text{CuF}_3$  and  $\text{CuF}_5$ , all clusters, both anionic and neutral, have the lowest possible spin state. The relative stabilities of these clusters against fragmentation to F atom or F<sub>2</sub> molecule are studied by calculating the energy  $\Delta E_n$  needed to dissociate these clusters into  $\text{CuF}_{n-1}$ +F and  $\text{Cu}_{n-2}$ +F<sub>2</sub>, namely,



FIG. 1. Optimized geometries of  $CuF_n$  neutral and anionic clusters.

$$\Delta E_n = -\{E[\operatorname{CuF}_n] - E[\operatorname{CuF}_{n-m}] - E[\operatorname{F}_m]\}, \quad m = 1, 2, \tag{1}$$

$$\Delta E_n^- = -\{E[\operatorname{CuF}_n^-] - E[\operatorname{CuF}_{n-m}^-] - E[\operatorname{F}_m]\}, \quad m = 1, 2.$$
(2)

The  $\Delta E_n$  and  $\Delta E_n^-$  values are plotted in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b). The energy costs decrease as successive F atoms and F<sub>2</sub> molecules are detached. The vibrational frequencies of all the CuF<sub>n</sub> clusters studied here are positive irrespective of their charge state. This implies that all these clusters are, at least, at local minima and are protected by energy barriers. More importantly, all these clusters are stable against dissociation to CuF<sub>n-1</sub>+F. Thus, neutral and anionic clusters up to CuF<sub>6</sub> can be formed if atomic F is used in the experimental synthesis. However, neutral CuF<sub>5</sub> and CuF<sub>6</sub> clusters are unstable against dissociation to a F<sub>2</sub> molecule. On the contrary, CuF<sub>n</sub><sup>-</sup>



FIG. 2. Average bond length (angstrom) between Cu and F in neutral and anionic  $\text{CuF}_n$  clusters.

TABLE I.	EA (in eV)	and energy	difference $\Delta I$	E (in eV)	between	different	multiplicities	(M=2S+1)	for anion
and neutra	al $CuF_n$ clus	ters.							

	А	nion	Ne		
n	М	$\Delta E$	М	$\Delta E$	EA
	2	0.00	1	0.00	
1	4	3.80	3	1.78	1.30
	1	0.00	2	0.00	
2	3	3.21	4	1.12	3.63
	2	0.00	1	0.74	
3	4	4.60	3	0.00	5.48
	1	0.00	2	0.00	
4	3	1.54	4	0.54	6.75
	2	0.00	1	0.82	
5	4	0.24	3	0.00	7.17
	1	0.00	2	0.00	
6	3	1.07	4	0.52	6.97

clusters up to n=5 are stable against all dissociation channels. The stability of these clusters is further established by examining their HOMO-LUMO gaps and vibrational frequencies. In Fig. 4 we show these HOMO-LUMO gaps along with maximum IR intensities as a function of number of F atoms. Note that the HOMO-LUMO gaps range between 1 and 5 eV in the neutral and anionic clusters. In comparison the HOMO-LUMO gap of  $C_{60}$  is only 1.6 eV.

=

To understand how a Cu atom which has a nominal valence of 1 or 2 can bind to as many as six F atoms, we have analyzed the HOMO and LUMO as well as the possible involvement of the inner shell 3d-electrons in bonding (Fig. 5). The latter was studied by using the natural bond analysis. The *d*-characteristics of the HOMO and LUMO are clearly seen confirming their involvement in bonding. In Fig. 6(a) we plot the number of 3*d* electrons participating in bonding in  $\text{CuF}_n$  clusters as a function of *n*. We see that this number increases with *n* in both neutral and anionic clusters. The involvement of 3*d* electrons is in terms of hybridization between Cu 3*d* and F 2*p* states rather than a complete charge transfer from the Cu to the F atoms. This is in agreement with the experimental finding of the existence of Cu 3*d*-F 2*p* hybridization in A<sub>2</sub>CuF<sub>4</sub> (A=K,Cs).<sup>19</sup>

In Fig. 6(b) we plot the adiabatic electron affinities of the  $\text{CuF}_n$  clusters, which are calculated by taking the energy difference between the neutral and corresponding anionic clusters, both in their ground state configurations. These rise steadily as the number of F atoms increases reaching a peak value of 7.2 eV in  $\text{CuF}_5$  cluster. This is more than a factor of



FIG. 3. Fragmentation energies (eV) for different channels [see Eqs. (1) and (2)].



FIG. 4. (a) HOMO-LUMO gaps and (b) maximum IR intensities of neutral and anionic  $\text{CuF}_n$  clusters.

Author complimentary copy. Redistribution subject to AIP license or copyright, see http://jcp.aip.org/jcp/copyright.jsp



FIG. 5. HOMO and LUMO of CuF<sub>6</sub> clusters.

2 larger than the EA of the F atom. The EA of  $\text{CuF}_6$  is 7 eV. Note that Scheller *et al.*<sup>5</sup> provided a calculated value of about 6 eV for the EA of  $\text{CuF}_6$ , although no details of this calculation are given. Hence,  $\text{CuF}_n$  ( $n \ge 2$ ) clusters can be termed as superhalogens.

According to the superhalogen theory, Cu with a maximal valence of 2 can permit  $\text{CuF}_3$  to behave as a superhalogen. The fact that  $\text{CuF}_n$  (n > 3) clusters are superhalogens is further evidence that inner shell electrons contribute to its valence, as has been discussed above. Thus, we see that  $\text{CuF}_6$  has similar properties as  $\text{AuF}_6$ , even though most of the unique properties of Au are attributed to relativistic effects. Calculations based on quantum chemical techniques<sup>20</sup> have also found large EA of  $\text{AuF}_6$ . It should be pointed out that in



FIG. 6. (a) Number of 3d electrons participating in bonding in neutral and anionic CuF<sub>n</sub> clusters obtained from a natural bond analysis and (b) EA of CuF<sub>n</sub> clusters.



FIG. 7. Three initial configurations for determining the structure of  ${\rm CuF}_4$  dimers.

the above calculation<sup>20</sup> the neutral and anionic clusters were constrained to have octahedral symmetry. While this is expected to be the case for the anionic clusters due to its filled HOMO, the neutral cluster will undergo Jahn–Teller distortion as observed here for  $CuF_6$  (see Fig. 1) and the energy of  $AuF_6$  can be further lowered. Hence, the calculated EA, namely, 9.56 eV (Ref. 20) of  $AuF_6$ , can only be an upper limit.

The question then arises. Is the polyvalent character of Cu a general characteristic or is it only confined to its interactions with halogens such as F? To answer this we have computed the equilibrium geometry and binding energy of Cu interacting with  $O_2$  molecules. We found that  $Cu(O_2)_3$  is stable with a binding energy of 0.95 eV per  $O_2$ . However, the three  $O_2$  molecules are bound in the superoxo form where the O atoms remain molecular. This result is in agreement with earlier theoretical calculations<sup>21</sup> and consistent with photoelectron spectroscopy measurements.<sup>22</sup> The reason why Cu atom cannot dissociate three  $O_2$  molecules while it can dissociate three  $F_2$  molecules is that the binding energy of  $O_2$ molecule, namely, 5.12 eV, is much larger than that of the  $F_2$ molecule, namely, 1.399 eV. Thus, it appears that the polyvalent character of Cu may apply only in selected systems.

To further understand the superhalogen behavior of  $\text{CuF}_n$  complexes, we sought answers to the following questions. To what extent does a superhalogen complex behave like a halogen atom? Note that halogen atoms such as F and Cl form  $F_2$  and Cl<sub>2</sub> molecules. Does a superhalogen complex form a dimer? Second, a halogen atom interacting with an alkali atom forms an ionic compound, a salt. Does a superhalogen bind more strongly to an alkali atom than a halogen atom? We answer these questions by concentrating on  $\text{CuF}_4$  and its interaction with K.

We first discuss the interaction between two  $\text{CuF}_4$  superhalogens. Based on the charge distribution in  $\text{CuF}_4$ , where Cu is positively charged while F is negatively charged, we chose three possible initial configurations to study the formation of  $\text{CuF}_4$  dimer. These are given in Fig. 7. In the first configuration, the two units are placed parallel to each other but shifted so that the Cu site in one unit can be closer to two F sites in the other. In the second and third configurations, these two complexes are placed perpendicular to each other with Cu and F sites close to each other to promote interaction. However, after full optimization, we have found that these two units do not bind to form a stable dimer, suggest-



FIG. 8. [(a) and (c)] Initial and [(b) and (d)] final geometries. (e) HOMO and (f) LUMO of  $CuF_4-K$  complex.

ing that the superhalogen  $CuF_4$  complex itself is very stable. Note that an earlier study has found that two CuF clusters bind.<sup>23</sup>

 $CuF_n$  superhalogens, when counterbalanced by appropriate positive ions, can form a new class of supersalts. Note that K<sub>3</sub>CuF<sub>6</sub> has been synthesized<sup>24</sup> and in this compound Cu can be regarded to exist in an oxidation state of +3. Tetravalent Cu is also known. After numerous unsuccessful attempts, Harnischmacher and Hoppe<sup>25</sup> were successful in synthesizing the first known compound of Cu<sup>IV</sup> (cesium hexafluorocuprate, Cs<sub>2</sub>CuF<sub>6</sub>). This orange-red solid undergoes vigorous decomposition with water. We are not aware of synthesis of any salt where Cu exists in the +5 or +6 oxidation state. As pointed out earlier, Jahn-Teller distorted  $CuF_6$  octahedra have been seen in single crystal structure of copper usovites  $(Ba_2CaCuCr_2F_{14}).^{11}$  Salts with  $CuF_5^-$  and  $CuF_6^-$  as building blocks may be useful in storing a large amount of F atoms safely and their controlled release may have beneficial effects in combating biological agents due to the oxidizing property of F. In addition, the varying HOMO-LUMO gaps of  $CuF_n$  clusters may permit their salts to have interesting optical properties.

Next we discuss the interaction of  $\text{CuF}_4$  with a K atom. Initially we put the K atom on top of Cu [Fig. 8(a)]. After optimization we found a structure where the K atom binds with two F ions forming a planar structure [see Fig. 8(b)]. The binding energy of KCuF<sub>4</sub> is found to be 6.77 eV. This is



FIG. 9. (a) Geometry, (b) HOMO, and (c) LUMO of  $CuF_4-K$  dimer. For comparison, the corresponding geometry of  $(KF)_2$  dimer is given.

higher than the binding between an F atom and a K atom, namely, 5.263 eV. The binding with K atom reduces the HOMO-LUMO gap (0.42 eV) and increases the chemical reactivity. The kinetic stability of the planar complex of  $CuF_4-K$  is confirmed by frequency calculations, as all the frequencies are real. To further check the thermodynamic stability of the planar structure, we calculated one isomer [Fig. 8(c)], where CuF<sub>4</sub> forms a tetrahedron, and the K atom is capped to the top site of Cu having the freedom to bind with three F ions. In the optimized structure, K atom is shifted to bind with two F ions [Fig. 8(d)]; however, the energy is 0.34 eV higher than that of the planar structure [Fig. 8(b)]. Therefore the planar geometry in Fig. 8(b) is the ground state. Figures 8(e) and 8(f) show the HOMO and LUMO, where the main contributions are from CuF<sub>4</sub>. This is in contrast to KF molecule where the K site does not contribute to HOMO but to LUMO.

We have seen that CuF<sub>4</sub> is stable and does not aggregate to form a dimer due to the large HOMO-LOMO gap. But once a K atom is introduced, strong binding occurs and the HOMO-LUMO gap is reduced to 0.42 eV. Thus we can expect the aggregation between two  $CuF_4$ -K complexes. Based on the charge distributions, the most stable geometry is given in Fig. 9(a), where the binding takes place between K site and F site with the distance of 2.7 Å, larger than the distance between two KF dimers [Fig. 9(d)]. The interaction energy is found to be 1.55 eV, which is 0.5 eV weaker than KF-KF dimer. From the geometry we can see that in CuF<sub>4</sub>-K dimer the distortions occur in K sites while the planar structure of CuF<sub>4</sub> is still kept. This stability of CuF<sub>4</sub> allows it to be a building block of new salts. In fact, the planar geometry of CuF<sub>4</sub> is 0.63 eV lower in energy than that of tetrahedron where the Cu is in the center. Moreover, the main contributions to HOMO [Fig. 9(b)] and LUMO [Fig. 9(c)] in CuF<sub>4</sub>-K dimer are from CuF<sub>4</sub>, which are different from KF–KF dimer [Figs. 9(e) and 9(f)]. These results illustrate the similarities and differences between a superhalogen complex and a halogen atom.

# **IV. CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, we have shown that a Cu atom can bind up to six F atoms if atomic F is used in their synthesis.  $CuF_n$   $(CuF_n^-)$  clusters for  $n \le 4$  ( $n \le 5$ ) are stable against *all* dissociation channels, ensuring that Cu can exist in a tetravalent state, at least in gas phase reactions. It has the possibility of existing in a hexavalent state, *albeit* in a metastable form. The electron affinities of these  $CuF_n$  clusters are higher than the most electronegative atom F in the periodic table and can reach a value as high as 7.2 eV. The interaction of superhalogens with an alkali atom is modeled by considering  $CuF_4$  and K. The binding energy of  $KCuF_4$  is larger than that of KF suggesting that a new class of salts can be synthesized by reacting  $CuF_n$  superhalogens with appropriate metal cations. The resulting salts with high oxidizing properties can have potential applications in combating biological agents.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported in part by a grant from the Defense Threat Reduction Agency (Grant No. HDTRA1-09-1-0025). We are grateful to Professor Kit Bowen, Professor Robert Compton, and Professor Gennady Gutsev for discussions and for a critical reading of the manuscript.

- <sup>1</sup>G. L. Gutsev and A. I. Boldyrev, Chem. Phys. Lett. **108**, 250 (1984).
- <sup>2</sup>G. L. Gutsev, R. J. Bartlett, A. I. Boldyrev, and J. Simons, J. Chem. Phys. 107, 3867 (1997).
- <sup>3</sup>G. L. Gutsev, B. K. Rao, P. Jena, X. B. Wang, and L. S. Wang, Chem. Phys. Lett. **312**, 598 (1999).
- <sup>4</sup>G. L. Gutsev, S. N. Khanna, B. K. Rao, and P. Jena, Phys. Rev. A 59, 3681 (1999).
- <sup>5</sup>M. K. Scheller, R. N. Compton, and L. S. Ceederbaum, Science 270,

- 1160 (1995); R. N. Compton and P. W. Reinhardt, J. Chem. Phys. **72**, 4655 (1980); O. Graudejus, S. H. Elder, G. M. Lucier, C. Shen, and N. Bartlett, Inorg. Chem. **38**, 2503 (1999); G. M. Lucier, C. Shen, and S. H. Elder, *ibid.* **37**, 3829 (1998).
- <sup>6</sup>S. Riedel and M. Kaupp, Inorg. Chem. **45**, 1228 (2006); D. Himmel and S. Riedel, *ibid.* **46**, 5338 (2007).
- <sup>7</sup>R. N. Compton, J. Chem. Phys. **68**, 2023 (1978).
- <sup>8</sup>K. Leary and N. Bartlett, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **15**, 903 (1972).
- <sup>9</sup>G. M. Lucier, J. M. Whalen, and N. Bartlett, J. Fluorine Chem. **89**, 101 (1998).
- <sup>10</sup> J. C. Rienstra-Kiracofe, G. S. Tschumper, H. F. Schaefer III, S. Nandi, and G. B. Ellison, Chem. Rev. (Washington, D.C.) **102**, 231 (2002).
- <sup>11</sup> A. L. Lirzin, J. Darriet, A. Tressaud, and D. Babel, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 634, 2737 (2008).
- <sup>12</sup>B. D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys. **98**, 5648 (1993).
- <sup>13</sup>S. Kolboe and S. Svelle, J. Phys. Chem. A **112**, 6399 (2008).
- <sup>14</sup>N. B. Balabanov and K. A. Peterson, J. Chem. Phys. **123**, 064107 (2005).
- <sup>15</sup> M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel *et al.*, GAUSSIAN03, Revision B.04, Gaussian, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA, 2003.
- <sup>16</sup>H. P. Loock, L. M. Beaty, and B. Simard, Phys. Rev. A 59, 873 (1999).
- <sup>17</sup>J. A. Pople, M. Head-Gordon, D. J. Fox, K. Raghavachari, and L. A. Curtiss, J. Chem. Phys. **90**, 5622 (1989).
- <sup>18</sup> V. H. Dibeler, J. Chem. Phys. **50**, 4592 (1969).
- <sup>19</sup>H. Manaka, T. Koide, T. Shidara, and I. Yamada, Phys. Rev. B 68, 184412 (2003).
- <sup>20</sup>E. Miyoshi and Y. Sakai, J. Chem. Phys. 89, 7363 (1988).
- <sup>21</sup>T. Baruah, R. R. Zope, and M. R. Pederson, Phys. Rev. A 69, 023201 (2004).
- <sup>22</sup>H. Wu, S. R. Desai, and L.-S. Wang, J. Phys. Chem. A 101, 2103 (1997).
- <sup>23</sup>J. Hrusak, S. Ten-no, and S. Iwata, J. Chem. Phys. 106, 7185 (1997).
- <sup>24</sup>N. Bartlett, G. Lucier, and C. Shen, J. Fluorine Chem. 71, 163 (1995).
- <sup>25</sup> W. Harnischmacher and R. Hoppe, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. **12**, 582 (1973).