Galois Field Algebra and RAID6

By David Jacob

Overview

- Galois Field
 - Definitions
 - Addition/Subtraction
 - Multiplication
 - Division
 - Hardware Implementation
- RAID6
 - Definitions
 - Encoding
 - Error Detection
 - Error Correction
 - Hardware Implementations

Galois Field (GF)

- A finite field with integer elements
- All GF operations are closed
 - Operations on a element give another element in the field
- The field is generated using a generating polynomial, F
 - All math is done modulo F

GF Notation

- GF(pⁿ)
 - p = prime that defines number of numbers per digit
 - Ex. GF(2) = binary
 - n = highest order of generating polynomial; also the number of digits for each number in the field
 - E.g. GF(2⁸) = 8-bit binary field (aka: every element is a byte) This is the field that will be used throughout the rest of this discussion
 - For GF(2⁸), $F = x^8 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + 1$

Addition/Subtraction

- Defined as addition/subtraction modulo p.
- In GF(2), this is the XOR operation

Х	Y	X+Y
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0

Multiplication

- Multiplication modulo F
- Ex.
 - F = 100011101, A = 10101010, B = 00000010
 - $-AxB = (A*B) \mod F$
 - = (101010100) mod 100011101
 - = 01001001

Multiplication by 2^x

- As shown before, this is equivalent to a LFSR with a feedback of F that is shifted x times.
- Since fields are also mathematical rings, all elements are a power of 2, so this can be used to multiply any numbers A and B if you know what log₂(B) is
- If you are multiplying by a constant, this LFSR can be unrolled and combined to reduce time and logic

 $2B_{7} \Leftarrow B_{6}$ $2B_{6} \Leftarrow B_{5}$ $2B_{5} \Leftarrow B_{4}$ $2B_{4} \Leftarrow B_{3} \oplus B_{7}$ $2B_{3} \Leftarrow B_{2} \oplus B_{7}$ $2B_{2} \Leftarrow B_{1} \oplus B_{7}$ $2B_{1} \Leftarrow B_{0}$ $2B_{0} \Leftarrow B_{7}$

Multiplication by 2^x

X ⁰	X ¹	X ²	X ³	X4	X ⁵	X ⁶	X ⁷
X ⁷	X ⁰	X ¹ +X ⁷	X ² +X ⁷	X ³ +X ⁷	X4	X ⁵	X ⁶
X ⁶	X ⁷	X ⁰ +X ⁶	X ¹ +X ⁶ + X ⁷	X ² +X ⁶ + X ⁷	X ³ +X ⁷	X4	X ⁵
X ⁵	X6	X ⁵ +X ⁷	$X^{0}+X^{5}+X^{6}$	X ¹ +X ⁵ + X ⁶ +X ⁷	X ² +X ⁶ + X ⁷	X ³ +X ⁷	X4
X4	X ⁵	X4+X6	X ⁴ +X ⁵ + X ⁷	$X^{0}+X^{4}+X^{5}+X^{6}$	X ¹ +X ⁵ + X ⁶ +X ⁷	X ² +X ⁶ + X ⁷	X ³ +X ⁷
X ³ +X ⁷	X4	X ³ +X ⁵ + X ⁷	X ³ +X ⁴ + X ⁶ +X ⁷	X ³ +X ⁴ + X ⁵	$X^{0}+X^{4}+X^{5}+X^{6}$	X ¹ +X ⁵ + X ⁶ +X ⁷	X ² +X ⁶ +X ⁷
X ² +X ⁶ + X ⁷	X ³ +X ⁷	X ² +X ⁴ + X ⁶ +X ⁷	X ² +X ³ + X ⁵ +X ⁶	X ² +X ³ + X ⁴	X ³ +X ⁴ + X ⁵	$X^{0}+X^{4}+X^{5}+X^{6}$	X ¹ +X ⁵ +X ⁶ +X ⁷
X ¹ +X ⁵ + X ⁶ +X ⁷	X ² +X ⁶ +X ⁷	$X^{1}+X^{3}+X^{5}+X^{6}$	X ¹ +X ² + X ⁴ +X ⁵	X ¹ +X ² + X ³ +X ⁷	X ² +X ³ + X ⁴	X ³ +X ⁴ + X ⁵	$X^{0}+X^{4}+X^{5}$ + X^{6}

Fast General-Purpose Multiplication

If you want to multiply by a number that isn't a power of 2, use Distributive property.

$$A \times B = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left(A_i \times 2^i \times B \right)$$

- Multiplying 2xB can be done using unrolled LFSRs
- A(i)x(2ixB) is done with AND gates
- Addition is XOR gates
- This results in general purpose multiplication being done in combinational time

GF Division

- Defined as multiplication by the multiplicative inverse
- $-A/B = AxB^{-1}$
- The multiplicative inverse is unique for every element in the field
- Multiplicative inverse defined as:

 $-AxA^{-1} = 1$

Multiplicative Inverse

- There are 3 ways of finding multiplicative inverse: Brute Force, Fermat's Little Theorem, and Extended Euclidean Algorithm
- Brute Force method of multiplying by each possible element until one of the products is 1 is obviously very expensive in either time or hardware

Fermat's Little Theorem

 Fermat's little theorem involves math modulo F, and can be used like this:

$$A^{p^{n}} = A \mod F$$
$$A^{p^{n-1}} = 1 \mod F$$
$$A \cdot A^{p^{n-2}} = 1 \mod F$$

• Therefore, in GF(2⁸): A²⁵⁴ = A⁻¹

Fermat's Little Theorem (Tom Wada, 2003)

- Using some "tricks" this can be calculated much easier than it would seem
 - This still requires the equivalent of 11 generalpurpose multipliers



Euclidean Algorithm

- The Euclidean Algorithm is used to find the Greatest Common Denominator (GCD) of two numbers.
- If you are trying to find the GCD(A,B), and assuming A>=B
 - Q = A/B (integer division), R = A mod B

$$A = Q \cdot B + R$$

$$R = A - Q \cdot B$$

$$R = m \cdot GCD(A, B) - Q \cdot n \cdot GCD(A, B)$$

$$R = GCD(A, B) \cdot (m - Q \cdot n)$$

$$R = GCD(A, B) \cdot p$$

- So, R is also a multiple of the GCD(A,B), so GCD(A,B)
 = GCD(B,R)
- This can be continued until there is no remainder, in which case, the last value divided by is the GCD(A,B)

Euclidean Algorithm

```
GCD(A,B):
  // initialize
  Rn := A; R := B;
  repeat
  // shift the values back for the next reduction
   Rm := Rn;
   Rn := R;
  // reduce
  Q := Rm/Rn; //this is integer division
  R := Rm - Q * Rn;
  until R = 1;
  return Rn;
end GCD(A,B);
```

 Not only find GCD, but constants of multiplication

 $GCD(A, B) = A \cdot X + B \cdot Y$

Uses the quotients that are thrown away in the normal Euclidean Algorithm to find X and Y

This is found by assuming:

 $R_i = A \cdot X_i + B \cdot Y_i$

So:

 $R_i = R_{i-2} - \frac{R_{i-2}}{R_{i-1}} \cdot R_{i-1}$ $R_{i} = (A \cdot X_{i-2} + B \cdot Y_{i-2}) - \frac{R_{i-2}}{R_{i-1}} \cdot (A \cdot X_{i-1} + B \cdot Y_{i-1})$ $R_{i} = A \cdot X_{i-2} + B \cdot Y_{i-2} - \frac{R_{i-2}}{R_{i-1}} \cdot A \cdot X_{i-1} + \frac{R_{i-2}}{R_{i-1}} \cdot B \cdot Y_{i-1}$ $R_{i} = A \cdot (X_{i-2} - \frac{R_{i-2}}{R_{i-1}} \cdot X_{i-1}) + B \cdot (Y_{i-2} + \frac{R_{i-2}}{R_{i-1}} \cdot Y_{i-1})$

- Since X and Y are defined recursively, starting points are needed
- Consider that the first two "remainders" are A and B

$$R_{-2} = A = A \cdot 1 + B \cdot 0$$
$$R_{-1} = B = A \cdot 0 + B \cdot 1$$

```
Ext GCD(A,B):
  //initialize
  Rn := A; R := B;
  Xn := 1; X := 0;
  Yn := 0; Y := 1;
  repeat
     // shift the values back for the next reduction
      Rm := Rn; Rn := R;
     Xm := Xn; Xn := X;
     Ym := Yn; Yn := Y;
     // reduce
      Q := Rm/Rn; //this is integer division
      R := Rm - Q * Rn;
      // update X and Y
     X := Xm - Q * Xn; Y := Ym - Q * Yn;
  until R = 1;
  return Rn,X,Y;
end Ext GCD(A,B);
```

How does Extended Euclidean Algorithm Help?

 In GF algebra, F is coprime with all elements in the field and multiplication is done modulo F so:

$$A \times X \oplus F \times Y = GCD(A, F)$$

$$A \times X \oplus F \times Y = 1$$

$$A \times X = F \times Y \oplus 1$$

$$A \times X = 0 \oplus 1$$

$$A \times X = 1$$

So X is the multiplicative inverse of A

Improving Ext. Euclidean Algorithm for GF(2)

- First, the Y is not important, so don't keep track of it
- Second, since the point of finding the multiplicative inverse is to implement division, finding Q = Rn/Rm is impossible.
 - Q isn't important either, just finding the remainder after the division

Finding the GF(x) Remainder (Brent et. al, 1984)

 Basically do binary "long division" until the remainder is found

MOD(A,B)

```
delta := deg A - deg B;

repeat

// scale A and X

Bs := x<sup>delta</sup> * B; Xs := x<sup>delta</sup> * X;

// reduce

A := A - Bs; Y := Y - Xs;

// recalculate degree

delta := deg A - deg B;

until delta < 0;

return A, Y;

end MOD(A,B);
```

Finding the GF(2) Remainder (Brunner et. al, 1993)

- How to do "x^{delta} * B" efficiently?
 - Could shift both values until the Msb are high
 - Then when subtraction is done, the top bit of A is 0, so it can be shifted, and delta decremented
- Remember that the result must be in the Galois Field, so math on it should be GF Algebra!
 - GFM2(A) = returns A times 2 (GF Multiplication)
 - GFD2(A) = returns A divided by 2 (GF Division)

Finding the GF(2) Remainder (Brunner et. al, 1993)

```
MOD(A,B)
   delta := 0;
   repeat
    if R(N) = 0 then
                           // scale up B and X and increment delta
        B := B << 1:
                            X := GFM2(X); delta := delta + 1;
    else
        if A(N) = 0 then // scale up A and scale down X
            A := A << 1; X := GFD2(X);
        else
        // if both MSb's are high, reduce B and Y and scale A and X
            A := A - B; Y := Y \text{ xor } X;
            A := A << 1; X := GFD2(X);
        end if:
        delta := delta - 1;
    end if:
   while delta \geq 0;
   return A and Y;
end MOD(A,B);
```

GF(2) Multiplicative Inverse (Brunner et. al, 1993)

- Combining this method of finding the remainder with the original Extended Euclidean Algorithm gives a usable implementation
- Since the order of F is N, and worst case, the order of A can be of order N, the loop needs to be done 2*N times
- To save registers, X and A can be used as temporary registers, since the final value of them is unimportant anyway

GF(2) Multiplicative Inverse (Brunner et. al, 1993)

```
GF Inversion(A)
   Rn := F; R := A;
   Xn := 1; X := 0;
   delta := 0:
   for i = 1 to 2^*N
    if R(N) = 0 then
                                 // scale up B and X and increment delta
         Rn := Rn << 1; X := GFM2(X);
         delta := delta + 1;
    else
         if Rn(N) = 1 then
              R := R - Rn; X := X xor Xn;
         end if;
         R := R << 1;
         if delta = 0 then
                                      // division is done, so swap variables for new division
              swap(R,Rn); swap(X,Xn);
              X := GFM2(X);
         else
              X := GFD2(X);
              delta := delta - 1;
         end if:
    end if;
   end loop;
   return R;
end GF Inversion(B);
```

GF(2) Multiplicative Inverse In Hardware (Brunner et. al, 1993)

- To implement things in hardware, concurrency can be taken advantage of
- To simplify hardware design, signals T and W are added

GF(2) Multiplicative Inverse In Hardware (Brunner et. al, 1993)

GF(2) Multiplicative Inverse In Hardware (Brunner et. al, 1993)

```
if R(N) = 0 then
      R := R << 1; Rn := T;
      X := GFM2(X); Xn := W;
      delta := delta + 1;
   else
      if delta = 0 then
          Rn := R; R := T << 1;
          Xn := X; X := GFM2(W);
          delta := delta + 1;
      else
          Rn := T << 1; R := R;
          Xn := W; X := GFD2(X);
          delta := delta - 1;
      end if;
   end if;
  end loop;
  return R;
GF Inversion(A);
```

Division by 2[×]

- Dividing by 2 is the inverse of multiplying by 2, so a LFSR which reverses the multiply by 2 LFSR would divide by 2.
- This can once again be expanded to multiply by any constant.

 $B_7 \leftarrow 2B_0$ $2B_7 \leftarrow B_6$ $B_6 \leftarrow 2B_7$ $2B_6 \leftarrow B_5$ $2B_5 \leftarrow B_4$ $B_5 \leftarrow 2B_6$ $B_{4} \leftarrow 2B_{5}$ $2B_4 \leftarrow B_3 \oplus B_7$ $B_3 \leftarrow 2B_4 \oplus 2B_0$ $2B_3 \leftarrow B_2 \oplus B_7$ $B_2 \Leftarrow 2B_3 \oplus 2B_n$ $2B_2 \leftarrow B_1 \oplus B_7$ $B_1 \leftarrow 2B_2 \oplus 2B_0$ $2B_1 \leftarrow B_0$ $B_0 \leftarrow 2B_1$ $2B_0 \leftarrow B_7$

Multiplication/Division with Lookup Tables

 Multiplication and Division can also be done w/ lookup tables

 $A \times B = \exp(\log(A) + \log(B))$ $A/B = \exp(\log(A) - \log(B))$

- Requires 256X8 lookup tables
 - Typically done in hard RAM blocks, so as not to use up fabric resources
 - The lookup tables are at most dual ported, so 2 RAM blocks are needed per pair of inputs

RAID

- Redundant Array of Independent (Inexpensive) Drives
- RAID comes in 4 common "varieties"
 - RAID0 data striped across the array
 - RAID1 data mirrored across the array
 - RAID5 data striped across the array with one parity block
 - RAID6 data striped across the array with two parity blocks

RAID 6

- RAID6 uses GF(2⁸) Algebra to create 2 redundant parity blocks
 - Data is striped in data blocks of 1 sector
 - 2 blocks are used for parity information so usable array space is N – 2 drives
 - Can detect 1 corrupt data bock
 - Can recover 2 corrupt data blocks (assuming some other method of detecting the error exists)

RAID6 Parity

- The P block is: $P = \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} (D_i)$
 - This is the same as RAID5 parity
 - Allows for easy generation and recovery

The Q block is:
$$Q = \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} (2^i \times D_i)$$

 More complicated generation, but allows for error detection

RAID6 Error Detection

• If the data at (unknown) location L is corrupted to X, then:

$$P = D_0 \oplus \dots \oplus D_{L-1} \oplus D_L \oplus D_{L+1} \oplus \dots \oplus D_n$$
$$P' = D_0 \oplus \dots \oplus D_{L-1} \oplus X \oplus D_{L+1} \oplus \dots \oplus D_n$$
$$P \oplus P' = D_l \oplus X$$

$$Q = 2^{0} \times D_{0} \oplus \dots \oplus 2^{L-1} \times D_{L-1} \oplus 2^{L} \times D_{L} \oplus 2^{L+1} \times D_{L+1} \oplus \dots \oplus 2^{n} \times D_{n}$$

$$Q' = 2^{0} \times D_{0} \oplus \dots \oplus 2^{L-1} \times D_{L-1} \oplus 2^{L} \times X \oplus 2^{L+1} \times D_{L+1} \oplus \dots \oplus 2^{n} \times D_{n}$$

$$Q \oplus Q' = 2^{L} \times D_{L} \oplus 2^{L} \times X = 2^{L} \times (D_{L} \oplus X)$$

 $(P \oplus P')/(Q \oplus Q') = 2^{L}$ $\log((P \oplus P')/(Q \oplus Q')) = L$

RAID6 Error Correction

- If 2 errors exist, there are 4 options of what they could be:
 - The two parity blocks
 - If this is the case, just recompute them
 - One data block and P
 - One data block and Q
 - Two data blocks

One Corrupted Data Block

- If only one data block is corrupted, and one of the parity is corrupted, then the data can be recreated from the good parity
 - If P is good than:

$$P = D_0 \oplus \dots \oplus D_{L-1} \oplus D_L \oplus D_{L+1} \oplus \dots \oplus D_n$$

$$0 = P \oplus D_0 \oplus \dots \oplus D_{L-1} \oplus D_L \oplus D_{L+1} \oplus \dots \oplus D_n$$

$$D_L = P \oplus D_0 \oplus \dots \oplus D_{L-1} \oplus D_{L+1} \oplus \dots \oplus D_n$$

 If Q is good than recompute Q (called Q') with the bad data as zeros:

 $Q = 2^{0} \times D_{0} \oplus ... \oplus 2^{L-1} \times D_{L-1} \oplus 2^{L} \times D_{L} \oplus 2^{L+1} \times D_{L+1} \oplus ... \oplus 2^{n} \times D_{n}$ $Q' = 2^{0} \times D_{0} \oplus ... \oplus 2^{L-1} \times D_{L-1} \oplus 2^{L} \times 0 \oplus 2^{L+1} \times D_{L+1} \oplus ... \oplus 2^{n} \times D_{n}$ $Q \oplus Q' = 2^{L} \times D_{L}$ $(Q \oplus Q')/2^{L} = D_{L}$

Two Data Drives Corrupted

 Data is corrupted on drives L and K (assuming K<L), recalculate P and Q (P' and Q') with erroneous data blocks as zeros:

 $P = D_0 \oplus \dots \oplus D_{K-1} \oplus D_K \oplus D_{K+1} \oplus \dots \oplus D_{L-1} \oplus D_L \oplus D_{L+1} \oplus \dots \oplus D_n$ $P' = D_0 \oplus \dots \oplus D_{K-1} \oplus 0 \oplus D_{K+1} \oplus \dots \oplus D_{L-1} \oplus 0 \oplus D_{L+1} \oplus \dots \oplus D_n$ $P = P' \oplus D_K \oplus D_L$

$$Q = 2^{0} \times D_{0} \oplus \ldots \oplus 2^{K-1} \times D_{K-1} \oplus 2^{K} \times D_{K} \oplus 2^{K+1} \times D_{K+1} \oplus \ldots \\ \oplus 2^{L-1} \times D_{L-1} \oplus 2^{L} \times D_{L} \oplus 2^{L+1} \times D_{L+1} \oplus \ldots \oplus 2^{n} \times D_{n} \\ Q' = 2^{0} \times D_{0} \oplus \ldots \oplus 2^{K-1} \times D_{K-1} \oplus 2^{K} \times 0 \oplus 2^{K+1} \times D_{K+1} \oplus \ldots \\ \oplus 2^{L-1} \times D_{L-1} \oplus 2^{L} \times 0 \oplus 2^{L+1} \times D_{L+1} \oplus \ldots \oplus 2^{n} \times D_{n} \\ Q = Q' \oplus 2^{K} \times D_{K} \oplus 2^{L} \times D_{L}$$

Two Data Drives Corrupted

• Then solve the first equation for D_L and the second for D_K and plug the in for D_K : $P = P' \oplus D_K \oplus D_L$ $D_L = P \oplus P' \oplus D_K$

$$Q = Q' \oplus 2^{K} \times D_{K} \oplus 2^{L} \times D_{L}$$
$$D_{K} = 2^{K} \times (Q \oplus Q') \oplus 2^{L-K} \times D_{L}$$

$$D_{L} = P \oplus P' \oplus 2^{K} \times (Q \oplus Q') \oplus 2^{L-K} \times D_{L}$$
$$D_{L} \oplus 2^{L-K} \times D_{L} = P \oplus P' \oplus 2^{K} \times (Q \oplus Q')$$
$$(2^{L-K} \oplus 1) \times D_{L} = P \oplus P' \oplus 2^{K} \times (Q \oplus Q')$$
$$D_{L} = \frac{P \oplus P' \oplus 2^{K} \times (Q \oplus Q')}{2^{L-K} \oplus 1}$$

Two Data Drives Corrupted

• Since K<L, it can be assumed that $2^{L-K} \oplus 1 > 1$

No division by zero possible

• After D_L is found, plug back in for D_K in the P equation solved for D_K :

 $D_k = P \oplus P' \oplus D_L$

Cost of Implementing in FPGA

- FPGAs use 4 input lookup tables (LUT4) in the fabric to implement logic
 - 2-input AND has same logic cost as 2-input XOR
 - 2-input XOR has same logic cost as 4-input XOR
 - If more than 4 inputs are needed, another LUT4 is cascaded to make a 7-input gate
 - This can be repeated many times in a tree (with a branching factor of 4), until required number of inputs is supplied:
 - Hardware cost is: LUT4/N-input gate=[(N-1)/3]
 - Speed cost is: $delay = Depth of LUT4 tree = \lceil \log_4 N \rceil$

What is the Best way to do RAID6 in Hardware?

- With various ways, which is the best?
- 3 different things to be discussed
 - Encoding
 - Decoding to detect error
 - Decoding to correct errors

FPGA Hardware Encoding

$$Q = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \left(2^{i} \times Di \right)$$

- Can be done with 3 different methods:
 - Lookup Tables
 - Requires N 256x8 lookup tables to be done (assuming N is even)
 - Good for when slice count becomes an issue and timing constraints are relaxed
 - Hardware General-Purpose Multipliers
 - Easily expandable and requires no block RAM
 - Hardware Special-Purpose Multipliers
 - Uses multiplication by 2^x multipliers to multiply by the required constants
 - Requires very few slices and no block RAM

FPGA Error Detection

 $\log((P \oplus P')/(Q \oplus Q')) = L$

- Requires a log table, so only sensible way of doing it is with lookup tables
- This also allows for simplified logic

 $log(exp(log(P \oplus P') - log(Q \oplus Q'))) = L$ $log(P \oplus P') - log(Q \oplus Q') = L$

Only requires one dual-ported log table, and no exponentiation table this way

FPGA 2 Error Correction

$$D_{L} = \frac{P \oplus P' \oplus 2^{K} \times (Q \oplus Q')}{2^{L-K} \oplus 1}$$

- Can be done 3 different ways:
 - Lookup tables
 - Requires 4 lookup tables, or 2 if no pipelining is required
 - General-Purpose multiplication and Division
 - Quite a lot of hardware required
 - Special-Purpose Multiplication and Division
 - Use multiply/divide by constant circuits w/ multiplexer to use the proper one for the desired values of L and K
 - Need at most N-1 multiply by constants, and N-1 Divide by constants and 2 (N-1)-input Muxes

Conclusion

 Multiply/Divide by constant combinational circuits can be used to greatly reduce the complexity of RAID6 encoding and decoding

Any Questions?