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Abstract

Lipid rafts, the sphingolipid and cholesterol-enriched membrane microdomains, are able to form different membrane macrodomains or platforms upon stimulations, including redox signaling platforms, which serve as a critical signaling mechanism to mediate or regulate cellular activities or functions. In particular, this raft platform formation provides an important driving force for the assembling of NADPH oxidase subunits and the recruitment of other related receptors, effectors, and regulatory components, resulting, in turn, in the activation of NADPH oxidase and downstream redox regulation of cell functions. This comprehensive review attempts to summarize all basic and advanced information about the formation, regulation, and functions of lipid raft redox signaling platforms as well as their physiological and pathophysiological relevance. Several molecular mechanisms involving the formation of lipid raft redox signaling platforms and the related therapeutic strategies targeting them are discussed. It is hoped that all information and thoughts included in this review could provide more comprehensive insights into the understanding of lipid raft redox signaling, in particular, of their molecular mechanisms, spatial-temporal regulations, and physiological, pathophysiological relevance to human health and diseases. Antioxid. Redox Signal. 15, 1043–1083.
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I. Introduction

Redox signaling is increasingly regarded as an important cellular process in a variety of cellular activities, including cell proliferation (50, 52, 275), differentiation (72, 153, 219, 337, 338), and apoptosis (162, 242, 254, 304, 413). Redox injury, as a pathological mechanism, is also involved in a wide range of pathophysiological processes such as senescence (65), inflammation (17, 264, 421), hypoxia (32, 148, 200, 245), and ischemia/reperfusion (126, 379, 384), which contribute to the progression of almost all diseases, from cardiovascular ones such as shock (94, 116, 117), hypertension (73, 167, 288, 294, 316, 440), atherosclerosis (208, 297), to metabolic ones such as diabetes mellitus (20, 217), neurodegenerative ones such as Alzheimer's disease (AD) (55, 305), infectious diseases (184, 252, 285, 375), and cancer (16, 292, 409).

Despite extensive research, the exact mechanism by which redox enzymes are promptly activated by different stimuli still remains poorly understood, perhaps because enzymes such as NADPH oxidase, unlike G-protein-coupled enzymes, are not linked directly with any specific receptors. Recently collected evidence suggests that membrane lipid rafts (LRs) and their platforms may represent an important mechanism by which redox signals are produced and transmitted in response to various agonists or stimuli (234, 283, 423, 446). Many studies have shown that LRs or their platforms can participate in the signaling of cell apoptosis or dysfunction associated with oxidative stress during activation of various death receptors (385). Major advances in LR redox signaling in specific cell types have been reported and reviewed by a series of excellent papers that have added much to the literature (192, 235, 283, 446). This review will seek to further extend such LR redox signaling concept to different areas as a common signaling mechanism and thoroughly introduce the latest advances in its molecular mechanisms and the corresponding physiological and pathological relevance. Some special emphasis will be put on the different patterns of LR redox signaling platforms, the different regulation of such redox signaling platforms, and their translational significance in health and diseases.

II. Redox Signaling and Redox Injury

A. Redox signaling

In biological systems, electron-transfer processes play a key messenger role in redox signaling and it is primarily represented by reactive oxygen species (ROS) as a messenger that mediates or regulates cell-cell communication and intracellular signal transduction (28, 352, 402). ROS is a collective term that often includes not only the oxygen radicals such as superoxide (O$_2^-$), hydroxyl (OH·), peroxyl (RO$_2$), alkoxyl (RO·), hydroperoxyl (HO$_2^-$) but also such nonradicals as hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$), hypochlorous acid (HOCl), ozone (O$_3$), singlet oxygen (AgO$_2$), and peroxynitrite (ONOO$^-$).
Since these oxygen derivatives, whether they are radicals or nonradicals, are very reactive, they can oxidize or reduce other molecules in living cells or tissues. Therefore, in general, redox signaling is often referred to as the signaling induced by ROS. However, these ROS are often called oxidants, since they can act as both oxidizing and reducing agents. In the literature, ROS, oxygen-derived species, and oxidants are used interchangeably to refer to the same substances active in a biological system (149, 369, 381).

Under physiological or pathological conditions, ROS can be produced as a basic signaling messenger to maintain cell or organ functions, or increasingly generated or released in response to various stimuli. Meanwhile, these active molecules are constantly scavenged by the endogenous antioxidant systems, mainly composed of the enzyme-mediated pathways as superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase, glutathione peroxidase, glutathione-S-transferase, thioredoxin/thioredoxin reductase, and other peroxidases. In addition, direct reactions between the ROS and different molecules may also result in antioxidant actions such as the interactions between ROS and NO, -SH, vitamin E, β-carotene, ceruloplasmin, ferritin, transferin, hemoglobin, and ascorbates (28, 352, 402). Being tightly regulated under normal conditions, intracellular and extracellular ROS are maintained at very low levels (less than 1% of produced ROS) (102, 199, 250, 307, 404). If the generation of ROS exceeds its removal by scavengers, the intracellular and extracellular levels of ROS will increase, leading to oxidative stress and a progression of various pathophysiological processes and respective diseases (102, 199). If the level of ROS increases to even higher levels, its damaging effects, to DNAs, proteins, lipids, and glycols, become inevitable (28, 102, 199). These damaging effects of ROS are often tightly correlated together and share a common redox system responsible for the generation and scavenging of ROS molecules (102, 199).

### B. Redox signaling versus injury

Among ROS, H₂O₂ was first found to mimic the action of insulin and insulin could activate NADPH oxidase to generate endogenous H₂O₂. These results demonstrated a concept of redox signaling (263). Thereafter in 1978 both insulin and insulin could activate NADPH oxidase to generate endogenous H₂O₂ and thus ROS and, in particular, H₂O₂ were confirmed to have signaling actions. However, because ROS have numerous pathological roles in various diseases and participate in bacteria killing and there is overwhelming evidence that antioxidants can prevent oxidative damage and thus protect against the adverse effects of oxidants, the pathological actions of ROS were largely focused in many studies over decades, which overshadowed the important signaling action of ROS under physiological conditions. During the last decade, the research of ROS as signaling molecules has taken a new turn. It is now clear that in the biological systems ROS may act as autocrine, paracrine, or intracellular second messengers, involved in various signaling processes. Today it is understood that the signaling or damaging actions of ROS in or on cells are very much dependent on the level of oxidants in the cells or tissues (96). There is agreement now that the biological responses to cellular or tissue ROS levels are very different and vary from physiological to pathological reactions. When a small amount of ROS is produced, they may mediate physiological redox signaling. When ROS production increases to certain levels, cell/tissue repair or adaptive responses may be activated. When ROS production is further increased to high levels, cell/tissue damage can occur, resulting in apoptosis and necrosis (96).

### C. Common ROS as messengers

It is now widely accepted that ROS and, in particular, H₂O₂ are involved in all types of signaling, including synaptic signaling (364), paracrine signaling (319, 448), autocrine signaling (43), and intracellular signaling (176), as a mediator or modulator of signal transduction. So far, there are four common ROS, which are reportedly able to serve as secondary messengers. As shown in Table 1, they are O₂•−, H₂O₂, HO•−, and ONOO•−. These ROS are centered on the O₂•− as shown by their chemical reactions. O₂•− can be converted into H₂O₂, HO•−, and ONOO•−, either enzymatically or nonenzymatically. Although there is evidence that these downstream ROS may be converted back to O₂•−, the reaction of O₂•− to form these downstream products are dominant in mammalian cell systems. Therefore, in general, O₂•− may produce its action primarily through its downstream products, notwithstanding its ability to directly act as a signaling molecule. Given the central role of O₂•− in the conversion into other common ROS, the production of O₂•− and related regulation in biological systems has been intensively studied. It is, for example, well recognized that for signaling functions, O₂•− is primarily produced via several endogenous pathways, including different enzyme systems such as mitochondrial flavin enzymes, NADPH oxidase, xanthine oxidase, cytochrome P450, lipoygenase, cyclooxygenase, uncoupled nitric oxide synthase (NOS), and peroxisomes. Some enzymatic derivatives of O₂•− may be formed via photolysis, Fe(III) heme protein, and auto-oxidation reactions. These enzymatic and nonenzymatic pathways responsible for O₂•− production in the biological systems are summarized in Table 2 (28, 102, 199). Among these pathways, NADPH oxidase has been reported to be a major source of O₂•− in redox regulation in some cells such as vascular endothelial and smooth muscle cells (51, 138). It is estimated that this nonmitochondrial NADPH oxidase-derived O₂•− constitutes more than 95% of the production of O₂•− in these cells, especially when stimulated (259, 319). The role of NADPH oxidase in the normal regulation of cell functions has been well documented and is considered as one of the most important redox signaling pathways (82, 91).

### Table 1. Common Signaling Reactive Oxygen Species and Their Chemical Reactions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common signaling reactive oxygen species</th>
<th>Chemical reactions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Superoxide</td>
<td>(O₂•−) + e→ O₂•−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+ NO→ ONOO•−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen Peroxide (H₂O₂)</td>
<td>H₂O₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxyl Radical (HO•−)</td>
<td>HO•−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peroxynitrite (ONOOC•−)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In 1972, Singer and Nicolson first proposed a “fluid mosaic model” of cell membrane structures (360, 361). Since then, numerous studies have advanced our understanding of membrane biology. In 1977, based on experimental observations, Jain and White suggested a “microdomain or lipid domain mode” of membrane structures, which hypothesized that the cell membrane is made of dynamic membrane microdomains (180, 181). This model emphasized the fluid characteristics of mosaic blocks in the cell membrane (180, 181). Further studies, since, have demonstrated that sphingolipids and cholesterol-rich microdomains in the cell membrane have unique physical and chemical properties, which are able to form liquid ordered structures that float in the ocean of fluid glycerophospholipids. Such sphingolipids and cholesterol-rich microdomains have been found to play important roles in biological and physiological processes (256, 327, 328). Until 1997, Simons and Ikonen proposed, based on many studies of lipid patches or membrane microdomains in molecular trafficking in their own labs and others, the so-called LR model for cell membrane structures, based on the organization of sphingolipids and cholesterol mircodomains that can be selectively included or excluded (47, 356, 359, 394). They concluded that the function of such lipid microdomains is to serve as rafts for the transport of selected membranes or as relay stations in intracellular signaling (356–358).

LRs were assumed to consist of dynamic assemblies of cholesterol and lipids with saturated acyl chains such as sphingolipids and glycosphingolipids in the exoplasmic leaflets of the membrane bilayer and phospholipids with saturated fatty acids and cholesterol in the inner leaflets (283). Because long fatty acid of sphingolipids in the outer leaflets couples the exoplasmic and cytoplasmic leaflets by interdigitation and transmembrane proteins stabilize this coupling, LRs are very stable and detergent resistant (247, 277). The sizes of individual LRs are thought to vary in different cell types from 50 to 200 nm in diameter. Given its small size, a raft may contain only a subset of all available raft proteins. It has been estimated that the number of proteins in each raft de-

---

**Table 2. Endogenous Production of O$_2^{•-}$**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzymatic</th>
<th>Nonenzymatic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mitochondrial Flavin Enzymes</td>
<td>Photolysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NADPH Oxidase</td>
<td>Heme protein + Fe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xanthine oxidase</td>
<td>Auto-oxidation reactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytochrome P450</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipooxygenase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclooxygenase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitric Oxide Synthase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peroxisomes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Molecular Structures and Their Clustering**

*From the point of view of evolution, the formation of cell membranes has led to a separation of the protoplasm from the environment, enduing a cell with more independence and more capability of efficiently maintaining its integrity (139, 253). Cells selectively uptake molecules through the plasma membrane, or secrete molecules into the external cellular environment, keeping an efficient homeostatic balance in substances exchanged. Such membrane-mediated exchanges and regulatory activities facilitate the life of organisms and empower them to evolve to more advanced levels (212, 324, 346). It is well known that the cell membranes are mainly composed of lipids, proteins, and glycols, in variable ratios, in different cell types (139, 253). Membrane lipids comprise more than 50% of the cell membrane and constitute the backbone of the cell wall. These membrane lipids mainly include phospholipids, sphingolipids, glycolipids, and cholesterol, and their chemical structures are shown in Figure 1. For many years, the role of these membrane lipids in the constitution of cells or various organelle membranes has been intensively studied, and several different membrane models developed to explain the structure of various biological membranes and their interaction with other components (212, 324, 346).*

**FIG. 1. Composition of membrane lipids and their chemical structures.** Lipid rafts (LRs) may consist of dynamic assemblies of cholesterol and lipids with saturated acyl chains such as sphingolipids and glycosphingolipids in the exoplasmic leaflet of the membrane bilayer. In addition, phospholipids with saturated fatty acids and cholesterol in the inner leaflet. Here depicted are structures of two sphingolipids including sphingomyelin and glycosphingolipids (GSL), cholesterol, and phospholipid-phosphatidylcholine.
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depends on its packing density, but it probably carries no more than 10–30 proteins (314). This, in turn, suggests that raft clustering is important for transmembrane signaling amplification. By comparing the ratio of the main raft and nonraft exoplasmic leaflet lipids, it was found that about 45% of the cell surface in fibroblasts and about 30% in lymphocytes are made up by sphingolipids (143, 314).

Notwithstanding the extensive research into them, even the existence of LRs is still not beyond doubt and some debates remain due to the lack of direct observations of such LR structures in living cells (266). With the development of advanced technologies in microscopy and spectroscopy, such as scanning probe microscopy (SPM), atomic force microscopy, single-particle tracking (SPT), fluorescence correlation spectroscopy (FCS), fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET), and fluorescence photoactivation localization microscopy (FPALM), more and more direct evidence gathered in living cells has shown that the nano-scale dynamic microdomains are rich in sphingolipid, cholesterol, and specific proteins (241). Hancock (151) suggests that rafts at the plasma membrane are present in nanoscale complexes, which are well below the optical resolution limits set by the diffraction of light. This nanometer-size scale was supported by electron microscopic observations of immunogold-labeled raft markers (101). More recently, by using near-field scanning optical microscopic techniques with localization accuracies of approximately 3 nm, a nanodomain of GPI-anchored proteins was observed concentrated in a region smaller than 250 nm in fixed cells (396). In living cells, however, single-particle tracking of colloidal gold-labeled glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchored receptors, CD59, and others has revealed CD59 clusters containing several CD59 molecules, and single molecules of Gp2 or Lyn that were frequently if only transiently (133 and 200 ms, respectively) recruited to CD59 clusters right after the recruitment of Gp2 (376). Other evidence obtained through variable waist fluorescence correlation spectroscopy indicates how GPI-anchored proteins, in the form of assemblages of less than 120 nm in diameter, fluctuate on a subsecond time scale (229). In addition, high spatial and temporal resolution fluorescence resonance energy transfers reveal a size estimate of approximately 10 nm in GPI-anchored receptors residing in temporally stable clusters (125). Fluorescence photoactivation localization microscopy has shown a dynamically clustered nanoscale distribution of hemagglutinin (161), a transmembrane protein thought to be raft associated (314). By analysis of the association between cholesterol and sphingolipids, in the assembly formation of membranes, using stimulated emission depletion microscopy, a study has revealed that, unlike glycerophospholipids, plasma-membrane sphingolipids display transient cholesterol-dependent confinement in areas of less than 20 nm, which is a typical LR structure (83). All these lines of evidence obtained by using the most advanced techniques strongly support the idea that membrane molecular constituents form microdomains or LRs in the cell membrane of diverse cell types, suggesting, in turn, the presence of small, dynamic, and selective cholesterol-related microdomain heterogeneity or LRs in the plasma membranes of living cells. It would appear that functioning LRs are not only present in cell membranes, but are responsible for molecular trafficking, transport, and signaling (152, 241).

Yet, many scientists who have failed to identify LRs in their work on living cells are not completely convinced that there are such things as LRs present in living cell membranes. Due, no doubt, greatly to this reason, a recent “Key Stone Symposium on LRs and Cell Functions,” which brought together leading scientists in the raft field, replaced the term “lipid rafts” with “membrane rafts (MR).” Since this conference it is MRs that are referred to in the literature, irrespective of whether the rafts are thought to be driven by lipids (classical LRs proposed by Simons and Ikonen and the classification adopted throughout this article), or thought to be driven by protein interactions, where lipids are merely accompanying components (157).

B. Molecular models of LRs

Two major molecular models are often utilized to describe and explain the nature and behavior of LRs. In the first model, LRs are considered relatively small structures enriched in cholesterol and sphingolipids within which associated proteins are likely to be concentrated (356). In this sphingolipid-enriched model of LRs, the most prevalent component of the sphingolipid fraction in the cell membrane is sphingomyelin (SM), which is composed of a highly hydrophobic ceramide moiety and a hydrophilic phosphorylcholine headgroup. The tight interaction between the cholesterol-sterol-ring system and the ceramide moiety of the SM promotes a lateral association between the sphingolipids and the cholesterol, forming distinct microdomains. In these microdomains, cholesterol exerts a stabilizing role by filling the voids between the large and bulky sphingolipids. The cholesterol-SM interaction determines the transition of these microdomains into a liquid-ordered or gel-like phase that is the unique characteristic of LRs. Other domains in cell membranes primarily exist in a more disordered fluid or liquid phase, precisely because of the absence of this cholesterol-SM interaction (146).

The second model of LRs, known as the shell hypothesis, views the generation of LRs as being based on protein–lipid or protein–protein interactions. According to this model, rafts are constructed of lipid shells, which, as small dynamic membrane assemblies, are formed by proteins preferentially associated with certain types of lipids. Protein–protein interactions create larger functional units corresponding to LRs (13). Other nonshell proteins associate with LRs by additional and new protein–protein interactions. In addition, an oligomerization of these proteins may create and stabilize large raft domains, forming LR platforms, making the formation and clustering of LRs dependent on both protein-lipid interactions and protein–protein interactions (160).

In many studies of the molecular models of LRs or the mechanisms forming LRs in cell membranes, two common questions have often been asked: (i) Why can sphingolipid- and cholesterol-enriched microdomains be separated from glycerophospholipid membrane bilayers and act as rafts floating in the membrane? (ii) What kind of proteins associates with LRs? In trying to answer the first question, evidence is proffered showing that there are three main factors accounting for the formation of LRs and leading to their flotation in the cell membrane. First, compared to glycerophospholipid, the two hydrophobic SM chains are longer and more highly saturated, making them fully extended and tightly packed close to each other, which represents an important feature of LR assemblies (241, 372, 450). The different arrangements between sphingolipids and phospholipids may
be the key factor causing the phase separation in their combination (241, 372, 450). More studies have shown that a different phase separation behavior can occur in the mixed system of cholesterol, leading to coexistence of classic mesophase and a new liquid ordered phase. In such a new liquid ordered phase, lipid fatty acid chains are fully stretched and closely arranged into a gel like phase that exhibits a high degree of lateral mobility (241, 372, 450). Second, unlike glycerophospholipids, SMs contain at least one hydroxyl group as shown in Figure 1, which makes hydrogen bonds easy to form not only between SM molecules, but also between SM and cholesterol (39). The formation of intermolecular hydrogen bonding significantly increases the intermolecular forces among these molecules, increasing the melting temperature of the lipid assembly and resulting in a transition of the assembly from a liquid disordered phase (liquid phase), with lower melting temperatures into a liquid ordered phase (gel phase) with higher melting temperatures. Conversion of SM into such liquid ordered phases separates it from the surrounding liquid disordered phase (glycerophospholipids) (39), not unlike sphingolipid rafts floating in a sea of glycerophospholipids, a structural arrangement figuratively referred to as LRs. Finally, cholesterol can promote phase separation behavior. By filling the void space in the bulky sphingolipid molecules and forming hydrogen bonds with sphingolipids, cholesterol serves as a glue that packs the sphingolipid molecules into a more tightly organized assembly (39). Because the sphingolipids required to combine with the cholesterol for the formation of the liquid ordered phase are much less than those without cholesterol, LRs in cells are formed with relatively much less membrane sphingolipids (39). Cholesterol depletion by M-β-CD or cholesterol binding to fillipin leads to the breakdown of LRs because these compounds suppress the glue effect of cholesterol on sphingolipids. This is why both compounds are used as classical tool drugs in the area of LR research (39).

With respect to what types of proteins associate with LRs, there is considerable evidence that only those proteins with specific posttranslational modifications, such as the glycol-phosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchoring proteins, Src family tyrosine kinase, and the marker protein of LR, caveolin, can fuse in or dissociate from LRs (240, 241, 356). Based on their location in the cell membrane, membrane proteins can be divided into three categories: (i) proteins present within LRs, including glyco-phosphatidylinositol-anchored proteins (GPI anchored proteins), some transmembrane proteins, Hedgehog proteins, and doubly acylated proteins such as nonreceptor tyrosine kinase Src, G protein Gz subunits, and vascular endothelial cell NOS; (ii) proteins present outside LRs (the liquid disordered phospholipids); and (iii) proteins present between or around LRs, such as certain proteins in low affinity with LRs: under resting status, they may form oligomerized bodies that are transferred into LRs upon stimulation.

Recent proteomic analysis has demonstrated that there are around 241 authentic proteins detectable in LRs (98). It was found that these proteins underwent several types of posttranslational modifications, thereby increasing their binding capacity to sphingolipids (265, 299). These posttranslational modifications include GPI-anchoring, palmitoylation, and myristoylation. Among these modifications, palmitoylation is attracting particular interest among investigators (265, 299). Although most of these lipid modifications are irreversible, protein S-palmitoylation, also called as thioacylation or S-acylation, is able to reversibly attach, via thioester linkages, to 16-carbon saturated fatty acids that have specific cysteine residues in their protein substrates (239, 399). Such palmitoylation enhances surface hydrophobicity and the membrane affinity of protein substrates and thereby plays important roles in modulating protein trafficking (79, 239), stability (239), sorting (135), etc. It is now widely accepted that the proteins that undergo palmitoylation have a high propensity to be targeted into LRs.

C. LRs on cell membranes

1. Caveolar LRs. The concept of two types of LRs, namely, caveolar and noncaveolar rafts, in cell membranes, based on their structure and components, are well established. Caveolar rafts are formed in cell types that express caveolin proteins that bend to form scaffoldings that give shape and form caveolae. Although there have been numerous studies about caveolae functions, even before the establishment of a general LR concept (99, 344, 370), the most well-studied function of caveolae has been its role as an important platform for the action of endothelial NOS (eNOS) and the synthesis of NO as a regulator of vascular dilation and constriction (119). There is wide agreement that the binding of eNOS to the caveolin scaffolding can inhibit eNOS activity (112), whereas the absence of any caveolin expression can increase eNOS activity (322). General consensus is also shared in the important role played by endothelium-specific expressions of eNOS and, in turn, the colocalization of eNOS with caveolins in ECs, in NO-mediated vasodilation and, thereby, blood pressure homeostasis (267). The caveolin-1-mediated formation of caveolae in ECs represents a form of LR clustering, which is present even under resting conditions. In general, NOS in caveolae are constitutive and most activators of this enzyme do not alter the location of the NOS in caveolae. This is different from noncaveolar LRs, which largely depend on clustering or de-clustering in response to various stimuli. As shown in Figure 2, caveolar and noncaveolar LRs mediate different signaling pathways, thereby

![FIG. 2. Demonstration of caveolar and noncaveolar lipid rafts and their function. Caveolar and noncaveolar LRs may mediate different signaling pathways in different cells or even in the same cell in response to different agonists or stimuli. (To see this illustration in color the reader is referred to the web version of this article at www.liebertonline.com/ars).](image-url)
participating in the regulation of different cell functions or cell responses to agonists or other stimuli (119, 131).

In addition to NOS regulation of caveolae, caveolae is also understood to play an important role in endocytic or exocytic transmembrane transport (154). They can bud from the plasma membrane and fuse with intracellular organelles, including caveosomes (276, 303), or bud outward from the cell surface in exocytosis (301). Caveolar endocytosis may well be a mechanism in the regulation of the lipid composition of the plasma membrane (60, 348). More important to redox signaling, recent studies have linked such caveolar raft-associated endocytosis with the formation of redoxosomes. It has been suggested that receptor stimulation may lead to the formation of redoxosomes by caveolin-1-dependent LR-mediated endocytosis of receptors such as IL-1RI, as NADPH oxidase subunit gp91phox (NOX2) and IL-1RI enter redoxosomes together from the cell-surface caveolae. Therefore, LR- or caveole-mediated endocytosis would be critical for the formation of redoxosomes (283, 284). Such caveole-mediated endocytic processes have been shown to participate in the regulation of cell functions such as ion channel activities, cell polarization, molecular metabolism, recycling, and membrane repair (60, 204–206, 269, 296, 300, 302, 377).

2. Noncaveolar LRs. According to current understanding, caveolae and noncaveolar LRs may mediate different signaling pathways, participating in the temporal-spatial regulation of the consequent cell responses even in the same type of cells. Despite different signaling functions, the lipid components in caveolar or noncaveolar rafts are difficult to differentiate using common LR research techniques. Yet, there is considerable evidence that while some cell types have only caveolae or noncaveolar membrane rafts, some cell types may have both in their plasma membranes (406). Numerous studies have been done to clarify the association of NOS with caveolae and noncaveolar rafts. They, in turn, have shed vital light on the complex features of such membrane structures as functional units. As mentioned above, the formation of caveolae may be associated with NO production and endocytosis in ECs (119, 370). However, eNOS is also found in noncaveolar LRs and the formation of caveolae promotes interfacing or juxtaposing of NOS with other signaling partners such as caveolin-1, dynamin-2, calmodulin, heat shock protein 90, and akt (315). There is evidence that although caveolin-1 is important to the formation of caveolae, this protein exerts an inhibitory action on NO activity. In fact, the formation of caveolae appears to play a critical role in clustering or juxtaposing various signaling components for NOS production. From this perspective, caveolin-1-mediated formation of caveolae clearly represents a special form of LR clustering, which is constitutive and present even under resting conditions. Noncaveolar LRs are clustered in response to agonists or stimuli. Therefore, it is not surprising that NOS can be detected in caveolar and noncaveolar LRs. With respect to NADPH oxidase, its subunits have also been identified in caveolin and noncaveolar LRs of certain cell types studied (423, 453). Like NOS, the distribution of NOX in both LRs and caveolae may also mediate different signaling pathways, participating in the temporal–spatial redox regulation of cell functions in different or even same type of cells, although in response to agonists or stimuli. For example, in vascular smooth muscle (VSM) cells there is strong evidence that NADPH oxidase subunits are colocalized with caveolin-1, indicating an association of this enzyme with caveolae (163, 390). Angiotensin II stimulates this caveolae-associated NADPH oxidase to produce O$_2^-$, an integral part of the redox signaling mechanism mediating the action of angiotensin II in the regulation of VSM cellular activities such as protein synthesis, hypertrophy, and proliferation (453). In endothelial cells, however, the action of inflammatory factors, such as the TNF-α or Fas ligand (FasL), to alter endothelial functions, are dependent on both caveolae and noncaveolae-related mechanisms (423, 444). The formation of noncaveolar LR signaling platforms may contribute to aggregation or recruitment of NADPH oxidase components in ECs. Different from caveolae, LRs clustering of these noncaveolar LRs are not constitutively present, but occur only upon stimulations (444).

3. Ceramide-enriched micro- and macrodomains. In spite of the difficulty in pinpointing classical LRs with SM in living cells, ceramide-enriched membrane domains are well documented. The biophysical properties of ceramide molecules predict a tight interaction of ceramide molecules with each other, resulting in the formation of stable and tightly packed ceramide-enriched membrane microdomains that spontaneously fuse to form large ceramide-enriched membrane macrodomains or platforms. Although in a broad sense, the ceramide microdomains are also called LRs, it should be noted that ceramide-enriched membrane platforms or macrodomain can be formed without the presence of classically defined rafts, namely, the small structures enriched in cholesterol, sphingolipids, and associated proteins. Ceramide-enriched membrane platforms are often conveniently used to describe the signaling mechanism related to these special membrane lipid platforms. Ceramide is generated in the biological membranes either by hydrolysis of SM, catalyzed, in turn, by various sphingomyelinases (SMase) or by a de novo ceramide synthase pathway. Both SMase and de novo synthesis-derived ceramides have been shown to be involved in cell signaling. Among SMases, acid SMase (ASMase) has been considered as the major enzyme responsible for the formation of ceramide-enriched membrane platforms. The acid SMase is present locally within secretory vesicles, which are mobilized, on stimulation, to fuse with the cell membrane (81, 146). There is evidence that ASMase may also be found locally in lysosomal vesicles and that their activation and fusion with the cell membrane are associated with the functional integrity of lysosomes. Disturbance of lysosomal functions abolish the formation of ceramide-enriched membrane platforms associated with ASMase activation (189, 190). The structure of these ceramide rafts or platforms is similar to classical SM rafts with cholesterol serving, on the one hand, as a spacer between the hydrocarbon chains of ceramide and, on the other, as dynamic glue that keeps the raft assembly together. Cholesterol also provides partitions between the other, as dynamic glue that keeps the raft assembly together. Cholesterol also provides partitions between the rafts and the nonraft phase, having a higher affinity to raft sphingolipids (ceramide here) than to unsaturated phospholipids. This would appear to be confirmed by the fact that removal of raft cholesterol leads to dissociation of most proteins from the rafts, rendering them nonfunctional. During ceramide formation, ASMase hydrolyzes SM to release choline without affecting the hydrocarbon chains that remains in the ceramide, suggesting, in turn, that cholesterol is an important component in ceramide rafts or platforms (46, 354).
D. Intracellular LRs

Although the constituents and the exact function of LRs inside the cell remain poorly understood, there is considerable evidence that LRs may also be present in intracellular membranes including endoplasmic reticulum membranes (21, 47, 160, 356, 454), Golgi apparatus (359), endosomes (270, 355, 397), lysosomes (270, 355, 397) and mitochondria (63, 366). Studies have shown that the concentration of sphingolipids and sterols increase along the biosynthetic pathway from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) to the trans-Golgi network (TGN). Such occurrence of sphingolipids and sterols may lead to functional raft clustering in these organelles, probably determining the nature of the molecular sorting, trafficking and recycling within the cells (160).

The Golgi apparatus was the first organelle demonstrated to have functional rafts that play a vital role in sorting molecules (359). In this respect, apical sorting of GPI-anchored proteins in polarized epithelial cells has been the subject of intense research (47, 160, 356, 454), which, in turn, have shown that GPI-anchored proteins associate with detergent resistant membranes (DRMs) during their passage through the Golgi apparatus and perturbation of this association by cholesterol or sphingolipid depletion results in impaired transport or altered polarity of the GPI-anchored proteins (47, 160, 356, 454). In addition, Golgi LRs have been reported to participate in the maintenance of Golgi structures and functions. If the cholesterol balance of cells is changed, Golgi morphology and intra-Golgi protein transport may be dramatically altered (155, 373, 435).

GPI-anchored proteins were also found to associate with LRs in the ER in yeast and mammalian cells. These proteins are sorted and processed by the LRs and are then transported from the ER to the Golgi compartments (21, 47, 160, 356, 454). It is assumed that the role of rafts in ER sorting has to do with its stabilizing role in the association of GPI proteins with the ER membrane. In studies of the prion protein PrPC, also a GPI-anchored protein, it was demonstrated that perturbation of ER microdomains affects the folding of the immature protein and increases misfolding of some ER-localized mutants. Therefore, LRs on the ER may well contribute to the regulation or conformation of the PrPC and its dysfunction may be a key mechanism of neurodegenerative diseases known as Prion diseases (160).

LRs have been identified in endosomes and lysosomes (270, 355, 397). The important roles LRs play in the endosomal recycling pathways are well known. Raft-dependent internalization is one of the important mechanisms for the formation of endosomes, where membrane molecules and proteins are processed, transported, or metabolized. Increasing evidence has been found that LRs are present in the membrane of lysosomes. However, the mechanisms mediating the formation of LRs in lysosomal membranes and the functional relevance of such lysosomal LRs are still poorly understood. Pathologically, however, LRs are known to accumulate in late endosomes or lysosomes in patients with lysosomal storage diseases (160, 355). How such pathological changes in lysosomal LRs occur remains unknown.

With respect to LRs in mitochondria, some studies have reported that mitochondria do not contain LRs and that LRs do not contain mitochondrial proteins (451). These studies have used quantitative proteomics and multiple subcellular fractionation procedures to examine, from several angles in different cell types, whether mitochondrial proteins are in LRs. Some studies found no rafts in mitochondria and no mitochondrial proteins in cell surface rafts (451). However, other studies have demonstrated that LR structures are detectable in mitochondria. In particular, there is considerable evidence showing that the activation of death receptors (CD95/Fas or TNF-α receptor) may induce an intracellular movement of LRs components, such as GD3 ganglioside, toward the mitochondria, which may be responsible for the mitochondrial mechanism of cell death. In isolated mitochondria, LR constituents, GD3 and GM3 gangliosides, can be detected when cells are challenged with anti-CD95/Fas. In such LR or LR-like domains, multiple proteins, such as GD3, the voltage-dependent anion channel-1, and the fission protein hFis, are enriched. Functionally, it is presumed that LRs in the mitochondrial complex drive mitochondrial fission, where catalytic domains are provided to associate or cleave related molecules. Disturbance of the framework of such a mitochondrial complex may impair fission and apoptosis. It has been suggested that mitochondrial LRs may represent essential activating platforms where mitochondria-mediated events determine cell survival or death (63, 366).

E. LR clusters or signaling platforms

It is widely accepted that the function of LRs are dependent on the formation of macrodomains or platforms, irrespective of whether they are formed or driven by SM-cholesterol and ceramide-ceramide interactions, as postulated by the sphingolipid model or alternatively by the protein–protein interactions in the shell protein model (241). The fact that LRs, in both surface and intracellular membranes, are able to form membrane lipid platforms, begs the question whether the clustering of membrane LRs may actually produce important signaling platforms instead of being mere silent building blocks (9, 368). These membrane-signaling platforms play important roles in the transmembrane signaling in a variety of mammalian cells. Here, initiation of intracellular signaling cascades is associated with aggregation or reduction of cell surface receptors through LR clustering in the plasma membrane (132, 144). These receptors in LR clusters are, not unexpectedly, many in number, including among them T-cell receptor/CD3 complexes, B-cell receptors, CD2, CD40, CD44, L-selectin, insulin receptors, or integrins, which help conduct signals to transmembrane signaling proteins or proteins in the inner leaflets of the cell membrane, when they aggregate within LR clusters. This completes the transmembrane signaling process (9, 40, 131, 357). Recent studies have indicated that several death receptors, including tumor necrosis factor receptors (TNFR), Fas, and death receptor (DR) 4 and 5, produce their apoptotic effects through this mechanism (243, 358). During LR clustering, aggregated receptors or other signaling molecules are either constitutively located in the LRs or translocated by transporters or recruiters upon stimulation (45, 59). This dynamic clustering of lipid microdomains may represent a critical common mechanism in transmembrane signal transduction.

LRs platforms usually contain different proteins, including different signaling molecules and crosslinkers or enzymes (356, 358). The formation of LR platforms activates, facilitates, and/or amplifies signal transductions. There is considerable
evidence that LR clustering is formed as a ceramide-enriched membrane platform, where the ceramide production or enrichment is from SMase catalyzed cleavage of SM cholines in individual LRs (145, 168). However, ceramide-enriched membrane platforms might also be formed without the presence of classically defined LRs simply through a fusion of several ceramide molecules. These ceramide molecules can come from LRs or other membrane fractions. LR clustering or platform formations, especially ceramide-enriched ones are responsible for the regulation of a number of widely varied biological processes in different cells, including cell growth, differentiation and apoptosis, T-cell activation, tumor metastasis, and neutrophil and monocyte infiltration (145). The clustering of receptor molecules within ceramide-enriched membrane platforms might well have several important functions such as the aggregation in close proximity of many receptor molecules (144), facilitation of the transactivation of signaling molecules associating or interacting with a receptor, and the amplification of the specific signal from activated receptors. On the other hand, the formation of ceramide or ceramide platforms at the erythrocyte surface may partially contribute to the scrambling of the cell membrane but not assembling, leading to eryptosis after a second different stimulus such as osmotic shock. Such eryptosis may be linked to apoptotic pathways via ceramide, which, in turn, may be causally linked to local oxidative stress. This may represent another type of LR signaling in erythrocytes (221, 222).

There are many different LR signaling platforms that are formed or present in mammalian cells. As summarized in Table 3, these LR signaling platforms include phosphorylation or transphosphorylation signaling platforms (1, 49, 74, 97, 105, 279, 287, 317, 329, 405, 436, 439), GPCR raft signaling platforms (61, 89, 159, 179, 280, 290), TCR signaling platforms (2, 193, 216, 232, 291, 311, 363, 393), Ca\(^{2+}\) channel signaling platforms (10, 108, 111, 334, 403, 407), PI(4,5)P(2) raft platforms (54, 224), STIM1 raft clustering at ER-PM junctions (7, 108, 187, 293), cadiolipin platforms on mitochondria (366), raft-cytoskeleton nanodomains and macrodomains (218, 332), and LR redox signaling platforms (191, 192, 224, 235, 283, 306, 320, 335, 343, 401, 444). These LR signaling platforms may work on different type of cells, mediating or regulating cell signaling, cell growth, differentiation and apoptosis, T-cell activation, tumor metastasis, and neutrophil and monocyte infiltration (145). The clustering of receptor molecules within ceramide-enriched membrane platforms might well have several important functions such as the aggregation in close proximity of many receptor molecules (144), facilitation of the transactivation of signaling molecules associating or interacting with a receptor, and the amplification of the specific signal from activated receptors. On the other hand, the formation of ceramide or ceramide platforms at the erythrocyte surface may partially contribute to the scrambling of the cell membrane but not assembling, leading to eryptosis after a second different stimulus such as osmotic shock. Such eryptosis may be linked to apoptotic pathways via ceramide, which, in turn, may be causally linked to local oxidative stress. This may represent another type of LR signaling in erythrocytes (221, 222).

### Table 3. Different Lipid Raft Signaling Platforms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LR platforms</th>
<th>Functions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorylation/Transphosphorylation raft platforms</td>
<td>Phosphorylation, cell signaling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPCR raft platforms</td>
<td>GPCR cellular signaling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCR raft platforms</td>
<td>T-cell activation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca(^{2+}) channel raft platforms</td>
<td>Ion channel activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI(4,5)P(2) raft platforms</td>
<td>Vesicle trafficking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STIM1 raft clustering at ER-PM junctions</td>
<td>ER-PM Ca(^{2+}) signaling complexes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadiolipin platforms on mitochondria</td>
<td>Apoptotic signals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raft-cytoskeleton nanodomains</td>
<td>Fas signaling, cell death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LR redox signaling platforms</td>
<td>Redox signaling and regulation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LR, lipid raft.

sections will discuss the formation and regulation of this LR signaling platform and explore related physiological and pathological relevances.

## IV. Redox Molecules Associated with LRs

### A. The NADPH oxidase family

As mentioned above, NADPH oxidase is now considered as a main resource of signaling ROS under physiological conditions (31). General consensus, further, appears to exist that LR provides the essential physical platform to aggregate and assemble the needed subunits into an active enzyme complex that produces O\(_2\)\(^{2-}\), other ROS, and conducts redox signaling (227). Detailed information about the structural and functional nature of this family of enzymes will help understand how LR redox signaling is associated with this enzymatic system under both physiological and pathological conditions.

1. Structure of the NADPH oxidase family and their tissue distribution. NADPH oxidase is a six-subunit multiprotein complex, first found abundantly expressed in phagocytic cells. Both the structure and function of phagocytic NADPH oxidase have been thoroughly studied and are well understood. For example, it is now well known that the catalytic subunit gp91\(^{phox}\) (also known as NOX2) and regulatory subunit p22\(^{phox}\), located in the cell membrane, form heterodimers (also known as flavin cytochrome b558), whilst other regulatory subunits, including p47\(^{phox}\), p40\(^{phox}\), p67\(^{phox}\), and the small G protein Rac (small GTPase Rac), are located in the cytoplasm (5, 18, 31). In the classic model of phagocytic type NADPH oxidase, activation involves translocation of the four cytosolic proteins to the cell membrane and interactions with the membrane spanning subunits p22\(^{phox}\) and NOX2, resulting in the transfer of the NADPH electron to oxygen molecules and the generation of O\(_2\)\(^{2-}\) (18, 70).

In addition to the above, recent discoveries of other different types of the nonphagocytic homolog NADPH oxidase catalytic subunit, gp91\(^{phox}\) (NOX2), have been found in a variety of cells and/or organs and have been classified collectively as the NOX protein family. These nonphagocytic catalytic subunits include NOX1, NOX3, NOX4, NOX5, DUOX1 (dual oxidases1), and DUOX2 (44), which determine ROS production in nonphagocytes (57). It should be noted that NOX is usually named for gp91\(^{phox}\) homologs, rather than the entire NADPH oxidase. Some in the literature use Nox as an abbreviation for NADPH oxidase, which can be easily confused with the gp91\(^{phox}\) homologs, NOX. Although NOX2 is a phagocytic isoform of NOX, there is increasing evidence suggesting that NOX2 is also expressed in the nonphagocytes, including neurons, cardiac cells, skeletal muscle cells, liver cells, endothelial cells, B lymphocytes, epithelial cells, and hematopoietic cells (308, 374). The structure and function of nonphagocytic NOX are very similar to NOX2. They can also catalyze a single-electron reduction of molecular oxygen, generating O\(_2\)\(^{2-}\) and other ROS (410). Under physiological circumstances, the nonphagocytic NOX expression is merely very low and its activity is maintained at a very low level. Unlike the ROS produced in phagocytes that are mainly involved in host defense, the ROS produced in nonphagocytes primarily serve as a signaling messenger, which directly or indirectly act on the downstream intermittent or effector
proteins, such as protein kinase, protein phosphatase, and various transcription factors. In this way, ROS participate in many cellular activities and cell functions, including cell proliferation and differentiation (142). However, upon stimulation of specific agonists, such as angiotensin II (Ang II), the platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), an expression of nonphagocytic NOXs, appears to be highly upregulated, although through several intracellular redox-related signaling pathways as mitogen activated protein kinases (MAPKs), adenylyl kinase (AKT), and others (29, 31, 400).

In terms of molecular structure, NOX proteins can be divided into two major domains: (i) the N terminal hydrophobic transmembrane domain and (ii) the C terminal flavin-binding domain. The flavin-binding domain also has some homology with a number of flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD)-binding proteins, including cytochrome P450 reductase and ferredoxin-NADP oxidoreductase (31). NOX family proteins have a molecular weight between 56,400 and 73,700 Da, all possessing six transmembrane domains. It is these conservational domains that may be responsible for NADPH and FAD bindings (31).

The NOX1 gene is located on X chromosomes and expressed mainly in the colon (5, 378), VSM, the uterus, prostate, osteoblasts, and cells in the outer retina (5, 378). As mentioned above, NOX2 was first found in neutrophils and macrophages and is often called phagocyte NADPH oxidase. It, however, has also been detected in many other cells. NOX3 possesses 56% of homology in amino acid sequences, with NOX2. The human NOX3 gene is located on chromosome 6. Sequence comparison and hydrophilic diagrammatic analysis have shown that the overall structure of NOX3 has a high degree of similarity to both NOX1 and NOX2, whereas other research has conclusively proven that NOX3 is located in the inner ear (25, 107). Upon stimulation, p47 phox is phosphorylated and translocated to the opposite side of the membrane to form a functional enzyme. The assembly of the active NOX and the other NADPH oxidase subunits and cofactors, to assemble and then work as an active enzymatic complex. Indeed, many studies, in house and outside, have demonstrated that LRs even provide the driving force that promotes the assembling of NOX with other NADPH oxidase subunits or cofactors (26, 27, 190, 235, 388, 389, 391, 443, 444, 446, 452, 453).

2. Assembly and activation of NOX. Among all NOXs, the activation and functions of the phagocytic NOX or NOX2-associated NADPH oxidase have been described in the most detail. As shown in Figure 3, the assembly of the active NADPH oxidase (phagocytic) requires translocation of cytosolic subunits p47phox and p67phox, as well as Rac to the plasma membrane, where these subunits interact with gp91phox and p22phox, associating with other cofactors in the membrane to form a functional enzyme complex. Here again, electron transfer involves cytosolic NADPH binding to gp91phox and releasing two electrons. These electrons, in turn, are then transferred to two molecules of oxygen on the extracellular side of the membrane via FAD and heme, resulting in production of two molecules of O2− (140, 331). In the assembly and activation process of NADPH oxidase, the p47phox translocation is a key step, and to some extent the marker for the event, since it is the first subunit translocated during the assembly process of these enzyme subunits. p47phox translocation is initiated by the phosphorylation of this subunit by protein kinase C (PKC), protein kinase A (PKA), or mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) at various phosphorylation sites (140, 362). Studies using either tissues from p47phox

![FIG. 3. Assembling and activation of NADPH oxidase.](image-url)

Upon stimulation, p47phox is phosphorylated and translocated to the membrane. NADPH oxidase subunits are aggregated in the membrane to form a functional enzyme. The gp91phox with help of other subunits or factors uses NADPH as substrate to transfer two electrons to molecular oxygen on the opposite side of the membrane to produce O2−.

of expression were also shown recently in other tissues, such as the salivary glands, bronchus, lung, and prostate. DUOX2 were found to be mainly expressed throughout the digestive tract (11, 92, 128, 220). Among all the O2−-producing NOXs, NOX1, NOX2, and NOX4 have been the most extensively studied ones (31). Interestingly for the discussion here, almost all NOXs were demonstrated to have some structural or functional link to, or relationship with, LRs. Given that NOX activation requires many cofactors to work together, LRs provide a wonderful platform, for NOX and the other NADPH oxidase subunits and cofactors, to assemble and then work as an active enzymatic complex. Indeed, many studies, in house and outside, have demonstrated that LRs even provide the driving force that promotes the assembling of NOX with other NADPH oxidase subunits or cofactors (26, 27, 190, 235, 388, 389, 391, 443, 444, 446, 452, 453).
However, for a long time it was unknown how p47phox in NADPH oxidase activation by several agonists such as angiotensin II, TNF-α, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), and chronic oscillatory shear (100, 390). However, for a long time it was unknown how p47phox translocation and subsequent assembly of other NADPH oxidase subunits occurred in the cell membrane. Even today, the driving force or physical platform upon which NADPH oxidase functions as an active enzyme complex is still unknown. As noted above, the LR clustering or formation of LR macromodules or platforms may represent an important mechanism mediating this assembly or activation process of NADPH oxidase.

With respect to the assembly and activation of other NOXs, there is no consensus whether they all, like phagocytic NOX, need subunits or cofactors. Some reports have indicated that NOX1 and 4 also require all subunits and cofactors to assemble into an active enzyme complex (48, 64, 136, 235, 336, 414). However, many other studies have reported that nonphagocytic NOXs may function without a similar assembly as phagocytic NOX (115, 251, 350). Figure 4 summarizes different types of NOX and their working models, where some differences among these NOXs can be seen (31).

In some cells such as VSM cells, O$_2^-$ has been shown to accumulate within cells when NADPH oxidase is activated by different agonists such as angiotensin II (137). This understanding about intracellular accumulation of O$_2^-$, in turn, has led to an assumption which is different from the orientation of phagocytic NADPH oxidase, that a plasma membrane-bound NADPH oxidase may produce and release O$_2^-$ into cells (138). This despite the proposed topology of NADPH oxidase subunits, which indicates that membrane-associated NADPH oxidase should not release O$_2^-$ into the cytosol (44, 223). Studies on subcellular localization of vascular NADPH oxidase subunits also suggest that O$_2^-$ within VSM cells may not be derived from plasma membrane NADPH oxidase but rather from intracellular compartmental NADPH oxidase (147, 416, 433). More recently, using patch-clamp techniques, an inhouse research team recorded an inward current associated with NADPH oxidase in coronary arterial myocytes that was similar to that recorded in phagocytes, indicating that an outward electron flow and O$_2^-$ production occurred in these cells. It seems therefore reasonable to suggest that membrane-bound NADPH oxidase generate O$_2^-$ toward the outside of VSM cells, and in this way O$_2^-$ may exert regulatory roles as an autocrine or paracrine. Indeed, such paracrine and autocrine release of O$_2^-$ were identified in coronary arterial myocytes by using some sophisticated techniques such as simultaneous recording of extracellular and intracellular O$_2^-$ and confocal microscopy (448). It seems therefore reasonable to suggest that the compartmentalization of O$_2^-$ production is of the utmost importance in activating or regulating different redox signaling pathways (147, 388, 389, 415–417).

3. Regulation of NOX activity. It has been reported that NADPH oxidase exists in four different states: resting, primed, activation, and inactivation states (85). Being stimulated by different factors and linking to different signaling pathways, the phosphorylation and subsequent translocation of cytoplasmic subunits result in the production of a small amount of O$_2^-$·. Needless to say, this mechanism by which NADPH oxidase results in O$_2^-$· and its different states are finely regulated by multiple factors (85).

Reference has already been made above to the NADPH oxidase activity that is regulated by its subunit phosphorylation. There is evidence that factors that stimulate the neutrophil NADPH oxidase subunit phosphorylation can be divided into two categories: (i) those stimulations or agonists that produce rapid effects (these factors may stimulate cells to activate NADPH oxidase in 3–5 minutes) to activate NADPH oxidase, including the complement fragments (C5a), leukotriene B4 (LTB₄), platelet-activating factors (PAF), lysophosphatidic choline (LPC), and (ii) those known as delayed-onset types, including tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), lipopolysaccharide (LPS), and granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF), which will normally take 15–60 min to trigger any detectable effects (349). Most of these triggering factors act through the cell surface receptors to interact with the oxidase due to protein kinase C (PKC)-dependent phosphorylation of p47phox (140). The conformational rearrangement of p47phox drives the cytosolic subunit to translocate to the plasma membrane (140). In most cases, interaction between p47phox and p22phox promotes p67phox and p40phox integration with Cytob558. As a delayed-onset triggering factor, TNF-α causes only the partial phosphorylation of p47phox, translocation does not occur in neutrophils (349), but stimulates both phosphorylation and translocation of p47phox in pulmonary artery endothelial cells (100). As a rapid onset triggering factor, however, PAF causes phosphorylation of p67phox, p40phox, and Rac2, but not phosphorylation of p47phox. Phosphorylation of p67phox is, however, necessary not only for its own translocation, but also for the translocation of p40phox and Rac2 to the plasma membrane (349). After LPS incubation with neutrophils, Cytb558 is translocated to the plasma membrane, and p47phox phosphorylation and translocation are increased, respectively. In addition, homocysteine (Hcys), angiotensin II (Ang-II), the opsonized yeast polysaccharides (OpZ), and p22 Integrins lead to the phosphorylation of p47phox and p67phox (362).

In addition to their effects on NADPH oxidase activity, many factors can regulate the expression of NOXs and their subunits. The protein expression of NADPH oxidase

**FIG. 4. Major Nox isoforms and their proposed model of activation.** In comparison, different NOXs may work in the same way as phagocytic NOX, which need the assembly of all subunits and cofactors, or in different way as phagocytic NOX, which nonphagocytic NOXs may be functioning without assembling other subunits or cofactors.
subunits, for example, will increase in activity. In this regard, angiotensin II has been reported to induce the expression of $p47_{phox}$, $p67_{phox}$, $gp91_{phox}$, and $p22_{phox}$ in skeletal muscle cells or other cells that increases the NADPH oxidase activity (411). In Fe/R of immune globulin, GHA-induced receptor-mediated phagocytic processes, the overexpression of the phosphoinositide binding protein, $p40_{phox}$, results in the activation of NADPH oxidase, which works through phosphatidyl inositol 3 (PI3P) to stimulate $O_2^{*•}$ generation in phagosomes (383). By increasing $p47_{phox}$, $p67_{phox}$, and $gp91_{phox}$ mRNA levels and protein expression through NF-$\kappa$B pathway, TNF-$\zeta$ is also able to enhance the NADPH oxidase activity (114). Numerous studies have demonstrated that various subunits or cofactors can be upregulated or downregulated by different stimuli such as cytokines, inflammatory factors, hormones, autocrines, paracrines, physical stress, and some drugs, which may be involved in transcriptional or posttranscriptional regulation of gene expression and translational or posttranslational regulation of proteins (12, 408).

B. Superoxide dismutase

Recently, proteomic analysis demonstrated that membrane SOD (SOD1) is present in LR fractions (441), a fact consistent with previous reports that SOD1 is detectable in LRs (351). Reported SOD1 levels, for example, in LRs fractions were much higher than that in other areas of the plasma membrane. These results support the view that in aggregation the LRs may play an important role for the SOD1 actions (6, 201). It is assumed that localization and subsequent aggregation of SOD1 in LRs could affect cellular functions as well as the interplay between different cell types, as LRs are rich in receptors and the signaling molecules necessary for cell–cell communications (441). Indeed, a more recent study has reported that $H_2O_2$, generated extracellularly by extracellular SOD, anchored to ECs surface via the heparin-binding domain (HBD), enhances VEGF-induced VEGF receptor 2 (VEGFR2) autophosphorylation in caveolin-enriched LRs, but not in noncaveolar LRs. The HBD of endothelial SOD is required for its localization in plasma membrane LRs, suggesting that localization of endothelial SOD in caveole/LRs via HBD can serve as an important mechanism by which SOD-derived extracellular $H_2O_2$ efficiently promotes VEGFR2 signaling in ECs and postnatal angiogenesis (289).

C. Catalase

In neutrophils, proteomic analysis (90) has found catalase in LR fractions that play critical roles in redox signaling by cleavage of $H_2O_2$. Although some studies have demonstrated that LR-associated catalase may be related to peroxisome biogenesis, the function of this catalase association with LRs remains largely unknown. It is possible that LRs in hepatic peroxisomal membrane cells are able to help catalase sorting and distribution to different compartments of these cells, assigning them an important role in hepatocyte proliferation and lipid metabolism. Given that hepatic caveolin-1 plays an important role in liver regeneration and lipid metabolism, caveolea with catalase may be critically involved in this liver regeneration and lipid metabolism. However, recent studies found that the absence of caveolin-1 did not affect the peroxisomal location of catalase in mouse liver. It seems caveolin-1 is not required for peroxisome biogenesis, whereas other types of peroxisomal LRs are required (418). Obviously more research and thinking needs to be invested into the formation and function of LR-associated catalase complexes.

D. Thioredoxin

Although it is not yet extensively studied, thioredoxin has also been reported as a LR-associated protein. In some reports, LRs have been shown to mediate the effects of thioredoxin (TRX). There is convincing evidence that LRs may mediate the actions of TRX on leukocyte–endothelial cell interaction related to redox regulation during inflammation. TRX is a ubiquitous protein with a redox-active disulfide functions in concert with NADPH and TRX reductase to control the redox state of cysteine residues of different oxidant-targeted proteins. Given the antioxidant role of TRX, the LR-mediated role of TRX in the interaction between leukocytes and endothelial cells may importantly regulate inflammatory responses through counteracting oxidative stress and ROS (146). In addition, TRX can be internalized into the cells through LR-mediated endocytosis. In particular, a TRX mutant, TRX-C355 (with replacement of cysteine 35 by serine), was found to bind rapidly to the cell surface and be internalized into the cells through LRs in the plasma membrane. This indicates that the cysteine at the active site of TRX is important for the internalization and signal transduction of extracellular TRX through LRs (156, 210).

E. Transient receptor protein C3 and C4: redox sensors

In addition to the association of LRs with ROS-producing or scavenging enzymes, another noteworthy point in LR-associated signaling molecules is the help LRs give to molecules aggregation, gating, or activation and their downstream impact on redox-sensing or enhancement of effector responses to redox signaling. Among these molecules, a currently identified redox-sensitive protein-transient receptor protein (TRP) is particularly noteworthy. TRPs are a family of voltage-independent nonspecific cation-permeable channels. Evidence exists that transient receptor protein C3 (TRPC3) and TRPC4 localize or relocalize in LRs, and can form a TRPC3–TRPC4 complex with different properties from their respective homomeric channels, which are redox sensitive (313). Perhaps these TRP channels are directly gated or influenced by the formation of LR platforms and therefore their redox-sensing function are altered. Indeed, the TRPC3 channel activity is increased by cholesterol loading of the cell membrane when TRPC3 is overexpressed. This increased channel activity may lead to enhanced redox sensitivity of the channels, exerting an important redox regulation or resulting in pathologic consequences in different cells (313).

F. Effects of redox molecules on LRs

The preceding pages have provided some insights into the role of LRs in mediating or modulating redox signaling. On the other hand, there is increasing evidence indicating that the formation of LR–derived signaling platforms can also be altered or regulated by redox molecules. For example, the formation of ceramide-enriched membrane platforms in the membrane of coronary arterial ECs can be reduced by SOD, but increased by $O_2^{*•}$ donor or generating systems (235).
H$_2$O$_2$ was also found to activate pro-survival signaling pathways, including activation of PI3 kinase/Akt and Extra-cellular signal-regulated kinases (ERK)1/2 by changes in LRs behaviors (422). In addition, various ROS species were found to influence LR signaling or function through their actions on many LR constituents such as ceramide production, cholesterol, and related raft proteins (81, 260). ASMase, which play a key role in the formation of ceramide-enriched membrane platforms have been extensively studied. ROS generation, for example, is known to be intimately involved in the activation of the enzyme in response to various stimuli. Pretreatment of neutrophils with the antioxidants N-acetylcysteine (NAC) and desferrioxamine significantly inhibited the downstream ASMase activities, such as ceramide generation and CD95 clustering. The results suggest that ROS release is an essential prerequisite for ASMase activation (340).

A new model proposed by Gulbins et al. has summarized the mechanisms by which ASMase is activated by ROS. Based on this model, the free C-terminal cysteine of ASMase can be modified and lost by the actions of ROS, wherein a zinc coordination in this enzyme is altered, leading to the activation or inhibition of the enzyme. This model is basically similar to the “cysteine switch” activation mechanism described elsewhere for the matrix metalloproteinase family (395). Confirmation of the links between redox regulation of ceramide-enriched membrane platforms and glioma chemotherapy illustrated this. By transfection of human or murine glioma cells with ASMase, marked sensitization of the glioma cells to gemcitabine and doxorubicin occurred, accompanied by increased activation of ASMase, elevated ceramide levels and enhanced formation of ceramide-enriched membrane platforms. Scavenging of ROS prevented these events, suggesting that the activation of ASMase by these therapeutic agents is associated with the actions of ROS (127). Taken together, ROS also regulates the formation of LR signaling platforms and therefore LRs and ROS may constitute an amplification of signals in different biological membranes, insuring the efficiency of signal transduction. Such feedforwarding regulation will be further discussed below in the regulation of LR redox signaling platforms.

### V. Frequently Used Methods for Identifying LR Redox Signaling Platforms

Frequently used methods for identifying LR redox signaling platforms include: fluorescent staining and confocal imaging of the LR redox signaling platforms; fluorescent resonance energy transfer (FRET) analysis between tightly associated molecules; immunoblot analysis of detergent resistant membrane fractions (LR fractions) isolated by gradient ultracentrifugation; measurement of O$_2^*$ produced in LR redox signaling platforms by electron spin resonance (ESR) spectroscopy (429) and several others.

#### A. Fluorescent confocal microscopic imaging

The most important factors in the detection of LR redox signaling platforms are the colocalization of lipid components and aggregated or recruited NADPH oxidase subunits or other molecules. Individual LRs on the cell membrane are too small (suggested to be around 50 nm in diameter) to be resolved by standard light microscopy, but once several separate small LRs were clustered upon stimulation, these LR clusters could be observed as patches or spots under microscope (444). Therefore, fluorescent staining and confocal microscopic imaging of LR patches or spots on the cell membrane is the most frequently used method to identify the formation of LR signaling platforms including LR redox signaling platforms. The fluorescence labeling of the B subunit of cholera toxin (CTXB) is widely used as a common LR marker to perform colocalization with some LR-associated redox molecules such as NOXs and other subunits including p47$^{phox}$, p21$^{phox}$, p67$^{phox}$ and others. The use of CTXB is because the sphingolipids normally contain the prevalent type of glycosphingolipid, GM$_1$ ganglioside, which is known to have a high affinity with CTXB. In addition, given that ceramide-enriched signaling platforms are considered as another type of LRs, anticeramide antibodies can also be used as a marker of LRs or sphingolipoids to detect LR-associated redox enzymes or related molecules (429).

#### B. Fluorescence resonance energy transfer

Fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) is a phenomenon that occurs between a fluorophore pair, donor and acceptor (e.g., FITC and TRITC). The fluorophore pair both share the same characteristics in the transfer of energy from the donor to the acceptor, namely the overlap of the emission wavelength of the donor with the excitation of the acceptor’s wavelength (198). The two key factors determining the occurrence of FRET are molecular orientation and distance between the molecules. It is proposed that FRET can only take place between two molecules within 7–10 nm range. Detected FRET generally indicates that two molecules are closely located, allowing them to generate energy transfer from one to the other that leads to molecular reactions. FRET analysis, with resolutions believed to be at lower than 10 nm of separations between the two molecules, may significantly enhance [colocalization using regular confocal microscopy requires a separation of greater than 400 nm (198)] the resolution of common confocal microscopic observations. For example, in FRET between FITC and TRITC, cells can be stained with TRITC-labeled CTXB and FITC-labeled ASMase, gp91$^{phox}$ or redox enzymes or proteins constituents (190) and then observed under a confocal microscope. Both donor and acceptor bleaching protocols can be employed to measure the FRET efficiency. As described elsewhere (190, 198, 203, 353), acceptor bleaching protocols first prepared prebleaching acceptor images followed by increases of the excitation wavelength of the acceptor (TRITC) laser intensity (from 50 to 98) for 2 min bleaching the acceptor fluorescence. After the intensity of the excitation laser of the acceptor was adjusted back to 50, the postbleaching image was then taken. The FRET image was obtained by subtracting the prebleaching image from the postbleaching image (in blue). After measuring the FITC fluorescence intensity in the pre-, post-, and FRET image, the FRET efficiency was calculated using the following formula: E = (FITC$_{post}$-FITC$_{pre}$)/FITC$_{post}$*100% (190, 278). Some examples of such confocal microscopic colocalization and FRET detections in endothelial cells are presented in Figure 5. Panel A shows colocalization of CTXB and gp91$^{phox}$ as indicated by yellow spots or dots in overlaid images. Panel B depicts the FRET as indicated by FITC-CD95 image and overlay image in blue. CD95 is Fas, which is a typical LR clustered receptor that activates LR clustering and redox signaling in ECs.
The reader is referred to the web version of this article at www.liebertonline.com/ars.

1. **Contributions of LRs to redox signaling in ECs**

   **A. Membrane fraction flotation**

   Biochemically, the method most often used for detection of LRs is the flotation of DRMs in combination with Western blots to identify associated proteins or receptors in LR fractions (429). During sucrose gradient centrifugation, DRMs complexes or detergent insoluble glycolipid-enriched domains (DIG) can float to low-density fractions and reinforce the integrity of LRs structure. These LR fractions contain abundant raft proteins and therefore analyzing the raft proteins in DRMs by immunoblotting provides a reliable and simple means for identifying possible LR components, especially LR-associated proteins such NOXs or related subunits or cofactors (429). Further, if large scale proteomic analyses could reach sufficient resolutions and sensitivities, in combination with proteomic techniques developed recently, this membrane flotation technique could help identify many as yet unobserved molecules including receptors, enzymes, regulators and adaptors (271).

   Recently, there have been some challenges to the use of DRMs (4, 339) and their possible artifacts, such as LR clusters in both caveolar and noncaveolar compartments. Such membrane flotation will provide more and increasingly accurate information about the location of LR redox signaling platforms by detecting their distribution in different fractions.

   **B. Superoxide production in LR platforms**

   Methods for analyzing the activity and modulation of \( \text{O}_2^{\bullet-} \)-producing or redox-related enzymes, such as NADPH oxidase, include lucigenin-enhanced chemiluminescence, dihydroethidium (DHE) fluorescent spectrometric assay, HPLC analysis, fluorescent dye intracellular trapping detection and electron spin resonance (ESR). Among these methods, the most direct and definitive method is ESR spectrometric analysis (174, 429). ESR, also called electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy, is a technique for studying chemical species that have one or more unpaired electrons, such as organic and inorganic free radicals or inorganic complexes possessing a transition metal ion (429). Several ROS are free radicals with unpaired electrons, which are very short-lived. Such ESR assays have made measurements of \( \text{O}_2^{\bullet-} \), as highly specific, quantitative and reproducible. Today ESR is commonly used for measurements of NO, \( \text{O}_2^{\bullet-} \) and other ROS from live cells, organelles and tissues (174, 429).

   **E. Others**

   Besides these frequently used methods, other general observation techniques for LRs are also used for further studies.

2. **Confocal microscopic colocalization and FRET detection**

   **D. Others**

   **FIG. 5. Confocal microscopic colocalization and FRET detection.** (A) Colocalization of CTXB and \( p47^{phox} \) as indicated by yellow spots or dots in overlay image, suggesting LR platforms or clusters. (B) The FRET as indicated by FITC-CD95 image and overlay image in blue. CD95 (Fas) is a typical LR-clustered receptor that activates LR clustering and CD95 image and overlay image in blue. CD95 (Fas) is a typical LR-clustered receptor that activates LR clustering and CD95 (Fas) is a typical LR-clustered receptor that activates LR clustering and CD95 (Fas) is a typical LR-clustered receptor that activates LR clustering.

   **FIG. 6. Flotation of membrane MR fractions by non-detergent 4-layer gradient flotation.** A typical gel document using nondetergent and modified 4-layer gradient flotation and then Western blot analysis of gp91\(^{phox} \) is presented in Figure 6. Among 24 fractions, 3–6 and 10–14 represent light and heavy, low-density fractions, respectively, which correspond to noncaveolar and caveolar LRs. Under controlled conditions, interestingly, gp91\(^{phox} \) is present in caveolar fractions, but not in noncaveolar fractions. When the cells were treated with Fas ligand, the fractions were shifted to noncaveolar fractions. In addition, consistent with other reports, caveolin-1 and flotillin-1 were present in both light and heavy low-density fractions, suggesting that they may not be good markers to separate noncaveolar and caveolar LRs.

   **FIG. 6.** Flotation of membrane MR fractions by non-detergent 4-layer gradient flotation. A typical gel document using nondetergent and modified 4-layer gradient flotation and then Western blot analysis of gp91\(^{phox} \) is presented in Figure 6. Among 24 fractions, 3–6 and 10–14 represent light and heavy, low-density fractions, respectively, which correspond to noncaveolar and caveolar LRs. Under controlled conditions, interestingly, gp91\(^{phox} \) is present in caveolar fractions, but not in noncaveolar fractions. When the cells were treated with Fas ligand, the fractions were shifted to noncaveolar fractions.
of LR redox signaling platforms. For example, total internal reflection (TIRF) microscopy provides information on the diffusivity of particles in the membrane as well as revealing membrane corrals, barriers and sites of confinement (14, 93, 170). Fluorescence correlation and cross-correlation spectroscopy (FCS/FCCS) are used to gain information of fluorophore mobility in the membrane (19, 175, 258). In addition, atomic force microscopy (86, 238), scanning ion conductance microscopy (SICM) (37), nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) (120, 367) and super-resolution microscopy such as stimulated emission depletion (STED) (22) may also be used if related equipment or instruments are available. Table 4 summarizes all possible methods for studies of LRs or LR redox signaling, which can serve as a reference for selection in different experiments.

VI. Downstream Targets of LR Redox Signaling

A. Signaling in phagocytic process

Redox signaling was first demonstrated to have an association with LRs in neutrophils in 2003 (347). When neutrophils deal with the pathogen to produce respiratory bursts, Fcγ receptors on the cell membrane are the major receptors responsible for the phagocytic uptake of IgG-opsonised pathogenic particles and have been shown to be coupled to the activation of NADPH oxidase (78). IgG-opsonised pathogen-induced activation of NADPH oxidase in neutrophils is very rapid, indicating a possibly very high efficiency in coupling of the receptors to the oxidase activation. Currently, two types of Fcγ receptors, FcγRIIA and FcγRIIB, are cloned on neutrophils (71, 321). FcγRIIB is a glycosylated molecule of 50–70 kDa, linked with the outer leaflet of the plasma membrane by a GPI anchor and its expression on the plasma membrane is 10–15-folds greater than that of FcγRIIA, suggesting it might be the major receptor for IgG-opsonised particles on these cells (71). As we have discussed above, an important feature of GPI-anchored proteins is their association with LRs (45, 46). Meanwhile, numerous reports have shown the presence of LRs in neutrophils and identified different signaling components in these rafts, including cytoskeletal proteins and several membrane proteins including Fc receptor proteins. This constitutes the basis for the notion that the lipid compartments function as physical platforms for signal integration at the plasma membrane of phagocytes (169, 211, 271). Under this condition, NADPH oxidase assembled and activated in LR of neutrophils produces O2·−, causing respiratory bursts and killing bacteria (347).

By isolation of LRs through sucrose gradient ultracentrifugation to obtain the low density Triton X-100-insoluble fractions, the following immunoblottings were performed and the basic expression of NADPH oxidase components was analyzed. In resting neutrophils, only a small amount of total gp91phox and p22phox were present in raft fractions. Preincubation of the cells with M-β-CD resulted in a loss of association of gp91phox with the LR fractions, confirming that gp91phox is localized to membrane LRs. It has been suggested that in resting neutrophils, the core flavocytochrome of the NADPH oxidase is present in the raft compartment of the plasma membrane and that the distribution of cytosolic components of the NADPH oxidase, p47phox and p67phox are very low in cell membrane rafts. p47phox has never been detected in such membrane raft fractions when these cells were at a resting status. Upon activation by IgG-opsonised S. Aureus particles, the levels of NADPH oxidase components in LR fractions greatly increased. In particular, p47phox appeared to increase most significantly, as it has reached an increase of 40-folds. It is now well established that the translocation and late association of p47phox with the membrane-bound phox proteins is a rate-limiting step, tightly correlated with NADPH oxidase activation (140). Association of p47phox with the flavocytochrome may stabilize the entire complex, thus explaining the increased levels of gp91phox in the raft fractions.

Analysis of the kinetics of NADPH oxidase activation upon Fcγ receptor stimulation at different stimulation intensities, under normal conditions and under raft depletion, has found that M-β-CD itself does not affect the rate of NADPH oxidase activity. However, M-β-CD can significantly delay activation of the NADPH oxidase. This delaying effect of M-β-CD was observed when lower intensive stimuli were employed. It never occurred at high stimulation intensity. Thus, activation of the NADPH oxidase effector system at the raft is specifically associated with the efficient initiation of the response at low stimulus intensity. Additionally, a second structurally different raft-disrupting agent, filipin, was also employed to confirm the importance of LRs on activation of NADPH oxidase in response to application of IgG-opsonised particles. Unlike M-β-CD, filipin is able to inhibit the function of LRs by tightly binding to the cholesterol in LRs instead of depleting. Very similarly, it was found that pretreatment of neutrophils with filipin did not affect the maximal rate of NADPH oxidase activation, but reduced the onset of the NADPH oxidase response. The time interval required after the application of the stimulus to reach maximal rates was also decreased. These observations indicate that LRs are involved in the activation of NADPH oxidase by Fcγ receptors. Under conditions of low receptor occupancy, the coupling process is improved by the physical association of the receptors and the effectors in LRs, whereas under conditions of high receptor occupancy, no such mechanisms are required to allow efficient receptor-effector interactions (347).

| Table 4. Frequently Used Method for Identifying Lipid Raft Redox Signaling Platforms |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Morphological observation of LR redox signaling platforms |
| Fluorescence confocal microscopy |
| Fluorescent resonance energy transfer |
| Flow cytometry or fluorescence activated cell sorter |
| Total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy |
| Fluorescence correlation and cross-correlation spectroscopy |
| Atomic force microscopy |
| Scanning ion conductance microscopy |
| Stimulated emission depletion |
| Biochemical characterization of LR redox signaling platforms |
| Floatation of detergent resistant membranes |
| Immunoblot analysis |
| Immunoprecipitation |
| Reactive oxygen species measurements |
| Electron spin resonance |
| Luciferin-enhanced chemiluminescence |
| Dihydroethidium fluorescence spectrometric assay |
| Fluorescent dye intracellular trapping detection |
| HPLC analysis |
| Fluorometric or colorimetric assay of H2O2 |
Almost at the same time, Vilhardt et al. also reported that in the murine microglia cell line, Ra2, and the human promyelocytic leukemia cell line, HL60, LRs act to recruit and/or organize the cytosolic NADPH oxidase factors in the assembly of active NADPH oxidases in cell membranes (398). The basic concept is very similar to the discussion above pertaining to neutrophils. Cholesterol depletion by M-β-CD, however, was reported as having significantly reduced O$_2^-$ production, but only caused a delay of the NADPH oxidase activation in both intact cells and a cell-free reconstituted systems. This M-β-CD effect was accompanied by a parallel reduction of the translocation of cytosolic phox subunits to the membrane. The difference was explained by the rapid replenishment of plasma membrane cholesterol from intracellular stores that had not been treated with lovastatin to reduce intracellular cholesterol (398). Later, Fuhler et al. further demonstrated that treatment of neutrophils with the LR-disrupting agent, M-β-CD, abrogated fMLP-induced ROS production and activation of ERK1/2 and protein kinase B/Akt in both unprimed and GM-CSF-primed neutrophils, further supporting the view that LR-associated NADPH oxidase produces ROS and contributes importantly to the onset of phagocytic respiratory bursts (103). This function of LR-associated NADPH oxidase is summarized in Figure 7.

B. Transmembrane signaling via receptors in nonphagocytic cells

In nonphagocytic cells, endothelial cells (ECs) were first reported to have functional LR redox signaling platforms on their membranes (444). Similar to neutrophils, NADPH oxidase, expressed in vascular ECs, also have several subunits, including gp91$^{phox}$, p22$^{phox}$, p47$^{phox}$, p40$^{phox}$ and p67$^{phox}$. Further, the cytosolic GTPase activates a small G-protein known as Rac, that participates in the activation of NADPH oxidase by assembling NADPH oxidase complexes on the cell membrane. When ECs are stimulated by death receptor ligands, an increase in the ROS production is always observed, suggesting the latter has a physiological mediating role or a pro-apoptotic one on the cells. Among all isoforms of NOXs, gp91$^{phox}$ has been considered as the major isoform of NOX proteins in vascular ECs. This was supported by the findings that phorbol ester-induced O$_2^-$ production decreased and endothelium-dependent relaxation was improved in gp91$^{phox}$-/- mice (195). This NOX-derived O$_2^-$ may constitute more than 95% of the production of O$_2^-$ in ECs, especially when cells are stimulated by cellular death factors. All these data highlight the specific role of NADPH oxidase in augmentation of local tissue oxidative stress (124, 195).

For a long period of time, how the activation of NADPH oxidase coupled to activation of the death receptor in ECs remained illusive. Over the last 5 years, a growing body of evidence has indicated that the clustering of LRs may serve as a main mechanism mediating the coupling of death receptors to NADPH oxidase. Upon agonist stimulation in coronary arterial EC, the formation of large membrane LR patches or macromodomains is often observed. The agonists or stimulations tested and found to stimulate LR redox signaling platforms include Fas ligand, anti-Fas agonistic antibody, TNF-α, endostatin, H$_2$O$_2$, homocysteine, 7-dehydrocholesterol, platelet aggregation factor, acetylcholine and prostaglandins (235, 446). Among these agonists or death factors, Fas ligands were first reported to stimulate LR clustering with aggregation of gp91$^{phox}$ and some other cytosolic subunits, which lead to the activation of NADPH oxidase in ECs (191, 444). Such LR NADPH oxidase clusters or complexes produce ROS and thereby mediate transmembrane signaling from death receptors to intracellular effectors or targets such as NO, ryanodine receptors, vav and other molecules (188, 428, 431, 444).

In addition to Fas ligand, endostatin was also found to have similar effects of inducing LR clustering and thereby forming redox signaling platforms (191). Endostatin (EST) is the ~20 kDa C-terminal fragment of collagen XVIII located in the basement membrane zones around blood vessels, which is a naturally occurring peptide in the body (282, 323). In vitro, endostatin is one of the most potent EC-specific inhibitors of angiogenesis and tumor growth. In vivo, extensive studies have also demonstrated that endostatin specifically inhibits many cell processes, such as EC proliferation, migration, apoptosis, etc. (95). Besides these generally acknowledged actions, endostatin was learned to reduce NO bioavailability through enhanced O$_2^-$ production in the intact coronary endothelium, suggesting a potential role for it in the impairment of endothelium-dependent vasodilation responses, which ultimately contribute to endothelial dysfunction (442). Such actions of endostatin are associated with the formation of LR redox signaling platforms (444).

As demonstrated in Figure 8, under resting conditions, individual LRs, with or without NOX, are present in the membrane of ECs. When receptors bind to a ligand, LRs cluster to form LR macromodomains or platforms, with aggregation and assembling of NADPH oxidase subunits and other proteins such as Rac GTPase. This leads to the activation of NADPH oxidase and production of O$_2^-$, resulting in prominent amplification of the transmembrane signals. Besides lipid components such as SM, ceramide and cholesterol, many other molecules, such as NADPH oxidase subunits, Rac, and other regulatory components are clustered to form complex signalosomes (27, 190, 335, 446). O$_2^-$ in ECs acts with NO to
LR redox signaling not via receptors

In addition to membrane receptor-mediated cell responses, LR redox signaling has also been observed in nonreceptor-mediated cell responses, such as H$_2$O$_2$, hypoxia/reoxygenation, ultraviolet (UV) irradiation and chemicals as polychlorinated biphenyls and ASMase activators (27, 56, 88, 295, 422). Further, M-β-CD or filipin pretreatment of aortic ECs was found to significantly reduce the H$_2$O$_2$-induced phosphorylation of Akt and ERK 1/2, but reconstitution of LR domains by exogenous cholesterol restored H$_2$O$_2$-induced Akt and ERK1/2 phosphorylation. This indicates that LRs participate in signaling pathways activated by H$_2$O$_2$ (422). This H$_2$O$_2$-induced prosurvival signaling pathway was also dependent on the oxidation and subsequent inhibition of the tumor suppressor PTEN (phosphatase and tensin homolog deleted from chromosome 10) (66, 246). Similarly, in rat primary astrocytes, exogenous treatment with H$_2$O$_2$ or application of hypoxia/reoxygenation triggered SHP-2 phosphorylation in a time- and dose-dependent manner and led to its translocation into LRs, forming a complex with STAT-3 that activated downstream signaling molecules. It is quite clear that the SHP-2 here is activated by ROS in astrocytes and then translocated into LR clusters to produce dephosphorylation and inactivation of other phosphotyrosine-containing proteins such as STAT-3 (295). In addition, ultraviolet irradiation was found to induce ROS production via clustering of membrane rafts and ceramide (56) in different cell lines such as 293 cells (kidney), Jurkat (lymphocytes) and MCF-7 cells. Polychlorinated biphenyls, such as 153 (PCB153) are also reported as stimulating the formation of LR redox signaling platforms on brain ECs to mediate the expression of cell adhesion molecules (88). These nonreceptor-associated LR redox signaling pathways may be play an important role in the pathogenesis of different diseases related to physical or chemical stimuli and in the therapeutically intended different treatments such as those that target tumor cells with irradiation and chemicals (145). More recently, uric acid (MSU) crystals were found to be internalized via a lipid sorting mechanism (273). LRs have a natural affinity to MSU crystals, possibly due to hydrogen bonding interactions, and the exposure of MSU crystals to LR microdomains may result in aggregation of cholesterol-rich regions to MSU.
crystals, thereby activating Syk signaling in an extracellular protein receptor-independent manner. Syk signaling, in turn, results in PI3K activation, cytoskeletal rearrangement, and phagocytosis of the crystal, leading to the activation of NALF3 inflammasomes, which are associated with K⁺ efflux and ROS production (141, 272). It is possible that LR redox signaling is also critical for activation of inflammasomes, an intracellular inflammatory machinery.

D. Interactions of intracellular vesicles or organelles through LR redox signaling

IL-1β is an essential cytokine responsible for immune and inflammatory responses, but also a well known activator of the ubiquitous transcription factor NF-kappa B, participating in the pathogenesis of a variety of diseases, such as sepsis, autoimmune, diabetes, atherosclerosis, asthma, cancer and other pathological processes. It has long been noted that the downstream effects of IL-1β are mediated by their ability to produce intracellular ROS. NOX1 and NOX2 have been detected in LR (228, 309, 347, 398) giving rise to the possibility that LRs may act as a critical mediator of redox signaling through IL-1β. However, it was not until systematic studies done by Oakley et al., that the exact methods employed by the induction of ROS following ligand binding to receptors was understood (284).

Oakley et al. found that IL-1R1 was constitutively present in LR fractions, regardless of IL-1β stimulation, in MCF-7 mammary cancer cell lines. NOX2 was also found in caveolae, which are also constitutively present in LR fractions. Upon IL-1β stimulation, the phosphorylated active form of caveolin-1 was found to be richer in LR fractions, which could be blocked by pretreatment of cells with nystatin and filipin. It has been suggested that the IL-1 signaling pathway is dependent on LRs-associated redox signaling (284).

In further studies, significant increases in the percentage of LR-associated IL-1R1, colocalized with the early endosomal marker, early endosome antigen 1, were found after cell stimulation with IL-1β. However, in unstimulated cells, the majority of IL-1R1 resided in the plasma membrane. NOX2 in the plasma membrane was demonstrated to colocalize with IL-1R1. After IL-1β stimulation, the colocalization of NOX2 and IL-1R1 were shown to have moved to intracellular endosomal compartments (284), as evidenced by increased CTX/IL-1R1 and NOX2/CTX on the endosomes after IL-1β stimulation. These findings support the view that intracellular LR platforms may be formed via the ligand-stimulated internalization of NOX2 and IL-1R1 into endosomes, which form a redoxosome that conducts intracellular redox signaling through some LR complex located in the endosomes (270, 355, 397, 444). This redoxosome redox signaling was recently discussed in detail in another review of this journal (283).

Bedard et al. have suggested another LR-associated redox signaling involving exocytosis, with links to intracellular vesicles or organelles. They reported that NOX2 which was under resting conditions, initially, located in the intracellular vesicle membranes moved and fused, after activation, with the plasma membrane, thereby generating and releasing O₂⁻⁻ outside the cell (31, 41, 113). Other studies have corroborated this NOX movement (286). Alas, these studies do not clarify how LRs are involved in this process, an issue needing clarification. Given the presence of LRs in lysosomes and other vesicles (270, 355, 397), it is possible that the movement to cell membranes and the fusion of lysosomes with LR-associated NOXs may either assist in the formation of plasma membrane LR platforms, in combination of course with other membrane components, or recruit and aggregate various subunits or cofactors during their movement, directly releasing O₂⁻⁻ and conducting redox signaling.

E. Hypothetic models of LR redox signaling platforms

Based on the foregoing discussions, it is possible to postulate three LR redox signaling platforms in different mammalian cells that efficiently and robustly conduct redox signaling. As shown in Figure 9, the first type of LR redox signaling platforms is represented by clustering of LRs in plasma membranes in response to a variety of stimulations such as death receptor ligands, cytokines and ASMase activators, wherein NOX with related subunits and cofactors are aggregated and recruited to form LR clusters or platforms. These platforms are responsible for the extracellular or intracellular O₂⁻⁻ production depending upon cell types. This type of LR redox signaling platforms is shown in the middle among three platforms in Figure 9.

FIG. 9. Three models of LR redox signaling platforms. (i) LR redox signaling platforms is formed by clustering of LRs in plasma membrane upon stimulations of receptors to produce O₂⁻⁻ extracellularly or intracellularly. (ii) Upon stimulations, endocytosis occurs via caveolae to form intracellular LR-containing redoxosomes, producing O₂⁻⁻ and other reactive oxygen species to conduct redox signaling. (iii) During clustering of LRs, NOX and related subunits or cofactors are aggregated and then traffic to the plasma membrane to produce O₂⁻⁻ and conduct redox signaling.
It should be noted that the membrane raft redox signaling could also occur in the caveolae, where NADPH oxidase subunits are preassembled under resting condition and the enzyme functional in membrane rafts, specifically in caveolae. Stimulation with some agonists such as TNF-alpha induces additional recruitment of p47 to raft-localized NADPH oxidase complex and thereby enhances ROS production within raft domains. ROS may interact with NO to regulate the nitration of tyrosine-containing proteins. These tyrosine-containing proteins are targets of caveolar raft redox signaling to regulate cell function (423).

Another type of LR redox signaling platform is involved with caveolae-associated endocytosis. Under resting conditions, NOX and its subunits or cofactors are located in caveolar LRs. Upon stimulations these redox molecules aggregate and assemble into an enzyme complex through LRs clustering. At the same time, endocytosis occurs to form intracellular LR-containing redoxosomes, which produce $O_2^{**}$ and other ROS to conduct redox signaling. Such redoxosome-related redox signaling is presented on the right of Figure 9.

The third type of LR redox signaling platforms depends upon exocytosis of endosomes, lysosomes or related vesicles, where LRs may first be clustered in response to some stimuli. During clustering of LRs, NOX and related subunits or cofactors aggregate and simultaneously move to the plasma membrane and fuse there. The now assembled NADPH oxidase produces $O_2^{**}$ and conducts redox signaling. Again, depending upon the cell type, $O_2^{**}$ is produced inside or outside cells. This type of LR redox signaling platform is depicted on the left of Figure 9.

Different cells may form different types of LR redox signaling platforms. In some cells, there may be one type, whilst in others two or three LR redox signaling platforms might be formed in response to stimulations. Given that LRs are very dynamic microdomains, the possibility that the coexisting three different LR redox signaling platforms in one cell can not be ruled out and these coexisting different LR redox signaling platforms might have the internal tendency to transform to each other, thus forming a dynamic cycle between these platforms. This, however, is in need of evidence that confirms such interactions of different LR signaling platforms.

VII. Mechanisms Mediating the Formation of LR Redox Signaling Platforms

A. Ceramide metabolizing pathways

In the literature of LRs, the formation and function of ceramide-enriched platforms have been well documented. Therefore, understanding the mechanisms mediating the formation of ceramide-enriched platforms may provide vistas to explore the mechanism by which other different LR platforms are formed and regulated. Ceramide belongs to a highly hydrophobic lipid family, which consists of fatty acids with carbon chains in variable lengths (2–28 carbons) and sphingosine. It plays an important physiological role in cell homeostasis (255, 341). Ceramide as a central molecule in the SM signaling pathway is involved in the activation of a variety of protein kinases and protein phosphatases, such as the stress-activated protein kinase (SAPK), c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNKs), protein kinase C (PKC), protein phosphatase I (PP1), and protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A). Ceramide is known to mediate or modulate many cell activities or function such as cell apoptosis, proliferation, differentiation and growth arrest (341). A better understanding of ceramide-mediated signaling pathway at the molecular level will further help understand the pathogenesis of different disorders and diseases such as infections, cancers, cardiovascular diseases, neurological degenerations, and autoimmune diseases (3, 34, 129, 213, 237, 281, 341).

As shown in Figure 10, the metabolism of LRs-related ceramide relates to several synthases and hydrolases. To date, several of these enzymes have been cloned, and recently more and more evidence suggests that multiple pathways are responsible for ceramide generation and clearance, perhaps, in response to different agonists or stimuli. Ceramide is mainly produced via two enzymatic pathways, including the (i) de novo synthesis pathway and the (ii) SMase pathway. The de novo synthesis of ceramide is conducted within the endoplasmic reticulum, beginning with the conversion of dihydrosphingosine into dihydro-ceramide by the ceramide synthase and on the catalytic action of dihydro-sphingosine reductase. Then, dihydro-ceramide is further converted into ceramide (231). With respect to SMases, acid or neutral SMase is the primary source for ceramide with second messenger characteristics in cells. SMase catalyzes the hydrolysis of phosphodiester bond in SM and produces ceramide and choline phosphate (207).
SMases belong to the phospholipase C (PLC) superfamily and are able to act on SM as a hydrolase (68). SMase is released to the cell surface or extracellular space in an autocrine or paracrine manner that hydrolyzes cell surface SM, inducing cell-cell communications. More importantly, SMase may act on SM incorporated in the LR area of cell membranes and thereby produce ceramide in the cell membranes, resulting in the formation of ceramide-enriched platforms that constitute a solid basis for the formation of redox signaling platforms (68).

Based on its working pH, cellular localization and ion-dependence, SMases can be divided into six subtypes, including ASMase, secretory SMase, neutral SMase (NSMase) including Mg²⁺-dependent and Mg²⁺-independent NSMase, and alkaline SMase (122). The subcellular distributions and the particular characteristics of these types of SMases vary in different types of cells. It is now well accepted that only ASMase and NSMase may be involved in mammalian cell signaling. tASMase activity accounts for 90% of total SMase activity in many cell types (122). SMase is a soluble glycoprotein with a molecular weight of 64,000 Daltons, and its coding gene is located in chromosone location 11p15.1~15.4, which has approximately 5 kb in length and possesses six exons, encoding 627 amino acids. ASMase is located mainly in lysosomes and participates in the flip-flop of cell membranes (268). In an environment with pH<5 such as that in lysosomes, ASMase exhibits the highest biological activity. Upon certain exogenous stimulations, ASMase can be rapidly activated and released to the cell surface to hydrolyze cell membrane SM, leading to rapid increases in ceramide levels within seconds to minutes. Macrophages, fibroblasts and ECs can secrete ASMase or are able to produce lysosome fusions and release ASMase into the cell membrane, in particular, in LRs-enriched areas. Some reports have indicated that ECs may possess almost 20-folds higher ASMase activity than other cell types (268).

Ceramide is metabolized via two enzymatic pathways: (i) ceramide as substrate that synthesizes SM, where SM synthase catalyzes the transfer of choline phosphate groups from phosphatidylcholine to ceramide, generating both SM and diacylglycerol (DAG) (69); and (ii) degradation by ceramidase. After phosphorylated by certain kinases, ceramide is converted into ceramide-1 phosphate and then into sphingosine by the action of ceramidase. Following upon the action of sphingosine kinase, sphingosine is converted into sphingosine-1 phosphate (SIP) (382). According to its pH dependency, ceramidase can also be divided into three types: acidic, neutral and alkaline ceramidase. Three ceramidases will work in different cells individually or together under different pH to metabolize ceramide after its action as a signaling molecule (382).

B. Association of ceramide metabolism and its signaling pathway

Ceramide is limited in the membrane because of its hydrophobicity. It is likely that ceramide only functions in its generating site. The subcellular localization of ceramide-metabolizing enzymes may in part determine which signaling pathways are initiated by its activation (392). For example, ceramide in the mitochondrial membrane by de novo synthesis mediates mitochondria-based chemotherapeutic drug-induced apoptosis (392). Upon stimulation of a same agonist, the activation of different SMase showed different results. Ceramide generated by activation of TNF-α 55 kDa receptor (p55) may mediate either inflammation or apoptosis signaling pathways. For example, NSMase activation through the p55-protein-coupled FAN causes inflammation, whereas ASMase promotes apoptosis through the p35 death domain (392).

C. Role of ceramide-enriched microdomains in LRs clustering

Because ceramide is a much less polaric molecule than other sphingolipids, it is very easily and spontaneously aggregated, leading some reports to call it a membrane fusigen that promotes fusion of cell membranes or intracellular vesicles (192, 392). As noted earlier in the section of ceramide-enriched platforms or macrodomains, the formation of ceramide-enriched platforms can be based on SM-LRs in the cell membrane, the classical mechanism, or without them. When ASMase is activated, it can hydrolyze SM anywhere in the membrane as long as SM can be reached. In such ceramide enriched platforms, NOX and related subunits or cofactors aggregate and activate NADPH oxidase, producing O₂⁻ to conduct signaling (30, 39, 236, 371, 449).

Recent studies have demonstrated that this ceramide-enriched redox signaling platform can be formed in response to different death receptor ligands such as Fas ligand, TNF-α, or endostatin (190, 191). Further, ultraviolet irradiation-induced ROS production was also reported to be associated with the formation of ceramide-enriched platforms that mediate ROS production (56). Given that ASMase is mainly present in lysosomes, the importance of this enzyme as a resource for ceramide production in cell membranes was not clear until we demonstrated that a rapid movement and consequent fusion of lysosomes to supply ASMase into the LR area of cell membranes occur in response to various stimuli. This lysosome fusion is critical for the formation of ceramide-enriched platforms and therefore determines LR redox signaling in different cells, in particular, in ECs (190, 191).

D. Lysosome fusion and targeting of ASMase in LRs clustering

Lysosomes are membrane-bound organelles, which originate from the Golgi apparatus and exist in the cytoplasm of all eukaryotic cells. These cytoplasmic organelles contain several dozen acid hydrolases that are primarily responsible for intracellular digestion (23, 42). Based on their differing functions, lysosomes are divided into two types, conventional lysosomes and secretory lysosomes. Conventional lysosomes are the common lysosomes found in cell biology textbooks and the literature. These lysosomes are the digestive organelles of the cell. Another type of lysosome, the secretory lysosome, however, is able to fuse with the plasma membrane and secrete its content outside the cell. Many cells, including ECs, are found to have secretory lysosomes, which secrete different substances by exocytosis. Recently, a third type of lysosome has been reported, which has conventional lysosome features, but works like secretory lysosomes by fusing to the plasma membranes and repairing damaged membrane areas (173, 257).

Besides their intracellular digestion function, recent studies have extended lysosomal functions to cellular signaling in different cells (202, 447). Lysosomal vesicles have been reported to contribute to exocytosis in nonsecretory cells, where
these vesicles fuse with the plasma membrane to excrete the contents of vesicles and incorporate the vesicle membrane components into the cell membrane (182). In addition, some studies have demonstrated that lysosomes, as Ca^{2+} store houses, are an important regulator of cell functions in a variety of tissues or cells, where lysosome Ca^{2+} stores can be mobilized to mediate NAADP-induced Ca^{2+} release (62, 226).

In house and other researchers have recently explained how lysosomes can rapidly fuse with cell membranes, leading to ASMAse translocation to the surface of ECs (182, 189, 190). In house research used coronary arterial ECs, LysoTrackers and Alexa488-labeled CTXB to detect lysosome movements into the cell membrane by detection of lysosome distance to LRs on the cell membrane. Under a confocal microscope, Fas ligands as an agonist were found to induce the formation of LR clusters. Accompanied by the aggregation of NOX2 and related subunits, in the plasma membrane of ECs the LR clusters produced O_2^{**}. When these cells were pretreated with two structurally different lysosomal vesicle function inhibitors, bafilomycin A1 and glycol-L-phenylalanine-beta-naphthylamide (GPN), Fas ligand-induced LRs clustering was substantially blocked and corresponding ROS production significantly decreased. Using LysoTracker staining, it was found that colocalization of LRs and lysosomal vesicles located around the cell membrane, was abolished by bafilomycin A1 or GPN. This has, in turn, led to the conclusion that lysosomal vesicles are vital contributors to the formation of LR-redox signaling platforms associated with NADPH oxidase. In addition, lysosome FM1–43 quenching or dequenching and FM1–20 destaining experiments have confirmed that activation of Fas ligands and some ASMAse activators such as phatidylinositol (PI) and bis(monoacylglycerol)phosphate lead to lysosomal fusion to the cell membrane, triggering LR clustering and the formation of signaling platforms or macrodomains (27). However, silencing the ASMAse gene or pretreatment of the cells with vacuolin-1, a lysosome fusion inhibitor, was found to block LR clustering, activation of ASMAse and membrane proximal lysosome fusion (27). It is now confident that a rapid lysosome fusion into cell membrane is a critical step to form LR redox signaling platforms, leading to the signaling in O_2^{**} production.

Further studies have revealed that sortilin, a glycoprotein responsible for transferring ASMAse from the Golgi apparatus to lysosomes is also important in initiating the movement of lysosomes and promoting their fusion to the cell membrane in ECs (26, 27). Sortilin is a 95-kDa glycoprotein, which has been reported to play an important role in targeting or transferring proteins to lysosomes (274). Its Vps10p domain in the luminal region may be the binding site for the saposin-like motif of ASMAse, whereas its cytoplasmic tail containing an acidic cluster-dileucine motif binds the monomeric adaptor protein GGA and is structurally similar to the cytoplasmic domain of M6P. All of these structural features suggest sortilin is an intracellular protein transporter responsible for the sorting of soluble hydrolases such as ASMAse to lysosomes. The results about colocalization of sortilin with lysosome proteins during death receptor activation further suggests that sortilin not only simply mediates the targeting of ASMAse to lysosomes, but also functionally interacts with ASMAse (26). The coupled sortilin-1 and ASMAse work together to promote the movement of lysosomes toward the cell membrane, which, in turn, leads to LRs clustering and NOX activation in ECs. This ASMAse-dependent clustering of receptors was also observed for other receptors such as CD20, CD40, TNFR and epidermal growth factor receptors (EGFR) (330).

More recently, we addressed how lysosomes fuse to cell membrane and transport ASMAse into LR platforms. It was found that SNARE (soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor attachment protein receptor)-centered exocytic machinery is involved in LR clustering to form redox signaling platforms. SNAREs comprise a superfamily of small, mostly membrane-anchored proteins, which mediate membrane fusion between organelles or from organelles to cell plasma membranes (118). In particular, SNARE-mediated membrane fusions plays an essential role in the secretory pathway of various eukaryotic cells, which is named as the SNARE or SNARE-centered exocytic machinery (35). In our experiments, pretreatment of coronary ECs with a specific inhibitor of vesicle-associated membrane protein 2 (VAMP2, a v-SNARE protein), almost completely blocked the formation of LR clusters. Using FITC-labeled anti-v-SNARE antibodies and TRITC-labeled CTXB (labeling raft marker GM1), the aggregated v-SNARE was found to be colocalized with CTXB on the cell membrane and that both the colocalized molecules produced FRET. Fas ligand stimulation significantly increased the FRET efficiency between v-SNARE and GM1 when they were aggregated on the cell membrane (446). It seems that SNARE as a membrane fusion facilitator is also present in LR redox signaling platforms although its major function is to help lysosome fusion (446).

These findings provide a comprehensive working model for the mediation of LR clustering and the formation of LR signaling platforms in arterial ECs. As shown in Figure 11, this...

**FIG. 11. Lysosome biogenesis and fusion to cell membrane to form LR platforms.** ASMAse is synthesized from the ER and transported through Golgi apparatus to lysosomes. These lysosomes can be mobilized to traffic and fuse into cell membrane, where ASMAse is activated and ceramide produced, resulting in LRs clustering and formation of ceramide-enriched platforms. The insert presents an LR redox signaling platform or redox signalosome on cell membrane after lysosome fusion and activation of NADPH oxidase. (To see this illustration in color the reader is referred to the web version of this article at www.liebertonline.com/ars).
model emphasizes the derivation of membrane ASMase as being from lysosomes, which target ASMase when it is synthesized from ER and transported through Golgi apparatus. Many mature lysosomes with ASMase are proximal to the cell membrane. When a receptor such as death receptor is activated by a ligand binding to it or by other stimulations, these lysosomes proximal to the cell membrane become mobilized to move and fuse with the cell membrane, activating ASMase and synthesizing ceramide, thereby resulting in LRs clustering and the formation of ceramide-enriched platforms. These LR platforms, in turn, recruit, translocate and aggregate NOX and its subunits or cofactors and assemble them into an active enzyme complex, which produces $O_2^{**}$, promoting transmembrane signaling. An insert in the figure presents a LR redox signaling platform or redox signalosome on cell membrane after lysosome fusion and activation of NADPH oxidase.

E. Cytoskeletal components and LR clustering

Some in the literature have proposed that LRs be defined as a membrane structure enriched with cholesterol and associated with the cytoskeleton. The relationship between cytoskeletal elements and LRs is becoming clearer. It has been shown, for example, that tubulin is present in LRs and can be coimmunoprecipitated with caveolin-1 in rat forebrain extracts (80). One possible mechanism for the contribution of LRs to alterations in microtubules is indicated by experiments in smooth muscle cells (197). In these cells, caveolins stabilize the microtubules by interfering with the interaction between the microtubule-stabilizing protein stathmin and tubulin. Reports in the literature also indicate that treatment of glia or cardiac myocytes with microtubule-disrupting agents, such as colchicines, results in the loss of many signaling molecules from LRs, in particular, those involved in adrenergic receptor signaling (77, 159).

The actin cytoskeleton is found to have a bidirectional relationship with LRs. As an actin binding lipid, phosphoinositide lipids such as PtdIns(4,5)P2 and PtdIns(3,4)P2, known to direct actin assembly into filaments, can accumulate in the LRs and these lipids are also (54, 312). In addition to binding to these lipids, actin also helps cluster signaling molecules in LRs. Moreover, lipid peroxides were found to promote the formation of larger rafts or platforms on the membrane, and photooxidation, at the lipid double bonds, caused raft enlargement (15). These observations corroborate and reinforce the conclusion that ROS are able to enhance LR clustering or formation of macrodensities and must contribute to the formation of membrane raft platforms (244). Within LR redox signaling platforms, the formation of a feedforward amplifying loop for LR redox signaling will amplify and enhance cellular signaling and, if excessively enhanced, this feedforward signaling amplification may result in the progress and development of multiple oxidative stress related diseases.

VIII. Physiology and Pathophysiology of LR Redox Signaling Platforms

As discussed at beginning of this review, the biological responses to cellular or tissue ROS levels are very different and vary from physiological to pathological reactions. When small amounts of ROS are produced, they may mediate physiological redox signaling, but when large amounts of ROS are produced, cell/tissue damage may occur, resulting in cellular apoptosis, necrosis and ultimately causing various systemic or organ based diseases (96, 423, 444, 453). Below are a few typical pathological processes associated with LR redox signaling from the many reported in the literature.

A. Host defense and infection

Neutrophils are essential in the innate immune or host defense response to microbial invasion. By phagocytosis of the pathogen and the release of toxic “free radicals,” neutrophils are able to kill a large number of the invading microorganisms and/or pathogens [1]. Among these toxic “free radicals,” $O_2^{**}$ exerts a primary role and is mainly generated by the NADPH oxidase enzyme complex (331). As discussed earlier, $O_2^{**}$ production in neutrophils is mainly dependent on the formation of LR platforms with NADPH oxidase. If the formation of such LR redox signaling platforms is deficient, the host defense response to microbial invasion will also be deficient or inadequate. For example, patients with myelodysplasia (MDS) always suffer from multiple types of infection. An impaired ROS production in their neutrophils is an important part of the pathogenesis of their illness. In this re-
gard, Fuhler et al. have provided some direct evidence of a decreased presence of Lyn, gp91phox, and p22phox in LR fractions and that plasma membrane expression of LR components were suppressed in neutrophils of MDS patients. This, in turn, begs the critical question, “will enhancing the LR redox signaling help treat MDS by stimulating the formation and the homeostatic restoration of the function of LR redox signaling platforms (103)?”

The need to focus more attention on LRs is made even more critical and essential if as reported LRs are closely involved in pathogen-receptor interactions, clustering and internalization of pathogens and if it is true, as increasing evidence appears to suggest, that many pathogens including bacteria, viruses, parasites and even fungi target and employ LRs for infection of cells. These pathogens include \textit{Escherichia coli}, Mycobacterium tuberculosis, Campylobacter jejuni, Vibrio cholerae, Clostridium difficile, Clostridium tetani, Salmonella typhi and typhimurium, Shigella flexneri, influenza virus, HIV, measles virus, respiratory syncytial virus, Ebola virus, Papillomaviridae, EBV, Echorovirus, Sindbis virus, Plasmodium falciparum, Trypanosoma, Leishmania, Prions, and Toxoplasma gondii (249, 354). In particular, ceramide-enriched membrane platforms were found to mediate the infection of mammalian cells with \textit{P. aeruginosa} (133), \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} (87), Neisseria gonorrhoeae (\textit{N. gonorrhoeae}) (130, 158), Rhinoviruses (134), and Sindbis virus (185). It remains unknown whether such microbial infection mediated by LR platforms are associated with redox regulations, although some bacteria were found to inhibit activation of NADPH oxidase and assembly of this enzyme in their inclusion compartments, to survive in host cells (326). One area, for example, where research and better understanding of LRs have already paid dividends is in the use of the antioxidant N-acetylcysteine that decreases cell levels of ROS and increases the concentration of reduced glutathione thereby preventing the successful invasion of pathogenic \textit{Escherichia coli}. It appears as if, at least in this case, the fate of this microbial invasion was dependent upon the local redox environment, provided by LR redox signaling platforms during the invasion (110).

B. Vascular inflammation and atherosclerosis

Many in house studies have demonstrated the contributions of LR redox signaling platforms and NADPH oxidase to endothelial dysfunction induced by various stimuli such as death receptor activation, homocysteine, cytokines or adipokines. As a commonly used functional study, endothelium-dependent vasodilation (EDVD) response in isolated perfused arteries was intensively tested. It was found that various stimulations that led to the formation of LR redox signaling platforms such as FasL, endostatin, homocysteine and visfatin all led to impairment of EDVD. This impairment was homeostatically recovered by NADPH oxidase inhibition using apocynin, M-\beta-CD, filipin or ASMase siRNA, suggesting, in turn, that LR redox signaling platforms with NADPH oxidase participate in the impairment of endothelial function (189, 191, 443, 444). This LR redox enhancement in endothelial injury and dysfunction may be intimately involved in the pathophysiology of diverse cardiovascular diseases such as atherosclerosis, hypertension, shock and ischemia/reperfusion injuries.

More perhaps directly to the point, there are some reports that the formation or enhancement of LR redox signaling platforms may contribute to macrophage reprogramming, foam cell formation, and cell deformability (234). Induction of lipid oxidation through ROS was found to affect cell-surface turnover of ceramide-backbone sphingolipids and apoE-mediated uptake, by low-density lipoprotein receptor related protein (LRP) family members, leading, in turn, to cell-surface expansion of ceramide-enriched domains and activation of apoE/LRP1/CD1-mediated antigen presentation. On the other hand, high-density lipoprotein (HDL)-mediated lipid efflux can disrupt LRs and prevent foam cell formation. It has been suggested that LR redox signaling or regulation plays an important role in the formation of foam cells and thus in the progression of atherosclerosis (345).

In addition to the role in alterations of macrophage behavior, LR redox signaling may also play an important role in cell deformability, thereby initiating or promoting atherosclerosis. Studies have demonstrated that disruption of LRs by oxidants such as Ox-LDL altered the cytoskeletal structure, including the extent of polymerization, stabilization, cross-linking, and membrane association. These molecular alterations may increase the force generated by the cytoskeleton, resulting in a stiffening of the cytoskeleton and hence stiffening of the cell and plasma membrane. Increased force in the cytoskeleton and its downstream increased stiffness may also elevate membrane tension and thereby influence the activity of various mechanosensitive ion channels. Direct evidence suggests that oxLDL can disrupt LRs, resulting in a series of pathological changes in the biomechanical properties of vascular ECs and ultimately induce endothelial dysfunction and atherosclerosis (230).

C. AD and neurological disease

AD is the most common neurodegenerative disease, and a leading cause of progressive dementia. The principal neuropathological features of AD are the two lesions first described by Alzheimer, namely, neurofibrillary tangles and senile plaques (58). Recent studies have demonstrated that the pathogenesis of AD may be mainly due to extracellular deposits of 39–42 amino-acid-long amyloid-\beta (A\beta) peptides, which are generated by sequential proteolytic processing of large type I transmembrane protein, known as amyloid precursor protein (APP). There is increasing evidence suggesting that amyloidogenic processing of APP is closely associated with LRs. The literature has suggested that targeting APP processing in LRs may selectively reduce A\beta burden without the adverse effects inherent in the alternative standard strategy of inhibiting BACE1 and \gamma-secretase by blocking their active sites. Using a variety of techniques, amyloidogenic APP processing has been found to preferentially occur in the cholesterol-rich regions of membranes, namely, LRs. These LRs may be involved not only in the aggregation of A\beta, but also in its clearance by amyloid-degrading enzymes such as plasmin or possibly neprilysin (67).

Although there is no study that has directly addressed the role of LR redox signaling platforms in the development of AD, some recent studies have shown that the concomitant
enrichment of Aβ and copper within LRs promotes the formation of redox-active Aβ-Cu²⁺ complexes, fostering the catalytic oxidation of cholesterol, lipids, and the generation of neurotoxic H₂O₂. This LR redox Aβ-Cu²⁺ complex further creates a vulnerable environment for Aβ to cross-link, forming SDS-resistant oligomers, which are characteristic of the Aβ samples extracted from diseased brain cells (172, 342). It is possible that the LR redox-active Aβ-Cu²⁺ complex represents another type of LR redox signaling platform that plays a critical role in the development of AD.

NADPH oxidase was also found to be activated by Aβ and thereby to cause oxidative stress in astrocytes, influencing, in turn, neuronal viability. Interestingly, there is evidence that activation of NADPH oxidase may increase the activity of SMases and the apoptosis induced by Aβ in neurons. Activation of NADPH oxidase may play a major role in the Aβ-induced neurodegeneration in AD. It is imperative to determine by further research whether such action of NADPH oxidase activation by Aβ is also associated with LR clustering and the formation of redox signaling platforms (53, 186).

**D. Kidney diseases**

There is considerable evidence that ceramide is implicated in the regulation of renal function and may be involved in renal glomerular and tubular pathologies (196, 386, 432, 434). Recent in house research has demonstrated that ceramide plays an important role in the development of chronic glomerular injuries associated with hyperhomocysteinemia and therefore ceramide contributes to the pathogenesis of end-stage renal diseases (432). Hyperhomocysteinemia was found to significantly increase ceramide levels in the renal cortex of rats, and L-homocysteine stimulated ceramide production in different glomerular cells such as glomerular capillary endothelial cells, podocytes and mesangial cells. Ceramides appear to be an important regulator of the function of glomerular filtration membrane. Indeed, there are some reports indicating that ceramide may be involved in the regulation of normal renal function (196, 386, 434, 442).

In studies of the mechanisms by which ceramide acts to regulate renal glomerular function or to cause pathological changes, it was found that blockade of ceramide de novo synthesis in hyperhomocysteinemic rats substantially inhibited the enhancement of NADPH oxidase activity and production of O₂⁻ in the kidney (432). Although translocation of p47phox, seen in ECs, was not shown to occur in L-homocysteine- or ceramide-induced activation of NADPH oxidase in rat mesangial cells (432), recent in house studies have demonstrated that in podocytes and glomerular capillary endothelial cells, homocysteine did induce the formation of LR redox signaling platforms associated with NADPH oxidase (430, 445). Perhaps the transformation of small LRs to ceramide-enriched membrane platforms results in a clustering of NAD(P)H oxidase molecules, producing redox signaling or injury in glomerular cells, in particular, in podocytes and glomerular capillary ECs. In previous studies, oxidative stress mediated by NADPH oxidase was found to play an important role in progressive glomerular injuries or glomerulosclerosis associated with Hcys and other diseases such as diabetes and hypertension (84, 104, 432). In further studies of how the formation of LR redox platforms produces glomerular injuries and consequent sclerosis, in house studies have found that Hcys-induced enhancement of glomerular permeability is associated with the regulation of microtubule stability through LR-redox platforms. It seems that the early injurious effects of Hcys and other pathogenic factors acting on NADPH oxidase are associated with the formation of redox signaling platforms via LR clustering, leading, in turn, to increases in glomerular permeability by disruption of microtubule networks in the glomerular filtration membrane (428, 445).

**E. Obesity**

LRs have been implicated in the development of insulin resistance and the obesity associated with metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes. Accumulating evidence has demonstrated that LRs or caveolae are present in various target tissues of insulin resistance such as striated muscles, adipose tissues, the liver, and pancreatic β cells that secret insulin (177). Although the role of LRs in mediating insulin signaling is controversial, it is well accepted that LR-dependent interactions may help segregate signaling components because raft perturbation changes the sensitivity of two key insulin receptor-mediated signaling pathways, activating the small guanosine triphosphatase TC10 and phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K). LRs are clearly important to insulin signaling and may thereby determine the insulin resistance during obesity or diabetes. Another line of evidence corroborating the involvement of LRs in obesity is related to observations in Obese Zucker fa/fa rats and ob/ob mice with increased levels of GM3 synthase mRNA in their adipose tissues. Addition of GM3 to 3T3-L1 adipocytes suppresses insulin-stimulated phosphorylation of the insulin receptor, suggesting that LRs containing GM3 are involved in the signaling process of the insulin receptors. Indeed, other studies have shown that insulin signaling is initiated in glycosphingolipid-enriched rafts and caveolae (178, 426).

With respect to the role of LR redox signaling platforms in the development of obesity, some preliminary experiments were recently performed to test whether excessive accumulation of sphingolipids, ceramide, and their metabolites, or a combination of them contributes to the development of obesity and associated organ damages. In these experiments, high-fat diet (HFD) significantly increased plasma total ceramide levels compared with animals fed a low-fat diet (LFD). Treatment of mice with the ASMase inhibitor amitriptyline significantly attenuated the HFD-induced plasma ceramide levels. Correspondingly, HFD-induced increases in the body weight gain, plasma leptin concentration, urinary total protein and albumin excretion, glomerular damage indexes, and adipose tissue ASMase activities were almost completely suppressed. HFD-induced reduction of insulin receptor sensitivities were also blocked by ASMase inhibition. These results provided evidence that ceramide biosynthesis may play a pivotal role in the development of obesity, metabolic syndrome, and associated kidney damages (38).

Although it is admittedly difficult to detect LR redox signaling platforms in vivo experiments, some in vivo detection of such platforms associated with obesity was currently performed in our laboratory. Using glomerular capillary endothelial cells (GECs), visfatin, an adipokine was found to stimulate ASMase activity and led to aggregation of ceramide with NADPH oxidase subunits, gp91phox and p47phox, a typical LR redox signaling platform, where O₂⁻ production is
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ment of new therapies to prevent or treat these diseases. Most mediated pathways may be useful strategies in the develop-
involved in a variety of diseases, targeting LRs and ceramide-
forms. Scavenging of ROS prevented these events, suggesting
enhanced formation of ceramide-enriched membrane plat-
ions may well reduce the risk of advanced prostate cancer. It
promote their progression, and prolonged inhibition of the
substantial levels of cholesterol and other fatty substances may
promote their progression, and prolonged inhibition of the
cholesterol synthesis pathway by pharmacologic interven-
may well reduce the risk of advanced prostate cancer. It
has been reported in the literature that membrane cholesterol
promotes prostate cancer progression by a mechanism that
involves dysregulation of LR signaling complexes (75).

In other studies, proteomic analysis of LRs/caveolae
demonstrated the enigmatic role of various signaling proteins
associated with LRs in cancer development. There are two
subsets of raft assemblage in cell membranes, cholesterol-SM-
ganglioside-cav-1/Src/EGFR and ceramide-SM-ganglioside-
FAS/Ezrin. The raft with cholesterol-SM-ganglioside-cav-1/
Src/EGFR is involved in normal cell signaling, and its dys-
regulation will promote cell transformation and tumor pro-
gression. However, the second type of raft complex with
ceramide-SM-ganglioside-FAS/Ezrin can promote apoptosis.
When such raft complexes dysfunction, apoptosis is dis-
turbed and tumor progression occurs unrestrained (298). Bionda et al. have reported that gamma-irradiation treatment on
a radiosensitive human head and neck squamous carcino-
ma cell line (SCC61) can trigger raft coalescence to larger
membrane platforms associated with the externalization of
ASMase, leading to ceramide release in rafts, increasing ROS
production and ultimately inducing cell death. However, this
structural rearrangement is defective in radioresistant (SQ20B)
cells and associated with the lack of ASMase activation and
translocation. Blockade of endogenous antioxidant defenses
of SQ20B cells triggered ASMase activation and translocation, raft
coalescence, and apoptosis. Based on these results, manipula-
tion of LRs through redox equilibrium may provide opportu-
nities for radiosensitization of tumor cells (33, 109).

Corroboration for this hypothesis has come from recent
studies in the chemotherapy of glioma. Transfection of human
or murine glioma cells with ASMase resulted in a marked
sensitization of glioma cells to gemcitabine and doxorubicin,
respectively. These results, in turn, were accompanied by an
increased activation of ASMase, elevated ceramide levels and
enhanced formation of ceramide-enriched membrane plat-
forms. Scavenging of ROS prevented these events, suggesting
that the activation of ASMase by these therapeutic agents is
associated with the actions of ROS (127).

IX. Possible Therapeutic Strategies Targeting
LR Redox Signaling Platforms

Given that LR redox signaling platforms are importantly
involved in a variety of diseases, targeting LRs and ceramide-
mediated pathways may be useful strategies in the develop-
ment of new therapies to prevent or treat these diseases. Most
tool compounds used to alter LRs, raft platforms and ASMase activity or the action of ceramide could be possible drug
candidates.

A. Targeting cholesterol

Although sphingolipids are the major constituents in LRs
or their platforms, so far there are no effective ways to de-
crease such important lipids in cell membrane without severe
disruption of the cell structure or function. Therefore, target-
ning membrane cholesterol becomes one of the most popular
methods in manipulation of LRs structures and functions.
Due to the inclusion capability of cholesterol, M-β-CD is
widely used as a tool drug to disrupt LRs by depletion of
cholesterol from cells in LR-related research. This is based on
an assumption that M-β-CD can preferentially deplete cho-
lesterol in LRs and that the sensitivity to M-β-CD is proof of
LR involvement in cellular processes. However, a recent
study demonstrated that at 37 degrees Centigrade or body
temperature, M-β-CD extracts similar proportions of choles-
terol from Triton X-100 resistant membrane fractions (LRs
enriched) as it does from other cellular fractions. Moreover,
cells restore the cholesterol level in the plasma membrane by
mobilizing cholesterol from intracellular cholesterol stores.
However, incubation at 0°C caused a loss of plasma mem-
brane cholesterol with a concomitant increase in cholesterol
esters and adiposomes. This study showed that M-β-CD does
not specifically extract cholesterol from any cellular fraction
and that intracellular cholesterol can replenish plasma mem-
brane cholesterol (248). Therefore, M-β-CD may disrupt not
only LRs, but also deplete intracellular cholesterol. However,
extending the LR concept to intracellular raft platforms,
as discussed in section IIIID above, M-β-CD may still be con-
sidered a good disruptor of LRs in both plasma membranes
and intracellular organelles. However, the specificity of M-β-
CD in specific desirable actions that interfere with LRs
structure or functions has often been challenged. It should be
aware that this type of compounds has also been reported to
have effects on membrane depolarization, calcium influx and
alteration of the cytoskeleton (380, 420, 430).

Filipin is also a frequently used tool drug in disrupting LRs.
Filipin was isolated from the mycelium and culture filtrates of
Actinomycete, Streptomyces and Filipinensis. It was discov-
ered in a soil sample collected in the Philippine Islands. The
isolate possessed potent antifungal activity. Filipin was iden-
tified as a polyene macrolide based on its characteristic
UV-Vis and IR spectra. Unlike M-β-CD, filipin interferes with
LRs by binding to cholesterol in LRs or their platforms, thus
decreasing the fluidibility of LRs and serving as functional
inhibitor of LRs. Although filipin exhibits potent antifungal
activity, it is too toxic for therapeutic applications. Further
modification of this compound may be needed to use it for
targeting LR redox signaling platforms as a therapeutic drug.
The statins are a class of drugs used to lower plasma cho-
lesterol levels by inhibition of the enzyme HMG-CoA reduc-
tase, which is a rate-limiting enzyme of the mevalonate
pathway of cholesterol synthesis. Inhibition of this enzyme,
in the liver, results in decreased cholesterol synthesis as well as
increased synthesis of LDL receptors, which, in turn, leads to
an increased clearance of LDL from the blood stream. The
pleiotropic effects of statins may also reflect changes in
membrane cholesterol and, specifically, the density of mem-
brane rafts. Moreover, there is likely to be a relationship between membrane cholesterol, membrane rafts and cell functions that are involved in the pathogenesis of cardiovascular and metabolic diseases (165, 166, 419). Therefore, in addition to lipid lowering effects which bring beneficial effects to the cardiovascular system, statins may also have an impact on the LR redox signaling platforms. Indeed, we have recently found that in human coronary arterial ECs (HCAECs), Fas ligand-induced LR clustering with aggregation of NADPH oxidase subunits was almost completely blocked by statins (lovastatin-induced LR clustering with aggregation of NADPH oxidase that in human coronary arterial ECs (HCAECs), Fas ligand-induced LR redox signaling platforms. Indeed, we have recently found that in human coronary arterial ECs (HCAECs), Fas ligand-induced LR clustering with aggregation of NADPH oxidase subunits was almost completely blocked by statins (lovastatin, provastatin and simvastatin). The Fas ligand-induced LR redox signaling platforms. Indeed, we have recently found in human coronary arterial ECs (HCAECs), Fas ligand-induced LR clustering with aggregation of NADPH oxidase subunits was almost completely blocked by statins (lovastatin, provastatin and simvastatin). The Fas ligand-induced LR redox signaling platform formation in EC membranes is another important therapeutic mechanism of statins in preventing endothelial injury and atherosclerosis (171). In addition, statins have also been linked to a reduced risk of developing Alzheimer’s dementia. Long-term statin therapy was reported to protect individuals from AD through its action on LR clustering or related functions (164).

B. Targeting ASMase activity

By using structure-property-activity (SPAR) models, chemists have characterized some organic weak bases as ASMase inhibitors that function by inducing a detachment of ASMase from inner lysosomal membranes and subsequent inactivation of the enzyme (215). Moreover, cationic amphiphilic substances can induce the detachment of ASMase proteins from inner lysosomal membranes, thereby inactivating them. These can be utilized as functional inhibitors of ASMase and are minimally toxic, which may be applied for disease states associated with increased activity of ASMase and ceramide-enriched platforms (214).

Recently, a potent and selective novel inhibitor of ASMase, L-alpha-phosphatidyld-myo-inositol-3,5-bisphosphate (PtdIns3, 5P2), was reported. As a naturally occurring substance detected in mammalian, plant and yeast cells it may also be used as starting point for the development of new potent ASMase inhibitors optimized for diverse applications (209). Based on many experimental results, inhibition of ASMase or gene silencing of ASMase genes can be an appropriate strategy for prevention or treatment of diseases related to LR redox signaling platforms. There is no compound known to us that is effective in inhibiting AMsase and available for clinical use. However, a group of German scientists led by Dr. Eric Gulbins introduced a large group of compounds with a broad range of new clinical indications, they named “FIASMA” (Functional Inhibitor of ASMase). FIASMAs differ markedly from inner lysosomal membranes and subsequent inactivation of the enzyme (215). Moreover, cationic amphiphilic substances can induce the detachment of ASMase proteins from inner lysosomal membranes, thereby inactivating them. These can be utilized as functional inhibitors of ASMase and are minimally toxic, which may be applied for disease states associated with increased activity of ASMase and ceramide-enriched platforms (214).

C. Targeting protein palmitoylation

Covalent attachment of palmitates to proteins is a post-translational modification that exerts diverse effects on protein localization and functions. Techniques to inhibit protein palmitoylation include site-specific mutagenesis and treatment of cells with inhibitors of protein palmitoylation, including 2-bromopalmitate, cerulenin, and tunicamycin. Moreover, general approaches to determining the effect of altered palmitoylation status on YFPP association with membranes and LRs, as well as signal transduction, are described in detail elsewhere in the literature (325). The natural product, cerulenin ([2R, 3S]-2,3-epoxy-4-oxo-7,10-trans, trans-dodecadienamide) inhibits the palmitoylation of H-ras- and N-ras-encoded p21s, in parallel to inhibition of cell proliferation. More than 30 analogs of cerulenin, both aromatic and aliphatic, with various chain lengths and amide substitutions, have been synthesized for use. Regression analysis has indicated that inhibition of palmitoylation is more closely related to inhibition of proliferation than inhibition of fatty acid synthases. Further characterization of the molecular pharmacology of these compounds and analogs may define a new class of drugs with antitumor activities at least partially through its action on LR structures or functions, and in particular, on LR redox signaling platforms (225).

X. Conclusions and Perspectives

To date, there is no doubt that redox signaling through NADPH oxidase is tightly correlated with the unique membrane structures known as LR. LR serve as platforms to aggregate the membrane spanning or cytosolic subunits, which allow the assembly of NADPH oxidase subunits and cofactors into an active enzyme complex. They also provide the driving force for clustering of small individual rafts, where ceramide derived from the hydrolysis of SM is involved. Such LR redox platforms produce O2•−, and thereby conduct redox signaling with compartmentalization and amplifications in response to different receptor bindings or other stimuli. Three types of LR redox signaling platforms are proposed currently. First are LR clusterings with NADPH oxidase subunits in the cell membrane where O2•− is produced, outside or inside the cell, leading to cellular signaling. Second are the redoxosomes due to endocytosis of LR clusters with NADPH oxidase subunits that produce O2•− intracellularly along with transporation of redoxosomes. Third are the exocytotic fusion of LR redox platforms that are formed in endosomes or lysosomes and then move and fuse with cell membranes to produce O2•− for signaling. These different types of LR redox signaling platforms each have different functions. For example, the major function of LR redox signaling platforms on the cell membrane is to produce ROS to kill pathogens or mediate transmembrane signaling. However, the endocytosed or preassembled intracellular redoxosomes are mainly to produce ROS as signaling molecules to evoke downstream responses inside cells. Such functional differences may be due to the differences in cell types, cell response to agonists or other stimuli or cell reactivity under different states. The formation of LR redox signaling platforms is associated with activation of ASMase, production of ceramide, ROS feedforward amplification, and cytokine-mediator-mediated regulation. Increasing evidence has been reported in the literature that LR redox signaling may contribute to infection and host defenses and to the development of different diseases such as atherosclerosis, glomerular sclerosis, obesity or metabolic syndrome and tumor progression. It is imperative to develop therapeutic strategies to target such LR redox signaling platforms for possible treatment or prevention of these diseases that LR
LIPID RAFT REDOX SIGNALING

redox signaling serves as pathogenic mechanisms. The further study and research into the molecular mechanisms mediating the formation of this membrane signaling complex and how signaling through these platforms can be specific to agonists and downstream effectors are imperative and essential. Although considerable evidence suggests that this LR redox signaling is linked to a number of cell responses to pathological stimuli and can be a target of therapeutic interventions, the physiological relevance of this signaling still remains to be adequately addressed. In addition, most of results or conclusions about LR redox signaling or signaling platforms were obtained from isolated cell preparations in previous studies. More attention is needed to develop new in vivo research strategies that are able to address the contribution of LR redox signaling or signaling platforms to cell activities or organ functions and related regulatory mechanisms. Like other research areas, more studies are essential to translate experimental results related to LR redox signaling to clinical use.
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Abbreviations Used

AD = Alzheimer’s disease
AKT = adenylate kinase
Ang-II = angiotensin II
APP = amyloid precursor protein
ASMase = acid sphingomyelinase
CD = cyclodextrin
CTXB = β subunit of cholera toxin
DAG = diacylglycerol
DES = desipramine

DHE = dihydroethidium
DR = death receptor
DRMs = detergent-resistant membranes
DUOX = dual oxidases
ECs = endothelial cells
EDVD = endothelium-dependent vasodilation
eNOS = endothelial nitric oxide synthase
EPR = electron paramagnetic resonance
ER = endoplasmic reticulum
ERK = extracellular signal-regulated kinases
ESR = electron spin resonance
EST = endostatin
FAD = flavin adenine dinucleotide
Fab = Fas ligand
FCCS = fluorescence cross-correlation spectroscopy
FCS = fluorescence correlation spectroscopy
FIASMA = functional inhibitor of sphingomyelinase
FITC = fluorescein isothiocyanate
fMLP = F-Formyl-Met-Leu-Phe
FPALM = fluorescence photoactivation localization microscopy
FRET = fluorescence resonance energy transfer
GEC = glomerular endothelial cell
GM-CSF = granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor
GPCR = G-protein coupled receptor
GI = glycosylphosphatidylinositol
GPNI = glycyI-L-phenylalanine-beta-naphthylamide
HBD = heparin-binding domain
HCAEC = human coronary arterial endothelial cell
Hcy = homocysteine
HDL = high-density lipoprotein
HFD = high-fat diet
HMG-CoA = 3-hydroxy-3-methyl-glutaryl-CoA
IL-1β = interleukin-1β
JNK = c-Jun N-terminal kinase
KSR = kinase suppressor of Ras
LFD = low-fat diet
LPC = lysophosphatidyl choline
LPS = lipopolysaccharide
LR = lipid raft
LRP = low-density lipoprotein receptor related protein
LTB4 = leukotriene B4
M-6-P = mannose-6-phosphate
MAPK = mitogen activated protein kinases
MCF = mammary cancer cell
MDS = myelodysplasia
MR = membrane raft
MSU = uric acid
M-β-CD = methyl-β-cyclodextrin
NAADP = reduced form of nicotinic acid adenine dinucleotide phosphate
NAC = N-acetylcysteine
NADPH = nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate
NMR = nuclear magnetic resonance
NO = nitric oxide
NOS = nitric oxide synthase
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOX</td>
<td>NAD(P)H oxidase gp91 analogs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSMase</td>
<td>neutral sphingomyelinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O$_2$$^-^*$</td>
<td>superoxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OpZ</td>
<td>opsonized yeast polysaccharides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ox-LDL</td>
<td>oxidized low density lipoprotein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAF</td>
<td>platelet-activating factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDGF</td>
<td>platelet-derived growth factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI</td>
<td>phosphatidylinositol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI(4,5)P(2)</td>
<td>phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKA</td>
<td>protein kinase A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKC</td>
<td>protein kinase C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLC</td>
<td>phospholipase C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>plasma membrane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP</td>
<td>protein phosphatase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROS</td>
<td>reactive oxygen species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPT</td>
<td>renal proximal tubule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1P</td>
<td>sphingosine-1 phosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAPK</td>
<td>stress-activated protein kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCC</td>
<td>squamous carcinoma cell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SICM</td>
<td>scanning ion conductance microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>siRNA</td>
<td>small interfering RNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM</td>
<td>sphingomyelin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMase</td>
<td>sphingomyelinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNARE</td>
<td>soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor attachment protein receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOD</td>
<td>superoxide dismutase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPAR</td>
<td>structure-property-activity relation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPM</td>
<td>scanning probe microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPT</td>
<td>single-particle tracking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAT</td>
<td>signal transducer and activator of transcription</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STED</td>
<td>stimulated emission depletion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STIM1</td>
<td>stromal interaction molecule 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBHP</td>
<td>tert-butyl hydrogen peroxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCR</td>
<td>T cell receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGN</td>
<td>trans-Golgi network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIRFM</td>
<td>total internal reflection microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNFR1</td>
<td>tumor necrosis factor receptor 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>tumor necrosis factor-α</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRITC</td>
<td>tetramethyl rhodamine iso-thiocyanate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRPC3</td>
<td>transient receptor protein C3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRX</td>
<td>thioredoxin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF</td>
<td>vascular endothelial growth factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSM</td>
<td>vascular smooth muscle</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>