Role of CD38, a Cyclic ADP-Ribosylcyclase, in Morphine Antinociception and Tolerance
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ABSTRACT

Our previous studies have demonstrated that an increase in intracellular levels of Ca\(^{2+}\) in neurons is an important component of both the antinociception produced by morphine and morphine’s tolerance. The present study tested the hypothesis that the Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling second messenger, cyclic ADP-ribose (cADPR), derived from CD38 activation participates in morphine antinociception and tolerance. We first showed that morphine’s antinociceptive potency was increased by the intracerebroventricular administration of each of three different inhibitors of the CD38–cADPR–ryanodine receptor Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling pathway. These inhibitors were the ADP-ribosylcyclase inhibitor nicotinamide, cADPR analog 8-bromo-cADPR, and a large dose of ryanodine (>50 \(\mu\text{M}\)) that blocks the ryanodine receptor. In CD38 gene knockout (CD38\(/-/-\)) mice, the antinociceptive action of morphine was found to be less potent compared with wild-type (WT) mice, as measured by tail-flick response, hypothermia assay, and observations of straub tail. However, there was no difference in locomotor activation between CD38\(/-/-\) and WT animals. It was also found that less tolerance to morphine developed in CD38\(/-/-\) mice compared with WT animals. These results indicate that cADPR–ryanodine receptor Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling associated with CD38 plays an important role in morphine tolerance.

Introduction

Morphine is among the most prescribed opioid pain relievers in the United States. Despite its prevalence, tolerance to morphine is a major clinical side effect and roadblock to its chronic usage. Investigations in this laboratory and others have shown that intracellular calcium levels affect the development of tolerance. Although there are many pathways that mediate calcium concentration in the cell, one pathway involves the signaling nucleotide cADPR. CD38 is a transmembrane protein that is involved in cADPR production and calcium regulation, therefore potentially playing a role in the acute and chronic effects of morphine. The association between CD38 and morphine tolerance has not been addressed in the literature. To this end, we set out to characterize the role of CD38 in morphine tolerance in mice.

CD38, first identified as a leukocyte differentiation antigen, subsequently has been found in many tissues, including the brain (Hotta et al., 2000; Verderio et al., 2001; Ceni et al., 2003). CD38 is a type II transmembrane glycoprotein and a member of a family of enzymes with multiple functions, including ADP–ribose cyclase activity that cyclizes NAD\(^{+}\) into cADPR. CD38 is an enzyme detected in both plasma and intercellular compartments (De Flora et al., 2004). Recent studies in our laboratory found that CD38 on the cell membrane may be rapidly aggregated through lipid rafts clustering and moved into the inner side of the cell membrane, thereby intracellularly producing cADPR (Jia et al., 2008). Once cADPR is in the cell it can bind to ryanodine receptors (RyRs) or the ryanodine receptor’s accessory proteins, leading to Ca\(^{2+}\) release from the endoplasmic reticulum (Li et al.,

ABBREVIATIONS: cADPR, cyclic ADP-ribose; RyR, ryanodine receptor; ER, endoplasmic reticulum; WT, wild type; KO, knockout; PP, placebo pellet; MP, morphine pellet; %MPE, percentage of maximum possible effect; CL, confidence limit.
Many enzymes that regulate intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} may play an important signaling role in neurons and astrocytes (Yamada et al., 1997). There is evidence that almost all ADP–ribosyl cyclase activity in the brain is linked to CD38. Many enzymes that regulate intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} have been investigated for their potential role in opioids’ actions; however, the role of CD38–cADPR–RyR pathway is also involved in the mediation of the actions of opioids or tolerance to them has not yet been addressed. Previous work in our laboratory and by others has shown that one can alter both the acute and chronic effects of morphine by inhibiting or stimulating various steps in the signal transduction system of neurons. Since the 1960s, it has been clear that manipulations of intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} occur with morphine exposure and play an integral role in morphine-induced antinociception. The mechanism of morphine action is known to involve the phosphoinosit, adenyly cyclase, and G protein-coupled receptor kinase pathways. However, their relative importance and order of activation have not been determined. In the present study, we proposed that the CD38–cADPR–RyR pathway is also involved in the mediation of morphine’s antinociceptive actions. This hypothesis is also based on our previous findings that the RyR’s Ca\textsuperscript{2+} release from the ER plays a role in morphine’s antinociceptive actions (Smith and Stevens, 1995; Smith et al., 1999). To test this hypothesis, we examined whether administration of a substrate for CD38 altered acute morphine antinociception. We then investigated the effects of chemical inhibition of the CD38 pathway on both acute morphine antinociception and morphine tolerance. We found that acutely morphine’s potency was increased by the in vivo application of the CD38 pathway. Furthermore, whereas morphine antinociception was unaffected, morphine tolerance was reversed by administration of each of three different inhibitors of the CD38–cADPR–RyR pathway. The RyR’s Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signaling plays an important role in morphine tolerance.

**Materials and Methods**

**Drugs and Chemicals.** Morphine sulfate was obtained from the National Institute on Drug Abuse (Bethesda, MD). Morphine sulfate was dissolved in pyrogen-free isotonic saline (Hospira, Lake Forest, IL). Nicotinamide, β-NAD\textsuperscript{+}, and 8-bromo-cADPR were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Ryanodine was obtained from BIOMOL Research Laboratories, Plymouth Meeting, PA. All were dissolved in distilled water.

**Animals.** Male Swiss-Webster mice (Harlan, Indianapolis, IN) weighing 25 to 30 g were housed six to a cage in animal care quarters and maintained at 22 ± 2°C on a 12-h light/dark cycle. CD38-deficient mice (CD38/−/−) (Cockayne et al., 1998), backcrossed 12 generations to BALB/cBy mice, were obtained from the Trudeau Institute Breeding Facility (Saranac Lake, NY) and housed six to a cage in animal care quarters and maintained at 22 ± 2°C on a 12-h light/dark cycle. Food and water were available ad libitum. The mice were brought to a test room (22 ± 2°C, 12-h light/dark cycle), marked for identification, and allowed 18 h to recover from transport and handling. Protocols and procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the Virginia Commonwealth University Medical Center and comply with the recommendations of the International Association for the Study of Pain.

**Intracerebroventricular Injections.** Intracerebroventricular injections were performed as described by Pedigo et al. (1975). Mice were anesthetized with isoflurane. The skin was cleansed with 10% providone iodine (General Medical Corp., Pritchard, WV) before making a horizontal incision in the scalp. A free-hand 5-μl injection of drug or vehicle was made in the lateral ventricle (2 mm rostral and 2 mm lateral at a 45° angle from the bregma). Maintenance of a stringent aseptic surgical field minimized any potential contamination of the incision. The extensive experience of members of this laboratory has made it possible to inject drugs by this route of administration with more than 95% accuracy. Immediately after testing, the animals were euthanized to minimize any type of distress, according to Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee guidelines.

**Seventy-Two-Hour Morphine Tolerance Model.** A 75-μg morphine pellet (MP) or placebo pellet (PP) was implanted according to Way et al. (1969). Mice were anesthetized with 2.5% isoflurane before the hair on the base of the neck was shaved. The skin was cleansed with 10% providone iodine (General Medical Corp.) and rinsed with alcohol before a 1-cm horizontal incision was made at the base of the neck. The underlying subcutaneous space toward the dorsal flanks was opened by using a sterile glass rod. Maintenance of a stringent aseptic surgical field minimized any potential contamination of the pellet, incision, and subcutaneous space. A placebo pellet or 75-μg morphine pellet was inserted in the space before closing the site with Clay Adams Brand, Mikron AutoClip 9-mm wound clips (BD Diagnostics, Sparks, MD) and again applying iodine to the surface. The animals were allowed to recover in their home cages where they remained throughout the experiment.

**Tail Immersion Test.** The warm-water tail immersion test was performed according to Coderre and Rollman (1983) by using a water bath with the temperature maintained at 56 ± 0.1°C. Before injecting the mice, a baseline (control) latency was determined. Only mice with a control reaction time from 2 to 4 s were used. The test latency after drug treatment was assessed at 30 min after the subcutaneous administration of morphine with a 10-s maximum cutoff time imposed to prevent tissue damage. Antinociception was quantified according to the method of Harris and Priester (1964) as the percentage of maximum possible effect (%MPE), which was calculated as:%MPE = (test latency − control latency)/(10 − control latency) × 100. %MPE was calculated for each mouse with at least six mice per treatment group.

**Hotplate Test.** The hotplate test was performed as described by O’Callaghan and Holtzman (1975). The mice were placed on a Sycom model 35D hotplate set (Sycom, Woodland Hills, CA) at 55°C to obtain baseline latencies before drug administration. The mice were observed for licking either their fore or hind limb or jumping in response to the heat. The baseline latencies ranged between 5 and 6 s. Testing occurred 30 min after subcutaneous injection of morphine. A 30-s cutoff was used to prevent tissue damage. Antinociception was quantified according as the %MPE as described above.
Results

The overall goal of the present study was to determine what effect manipulation of CD38 in vivo would have on morphine antinociception and tolerance. We began by testing the effects of in vivo application of an ADP–ribose cyclase substrate, β-NAD⁺. When we administered β-NAD⁺ intracerebroventricularly in varying doses (Fig. 1A) there was a dose-dependent increase in the antinociceptive effects of a 2 mg/kg s.c. dose of morphine. The intracerebroventricular administration of β-NAD⁺ caused a seven times increase in morphine potency (Fig. 1B). This increase in acute morphine potency by β-NAD⁺ inhibited us from investigating the effects of β-NAD⁺ on morphine tolerance.

In the next series of experiments, we attempted to further elucidate the functional role of CD38 and explored whether it mediated the action of morphine in either acute antinociception or tolerance by inhibiting its enzymatic pathway. Nicotinamide, an inhibitor of CD38 ADP–ribosyl cyclase activity (Inageda et al., 1995; Berthelier et al., 1998), 8-bromo-cADPR, a chemical analog of cADPR and cell-permeable competitive antagonist of cADPR (Sethi et al., 1997), and ryanodine, which is an inhibitor of ryanodine receptors at a large doses (>50 μM) (Smith et al., 1999), were used to block the action of cADPR.

Animals were administered one of these compounds intracerebroventricularly followed immediately by varying doses of acute morphine. The warm-water tail immersion latencies were then measured 30 min later, and %MPE was calculated for the construction of the dose–response curves and the calculation of potency ratios. As shown in Table 1 and Fig. 2, none of the three inhibitors altered the acute antinociceptive effects of morphine. There was also no effect on acute morphine antinociception when higher doses of these compounds were administered (data not shown).

We then tested the effects of these compounds that inhibit CD38–cADPR–RyR signaling on morphine tolerance. Animals were implanted subcutaneously with 75-mg pellets of

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>ED₅₀ Value (95% CL)</th>
<th>Potency Ratio (95% CL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ryanodine + morphine</td>
<td>5.59 (5.18, 6.03)</td>
<td>vs. Ryanodine + morphine 1.32 (1.21, 1.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle + morphine</td>
<td>4.47 (3.91, 5.12)</td>
<td>vs. Nicotinamide + morphine 1.10 (0.94, 1.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicotinamide + morphine</td>
<td>5.07 (4.36, 5.90)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle + morphine</td>
<td>4.51 (3.99, 5.09)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-Br-cADPR + morphine</td>
<td>5.62 (5.11, 6.17)</td>
<td>vs. 8-Br-cADPR + morphine 1.33 (1.21, 1.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle + morphine</td>
<td>4.47 (3.91, 5.12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
morphine or placebo pellets for 72 h. They were then administered one of the inhibitors mentioned above intracerebroventricularly followed immediately by varying doses of acute morphine. The warm-water tail immersion latencies were then measured 30 min later, and %MPE was calculated for the construction of the dose–response curves and the calculation of potency ratios. As shown in Table 2 and Fig. 3, all three inhibitors, nicotinamide (200 pmol/mouse) (A), ryanodine (1.0 nmol/mouse) (B), and 8-bromo-cADPR (10 nmol/mouse) (C), had no effect on acute morphine antinociception. Each data point represents six mice. ■, inhibitor injected intracerebroventricularly with morphine injected subcutaneously; ●, vehicle injected intracerebroventricularly with morphine injected subcutaneously.

To further elucidate the role of CD38 in morphine’s actions, experiments were performed in CD38(−/−) knockout animals. The CD38(−/−) mice were obtained from Dr. Francis E. Lund of the Trudeau Institute, Inc. This CD38(−/−) animal strain was developed as described in Cockayne et al. (1998). The acute antinociceptive effects of morphine in the CD38(−/−) animal were assessed by using warm-water tail immersion and hotplate tests. In the warm-water tail immersion, we found that morphine was only 25% as potent in the

Student's t-test showed that the difference was significant (p < 0.05). The results are consistent with the hypothesis that CD38-mediated signaling is involved in the development of morphine tolerance. To further support this hypothesis, we performed a dose–response study in CD38(−/−) mice and compared the effects of morphine with and without CD38 inhibition. The results showed that morphine tolerance had been reversed. There were no signs of withdrawal observed in the animals.

**Table 2**

CD38–cADPR–ryanodine receptor pathway inhibitors in morphine tolerance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>ED50 Value (95% CL)</th>
<th>Potency Ratio (95% CL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Morphine pellet + ryanodine</td>
<td>5.13 (4.87, 5.41)</td>
<td>vs. Morphine pellet + ryanodine 4.95 (4.59, 5.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morphine pellet + vehicle</td>
<td>25.42 (23.80, 27.15)</td>
<td>vs. Morphine pellet + nicotinamide 4.89 (4.39, 5.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morphine pellet + nicotinamide</td>
<td>24.28 (22.51, 25.99)</td>
<td>vs. Morphine pellet + 8-Br-cADPR 4.61 (3.86, 5.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morphine pellet + 8-Br-cADPR</td>
<td>4.32 (3.90, 4.81)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morphine pellet + vehicle</td>
<td>19.86 (17.23, 27.90)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CD38\((-/-)\) mice as it was in the WT animals (Fig. 4A). These results were replicated in the hotplate test where morphine was found to be only 17% as potent in the CD38\((-/-)\) mice as in the WT mice (Fig. 4B).

Other effects of acute administration of morphine were also observed in these knockout mice. In morphine-induced hypothermia and straub tail measurements, morphine was found to be less potent in the CD38\((-/-)\) mice than in the WT animals (Fig. 5). However, in spontaneous motor activity (Fig. 6) there was no significant difference between the effects of a 10 or 30 mg/kg dose of morphine in the CD38\((-/-)\) mice and the WT mice in either vertical counts (Fig. 6A) or lateral counts (Fig. 6B).

We also investigated whether there was any difference between CD38\((-/-)\) and WT animals in morphine tolerance. The data presented in Fig. 7 illustrate the development of tolerance to morphine in both the CD38\((-/-)\) and the WT mice. As expected from the acute antinociceptive assays, we found morphine to be less potent in the CD38\((-/-)\) mice in both the placebo-treated animals and the morphine tolerance mice. In addition, although tolerance was developed in both CD38\((-/-)\) and WT strains, the extent of tolerance developed was different between the strains of mice. In the WT animals 12-fold tolerance developed after the 72-h morphine treatment, whereas in the CD38\((-/-)\) mice only 3-fold tolerance developed after the same treatment.
Discussion

To our knowledge, there are no reports thus far discussing the role of CD38 in morphine antinociception or tolerance. Our previous results (Smith et al., 1999) indicated that RyR-mediated Ca$^{2+}$ release from intracellular stores is involved in the action of morphine and the development of tolerance in mice. However, the endogenous second messenger to activate RyR was unknown at that time. Given current evidence that RyR is the target for the action of cADPR, a CD38 product, it is now imperative to know whether CD38–cADPR-mediated signaling is involved in the action of morphine and morphine tolerance. To this end, we investigated the role of the CD38–cADPR-RyR Ca$^{2+}$ pathway in the acute antinociceptive actions of morphine and morphine tolerance.
There have been reports of an increase in intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) after opioid receptor activation alone, but more commonly it has been reported to happen during concomitant activation of Gq-coupled receptors that cause the Ca\(^{2+}\) release from intracellular stores (Okajima et al., 1993; Connor and Henderson, 1996). Regardless of whether the increase of Ca\(^{2+}\) results from \(\mu\)-opioid receptor activation alone or with another class of G protein-coupled receptor, it is clear that the majority of this elevation of intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) is a result of the release of Ca\(^{2+}\) from stores in the ER rather than through an influx of Ca\(^{2+}\) across the plasma membrane (Werry et al., 2003). Opioids have been reported to stimulate the inositol phosphate turnover in many cell types (Dortch-Carnes and Potter, 2003), which is correlated with the release of Ca\(^{2+}\) from the inositol trisphosphate-mediated stores. However, there is also evidence that there may be other mechanisms by which the opioids increase the level of intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\), such as through activation of the ryanodine receptors (Allouche et al., 1996). It has been shown that the ryanodine receptor antagonist dantrolene blocks morphine-induced elevation in intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) in isolated mouse astrocytes (El-Hage et al., 2005).

Opioid receptor activation and the resulting stimulation of the Gi/Go G proteins usually results in inhibition of neurotransmitter release (Christie et al., 2000). However, the brief elevations in presynaptic Ca\(^{2+}\) levels after opioid receptor stimulation can be enough to stimulate neurotransmitter release as well (McDonald et al., 1996). There have also been reports that the opioid receptor may be linked to Ca\(^{2+}\)-sensitive K\(^+\) channels such as the BK(Ca) channel (Chin et al., 2002). If this is the case then the increase in Ca\(^{2+}\) caused by opioid stimulation could further enhance postsynaptic inhibition by opening these Ca\(^{2+}\)-sensitive K\(^+\) channels.

The ability of opioids to cause elevations in intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) implies that Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling molecules may be important in mediating opioid-induced antinociception (Samways and Henderson, 2006). It has been hypothesized that Ca\(^{2+}\)
altered intracellular events to antagonize the antinociceptive effects of opioids (Chapman and Way, 1980). It is also known that a disruption in intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} homeostasis contributes to the expression of antinociceptive tolerance. Opioid tolerance causes synaptosomal Ca\textsuperscript{2+} uptake to be increased (Chapman and Way, 1980), and basal free-intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentrations are found to be higher in the brain and spinal cord compared with nontolerant animals (Welch and Olson, 1991). Opioid tolerance has also been shown to be affected by the alteration of intercellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} (Antkiewicz-Michaluk et al., 1993). Previous work in our laboratory has shown that extracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx through voltage-sensitive Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channels and mobilization from Ca\textsuperscript{2+}/caffeine-sensitive pools (RyR pools) are involved with morphine tolerance (Smith et al., 1999) and can be reversed with the application of ryanodine. It has also been demonstrated that when Ca\textsuperscript{2+} is injected into the periaqueductal gray region opioid antinociception from any type of injection, systemic or otherwise, is blocked (Munoz and Fearon, 1982). Previous work in our laboratory has shown that this block in antinociception by injecting Ca\textsuperscript{2+} is a result of the stimulation of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx into the cells and the subsequent Ca\textsuperscript{2+} release from ryanodine receptor pools through calcium-induced calcium release (Smith and Stevens, 1995).

We began our investigation with the intracerebroventricular administration of the CD38–ADP–ribosyl cyclase substrate β-NAD\textsuperscript{+}. This resulted in an increase in the potency of morphine in antinociception. It is thought that exogenous application of β-NAD\textsuperscript{+} allows for increased generation of cADPR, leading to increased Ca\textsuperscript{2+} release from the ER. As discussed above, increased levels of intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} have been linked to the development of tolerance to morphine, and the exogenous application of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} has been shown to block the antinociceptive action of morphine (Smith and Stevens, 1995). However, there are also reports of transient increases in intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} after opioid administration unrelated to tolerance (Yeo et al., 2001). We did not observe any effect on antinociception after β-NAD\textsuperscript{+} application without morphine, and therefore we believe that in addition to increased levels of substrate it is necessary for morphine to stimulate the system for CD38 to be activated, causing the increase in cADPR and resultant transient increase in intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+}. We hypothesize that it is this resultant increase in intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} that increased the potency of morphine.

Our next goal was to investigate the effect of inhibition of the CD38–cADPR–RyR Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signaling pathway on morphine antinociception and its tolerance. In these experiments, the CD38–ADP–RyR signaling pathway was blocked by the CD38–ADP–ribosyl cyclase inhibitor, nicotinamide; a cell-permeable cADPR antagonist, 8-bromo-cADPR; and a RyR blocker, a large dose of ryanodine.

None of these compounds that have been shown to alter the CD38 pathway had any effect on the acute antinociception induced by morphine. Given the enhancing effects of β-NAD on morphine antinociception, the reasons for the inability of the inhibition of CD38–cADPR signaling to blunt the acute action of morphine remain unclear.

In contrast to the lack of an effect of these inhibitors on the acute response to morphine, we found that they all fully reversed 72-h morphine tolerance. Opioid tolerance is known to correlate with increased basal levels of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} (Welch and Olson, 1991). Although we did not directly measure the intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} levels in this in vivo study we hypothesize that inhibition of the CD38 product, cADPR, most probably leads to a decrease in intracellular Ca concentration, which resulted in the reversal of tolerance. It is clear from the data presented that alteration of the CD38 cADPR pathway in a number of ways significantly altered morphine tolerance but the same and even higher doses of these compounds did not alter the acute antinociceptive effects of morphine.

Because these pharmacological interventions may not be able to completely activate or block CD38 and may have nonspecific action, we felt it was necessary to use CD38(−/−) knockout animals to further explore the role of CD38 in morphine’s actions. We examined both the stimulatory (strau tail and spontaneous activity) and suppressive (antinociception and hypothermia) effects of morphine. Our experiments in the CD38(−/−) mice confirmed that there is a functional role for the CD38 cascade in a variety of morphine actions such as antinociception, hypothermia, and strau tail. However, our results show that this signaling pathway is not involved in morphine’s effect on spontaneous activity. Although not entirely conclusive, our results suggest that CD38 may play a more important role in the suppressive effects over the stimulatory effects of morphine.

Our hypothesis is that morphine antinociception is associated with alterations in the activity of CD38–ADP–ribosyl cyclase, leading to increases in cADPR. Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx via voltage-sensitive Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channels has been demonstrated to stimulate ryanodine receptors, resulting in dramatic increases in cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} through calcium-induced calcium release (Solovyova et al., 2002). cADPR may bind to RyR or its accessory protein, such as FK506 proteins, and thereby stimulate Ca\textsuperscript{2+} mobilization from the ER in neurons. Some in vitro studies by others supported this hypothesis because RyR channel stimulation was found to activate neuronal physiological processes such as synaptic transmission and neurotransmitter release throughout the central nervous system (Simpson et al., 1996).

To our knowledge, the data presented here involving CD38–cADPR–RyR and morphine provide the first evidence of the essential role CD38 plays in morphine tolerance and possibly in acute actions as well. The use of CD38(−/−) helped us to more effectively define such actions of CD38–cADPR–RyR on morphine-induced antinociception and its tolerance. Further elucidation of related molecular mechanism will continue to enhance our understanding of the action of morphine in the production of morphine antinociception and, in particular, the mechanisms of morphine tolerance.
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