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## Abstract

Many methods for computing Jacobians (such as automatic differentiation and finite difference methods) can be made more efficient given colorings of the lattice points of the plane, cylinder, or torus that assign different colors to all vertices within some specified stencil. We give colorings for the $(4 l-3)$-point star and the $l \times l$ square stencils (for all $l$ ) in the plane, on the cylinder and on the torus. We also give colorings for the $(6 l-5)$-point star in $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ and for the $l \times l \times l$ cube in $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ with periodic boundary conditions in 0 and 1 dimensions. All colorings are shown to be optimal or near-optimal.
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## Chapter 1

## Introduction and Motivation

Many numerical methods require the evaluation of the Jacobian. The Jacobian is an $M \times N$ matrix $J$ of partial derivatives of a vector-valued function $F: \mathbb{R}^{N} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{M}$. The Jacobian entry in row $i$ and column $j$ is nonzero only if the $i$ th component $F(x)$ depends on $x_{j}$.

The Jacobian is frequently computed using automatic differentiation [6] or approximated using finite differences. These techniques are often necessary because the function $F$ is available only in the form of a computer program. Both approaches compute a set of directional derivatives of $F$. If we choose the direction to be the unit vector $e_{j}$ in the $j$ th coordinate direction we compute the $j$ th column of $J$. By taking the directions to be the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, we can compute $J$ using $N$ directional derivatives of $F$.

However, in many cases the Jacobian matrix is sparse. Assuming the sparsity pattern is known, the $i$ th and $j$ th columns of $J$ can be computed simultaneously whenever they are structurally orthogonal. A pair of columns $i$ and $j$ of a matrix are structurally orthogonal if in each row of the matrix at most one of the columns contains a nonzero entry.

If columns $i$ and $j$ are structurally orthogonal, we compute them simultaneously by taking the derivative of $F$ in the direction $e_{i}+e_{j}$. Then for each row $k$, at most one of $J_{k i}$ and $J_{k j}$ is nonzero. This nonzero entry is equal to the $k$ th component of the derivative vector.

This idea can be extended to larger sets of pairwise structurally orthogonal columns. If columns $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{p}$ are structurally orthogonal, we can compute them simultaneously by taking the derivative of $F$ in the direction $e_{i_{1}}+e_{i_{2}}+\cdots+e_{i_{p}}$. Again, for each row $k$, at most one column has a nonzero entry in the $k$ th row. This nonzero entry is equal to the $k$ th component of the derivative vector.

We are now interested in partitioning the columns of $J$ into structurally orthogonal sets. All the columns in a set can be computed simultaneously. To minimize the cost of computing $J$, we must minimize the number of sets in the partition.

It turns out to be more useful (and to offer better intuition) if we view the problem as points on a torus, rather than columns of a matrix [8]. Rather than partitioning the columns into structurally orthogonal sets, we speak of coloring the points on the torus so that no two points receive the same color unless their corresponding columns in the Jacobian are structurally orthogonal. If we take the points of the torus as a vertex set and add an edge between two points whenever their corresponding columns are not structurally orthogonal, we have a standard graph coloring problem. Motivated by viewing the problem as points on a torus, we also refer to the points by the more natural $(i, j)$ to denote the point in the $i$ th row and $j$ th column.

Unfortunately, finding an optimal coloring of a general graph is NP-complete. Therefore, research has focused on approximation algorithms for graphs with random adjacency patterns $[3,2,7]$ and optimal (or near-optimal) algorithms for structured graphs [5].

We now examine the problem more in detail. We want to find the derivative of a function that maps the surface of a torus to itself, $F: T \mapsto T$. Since we don't have an analytical form of the function, we


Figure 1.1: (a) The 5-point star stencil on the $5 \times 7$ torus. It is important to distinguish between the torus and the jacobian. The Jacobian will be $35 \times 35$, since each point on the torus corresponds to a column in the Jacobian. (b) The $3 \times 3$ square stencil on the $5 \times 6$ torus. The Jacobian for this torus will be $30 \times 30$.
choose to approximate it at selected points. We select $m n$ points in the shape of an $m \times n$ lattice on the surface of the torus. In the Jacobian, each row and column corresponds to a sample point on the torus. (This means that the Jacobian matrix, $J$, actually has dimensions $m n \times m n$.) We refer to the point corresponding to column (and row) $i$ as point $i$. The derivative at a point can be approximated using the value of the function at that point and at nearby points.

We use the term stencil to specify those points near point $i$ which our approximation of the derivative at $i$ will depend on. Because we use the same stencil for every point on the torus, the sparsity pattern of the Jacobian is very structured. In particular, $J_{i j}$ is nonzero only if point $i$ lies within the stencil of point $j$. Thus, two columns are structurally orthogonal only if their corresponding points never lie in the same stencil. Thus, the number of structurally orthogonal sets in the column partition must be at least equal to the number of points in the stencil.

Goldfarb and Toint [5] give optimal colorings (a coloring is optimal if it is uses a minimum number of colors) for a variety of sparsity patterns arising from the stencil-based discretization of partial differential equations on Cartesian grids. Goldfarb and Toint demonstrate that in many cases the size of the
coloring need not be any larger than the size of the stencil. However, all of the cases they consider are in the plane. This significantly simplifies matters, since it avoids difficulties with boundary conditions.

In this paper, we examine the problem for $(4 l-3)$-point star and square stencils, on both the torus and the cylinder. We use the term $m \times n$ torus (cylinder) to mean the discrete torus (cylinder) with height $m$ and width $n$. For the cylinder, the height is the dimension that does not "wrap around."

In three dimensions, we look at $(6 l-5)$-point star and cube stencils. We consider two cases. First, we color the points of $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$, the three dimensional latice without wrap-around in any dimension. Second, we color the points of $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{m}$, a three dimensional lattice with wrap-around in a single dimension of size $m$.

In Section 2, we cover a preliminary result which is helpful in constructing the colorings in Section 3. In Section 3, we present colorings for ( $4 l-3$ )-point and ( $6 l-5$ )-point star stencils and for square and cube stencils. In Section 4, we present lower bounds and show that in all cases they are tight or nearly tight for $l \times l$ square stencils and $(4 l-3)$-star stencils. We offer some concluding remarks in Section 5 .

## Chapter 2

## Preliminaries

The idea used in building all of the colorings in this paper is to partition the region to be colored into smaller rectangles. We color each rectangle so that when the rectangles are assembled into the initial region, the resulting coloring is valid. In general, we partition the region to be colored into rectangles with two different heights and two different widths: $h_{1} \times w_{1}, h_{2} \times w_{1}, h_{1} \times w_{2}$, and $h_{2} \times w_{2}$. In addition to each coloring being valid for the specified stencil, these colorings also have the property that if two rectangles with the same height are placed side by side, or two rectangles with the same width are placed one atop the other, the coloring of this new larger rectangle is valid for the same stencil. To color a torus with dimensions $h \times w$, we will write $h$ as a nonnegative integer linear combination of $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ and write $w$ as a nonnegative integer linear combination of $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$. (Throughout this paper, the term "linear combination" will mean linear combination with nonnegative integer coefficients.)

We want to know when an integer $n$ can be written as a linear combination of two smaller integers $p$ and $q$. Define $r(p, q)$ to be the smallest positive integer such that for all $n \geq r(p, q), n$ can be written as a linear combination of $p$ and $q$. The following lemma is a well-known result called Sylvester's Theorem. For the sake of completeness, we include a proof.

Lemma 1 For any relatively prime positive integers $p$ and $q, r(p, q)=(p-1)(q-1)$.
Proof Assume $p<q$. Let $A=\{0, q \bmod p, 2 q \bmod p, 3 q \bmod p, \ldots,(p-2) q \bmod p,(p-1) q \bmod$ $p\}$ and $B=\{((p-1)(q-1)) \bmod p,((p-1)(q-1)+1) \bmod p,((p-1)(q-1)+2) \bmod p, \ldots,(p-$ 1) $q \bmod p\}$. Then $A=B=\{0,1,2, \ldots, p-2, p-1\}$. Let $\hat{A}=A \backslash\{(p-1) q \bmod p\}$ and let $\hat{B}=B \backslash\{(p-$ 1) $q \bmod p\}$. Then $\hat{A}=\hat{B}$.

Fix an integer $n$ in the range $(p-1)(q-1) \leq n<(p-1) q$. Because $\hat{A}=\hat{B}$, there exists $0 \leq l \leq p-2$ such that $n \equiv l q \bmod p$. Since $(p-2) q<(p-1)(q-1)$, it is true that $n-l q>0$. Hence, if we write $n=k p+l q$ (where $k$ and $l$ are nonnegative integers), then $k$ must be positive. Additionally, $(p-1) q$ can be written (trivially) as a linear combination of $p$ and $q$. Thus, we have written $p$ successive positive integers each as a linear combination of $p$ and $q$. Any larger integer can be written as one of these integers plus a multiple of $p$. Hence, $r(p, q) \leq(p-1)(q-1)$.

It is easy to see that $((p-1)(q-1)-1) \equiv(p-1) q \bmod p$. Since $q i \not \equiv q j \bmod p$ when $i \neq j$ and $0 \leq i, j \leq p-1$, we see that $(p-1)(q-1)-1$ is not expressible as a linear combination of $p$ and $q$. We conclude that $r(p, q)=(p-1)(q-1)$.

We say that a coloring (of a torus or the plane) is valid for a given stencil if under that coloring, no two points in a copy of that stencil receive the same color. We say that a valid coloring (for stencil $S$ ) of an $h \times w_{1}$ torus and a valid coloring (for $S$ ) of an $h \times w_{2}$ torus are vertically compatible, if when placed side by side, the two form a valid coloring (for the stencil $S$ ) of the $h \times\left(w_{1}+w_{2}\right)$ torus. Analagously, we define horizontally compatible colorings of $h_{1} \times w$ and $h_{2} \times w$. When the meaning is clear, we will refer to both vertically compatible and horizontally compatible simply as compatible. We also extend these definitions to 3 dimensions in the obvious way.

## Chapter 3

## Colorings

### 3.1 Square Stencils

The easiest coloring for the $3 \times 3$ square stencil, on an $m \times n$ torus with $3 \mid m$ and $3 \mid n$, is given by $C(i, j)=(3 i+j) \bmod 9$, as shown in figure 1.

This coloring is given by Goldfarb and Toint [5] and can easily be extended to the $l \times l$ square stencil by setting $C(i, j)=(l i+j) \bmod l^{2}$. If we are coloring rectangles, rather than tori, this coloring suffices for all $m$ and $n$. However, for the torus, we require $l \mid m$ and $l \mid n$. As a result, we look for valid colorings for the $l \times l$ square stencil in instances when at least one of $l \nmid m$ or $l \nmid n$ is true.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lllllllll}
4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
7 & 8 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 0 \\
4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
7 & 8 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 0 \\
4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
7 & 8 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Figure 3.1: A coloring of the $9 \times 9$ torus for the $3 \times 3$ square stencil.

The colorings we use are similar to the coloring in figure 3.1. We define a general family of colorings

$$
C(i, j, l, m, n)=((l i \bmod m+j) \bmod n)
$$

Each time we use coloring $C$, the parameters $l, m$, and $n$ remain fixed, while the parameters $i$ and $j$ vary to indicate which entry is being colored. As we move to the right in a row, each entry is larger than the previous entry by 1 . Similarly, as we move downward in a column, each entry is larger than the previous entry by $l$. As a result, the period of the coloring in the rows is $n$ and the period in the columns is $\operatorname{gcd}(l, m)$. For Theorem 2 through Lemma 5, we consider the case where the height and width of the torus are given by $m=l^{2}+b$ and $n=l^{2}+c$, where $b$ and $c$ are at most $l$.

Theorem 2 If $l^{2} \leq m \leq n \leq l^{2}+l$, then $C(i, j, l, m, n)$ is a valid coloring of the $m \times n$ torus for the $l \times l$ square stencil.

Proof Since the tiling is periodic in both directions, it suffices to show that the coloring is valid for the plane. If this coloring is invalid, there must be two entries $\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right)$ and $\left(i_{2}, j_{2}\right)$ which lie within the same $l \times l$ square and receive the same color, that is, $\left|i_{1}-i_{2}\right|<l,\left|j_{1}-j_{2}\right|<l$, and $\left(l i_{1} \bmod m+j_{1}\right) \equiv$ $\left(l i_{2} \bmod m+j_{2}\right) \bmod n$. Without loss of generality, assume $l i_{1} \bmod m \geq l i_{2} \bmod m$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
T & =l i_{1} \bmod m-l i_{2} \bmod m+j_{1}-j_{2} \quad \text { and } \\
U & =l i_{1}-l i_{2}+j_{1}-j_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $n \mid T$ and $-n<T<2 n$, we must have either $T=0$ or $T=n$. Since $\left|i_{1}-i_{2}\right|<l$, we see that $\left(l i_{1} \bmod m-l i_{2} \bmod m\right) \in\left\{l i_{1}-l i_{2}, l i_{1}-l i_{2}+m\right\}$. We conclude that $U \in\{0, n-m,-m, n\}$. Since $\left|i_{1}-i_{2}\right|<l$ and $\left|j_{1}-j_{2}\right|<l$, we see that $|U|<l^{2} \leq m \leq n$. Since $U=0$ implies that $\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right)=\left(i_{2}, j_{2}\right)$, we must have $U=n-m$. Thus $\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right)$ is one of $\left(i_{2}+1, j_{2}\right),\left(i_{2}, j_{2}+n-m\right)$, or $\left(i_{2}+1, j_{2}+n-m-l\right)$.

The first two cases can be easily seen not to yield the same color on $\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right)$ and $\left(i_{2}, j_{2}\right)$. We need to show that none of these last type of pairs receive the same color.

The key is to determine the difference $\left(l i_{1} \bmod m-l i_{2} \bmod m\right)$. Let $N=l i_{2} \bmod m$. There are two possibilities. Either there exists an integer $g$ such that $l i_{2}<g m \leq l\left(i_{2}+1\right)$, or there does not exist such a $g$. If there does exist such an integer $g$, then $l i_{1} \bmod m=N+l-m$. Then $\left(l i_{1} \bmod m+j_{1}\right) \bmod n=$ $\left(N+l-m+j_{2}+n-m-l\right) \bmod n=\left(l i_{2} \bmod m+j_{2}\right) \bmod n=N+j_{2} \bmod n$. Simplifying, this gives $n-2 m=0 \bmod n$. This is impossible, since $l^{2} \leq m \leq n \leq l^{2}+l$. Thus, there does not exist such an integer $g$. The only possibility is then $\left(l i_{1} \bmod m+j_{1}\right) \bmod n=\left(N+j_{2}\right) \bmod n=\left(N+l+j_{2}+n-\right.$ $m-l) \bmod n$. This implies that $n=m$ and thus that $U=0$. We've already seen that this implies that $\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right)=\left(i_{2}, j_{2}\right)$. Hence, the tiling of the plane is valid, and so is the tiling of the torus.

Corollary 3 If $l \mid m$ and $l \mid n$, then $C\left(i, j, l, l^{2}, l^{2}\right)$ is a valid $l^{2}$-coloring of the the plane or the $m \times n$ torus for the $l \times l$ square stencil.

Proof Set $m=n=l^{2}$ in the previous theorem. Immediately, we see that the coloring is valid for an $l \times l$ torus and the $l \times l$ square stencil. If a coloring is valid for a torus for a given stencil, that coloring remains valid for that stencil if two copies of the torus are placed side by side or one atop the other. By placing copies of the $l \times l$ torus next to and atop one another, we can construct an $m \times n$ torus. Thus, the given coloring is valid for the $m \times n$ torus and the $l \times l$ square stencil.

In the next two lemmas, we show that the colorings given for the smaller rectangles can indeed be assembled to give larger colorings which are valid.

Lemma 4 If $l^{2} \leq m \leq n_{1} \leq n_{2} \leq l^{2}+l$, then $C\left(i, j, l, m, n_{1}\right)$ and $C\left(i, j, l, m, n_{2}\right)$ are vertically compatible for the $l \times l$ square stencil.

Proof Let $t_{1}$ be an $m \times n_{1}$ rectangle colored by $C\left(i, j, l, m, n_{1}\right)$ and let $t_{2}$ be an $m \times n_{2}$ rectangle colored by $C\left(i, j, l, m, n_{2}\right)$. The entries in a row of $t_{1}$ are (beginning from the first column) $x \bmod n_{1},(x+1) \bmod$ $n_{1},(x+2) \bmod n_{1}, \ldots$, where $x<m$. The entries in the same row of $t_{2}$ are $x \bmod n_{2},(x+1) \bmod n_{2}$, $(x+2) \bmod n_{2}, \ldots$ As a result, the colors from a row of $t_{1}$ appear in the same order within that row of $t_{2}$. The difference is that since $n_{2} \geq n_{1}$, there may be additional colors in $t_{2}$. So in each row of $t_{2}$, no color is closer to the edge of $t_{1}$ than it would be if $t_{2}$ were replaced with a second copy of $t_{1}$. Say $v_{1}$ is an entry in $t_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ is an entry in $t_{2}$. If $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ receive the same color and lie in the same row, they are at least as far apart as any two nearest entries in $t_{1}$ that lie in the same row and receive the same color.

Lemma 5 If $l^{2} \leq m_{1} \leq m_{2} \leq n \leq l^{2}+l$, the colorings $C\left(i, j, l, m_{1}, n\right)$ and $C\left(i, j, l, m_{2}, n\right)$ are horizontally compatible for the $l \times l$ square stencil.

Proof Since $l i<m_{1} \leq m_{2}$ for all $0 \leq i<l$, the first $l$ rows of the two colorings are identical. Thus, the colorings are compatible for the $l \times l$ square stencil.

Finally, we bring together all of the pieces we have assembled. We prove that 1 ) any sufficiently large torus can be partitioned into smaller rectangles, 2 ) those rectangles can be colored using few colors, and 3) that the smaller colorings can be assembled to give a valid coloring for the torus.

Theorem 6 For all $m \geq(l-1) l^{2}$ and $n \geq l^{2}\left(l^{2}+1\right)$, there is an $\left(l^{2}+2\right)$-coloring of the $m \times n$ torus that is valid for the $l \times l$ square stencil.

Proof By Lemma 1 we find $a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{1}, b_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m=a_{1} l+a_{2}\left(l^{2}+1\right)$ and $n=b_{1}\left(l^{2}+1\right)+$ $b_{2}\left(l^{2}+2\right)$. Using the linear combinations, we partition the $m \times n$ torus into rectangles of sizes $h \times w$, where $h \in\left\{l, l^{2}+1\right\}$ and $w \in\left\{l^{2}+1, l^{2}+2\right\}$. From Theorem 2 , we get colorings of tori with sizes $h \times w$, where $h \in\left\{l^{2}, l^{2}+1\right\}$ and $w \in\left\{l^{2}+1, l^{2}+2\right\}$. Thus, they are valid colorings for the $l \times\left(l^{2}+1\right)$ and $l \times\left(l^{2}+2\right)$ tori. Finally, we apply the appropriate coloring to each rectangle in the partition of the $m \times n$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lllllllllll}
4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & A & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
7 & 8 & 9 & A & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\
A & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & A & 0 & 1 \\
5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & A & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
8 & 9 & A & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\
0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & A \\
3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & A & 0 & 1 & 2 \\
6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & A & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
9 & A & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & A & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & A & B & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
7 & 8 & 9 & A & B & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\
A & B & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & A & B & 0 & 1 \\
5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & A & B & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
8 & 9 & A & B & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\
0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & A & B \\
3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & A & B & 0 & 1 & 2 \\
6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & A & B & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
9 & A & B & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & A & B & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lllllllllll}
4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & A & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
7 & 8 & 9 & A & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\
A & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & A & 0 & 1 & 2 \\
6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & A & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
9 & A & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\
2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & A & 0 & 1 \\
5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & A & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
8 & 9 & A & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & A & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & A & B & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
7 & 8 & 9 & A & B & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\
A & B & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & A & B & 0 & 1 & 2 \\
6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & A & B & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
9 & A & B & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\
2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & A & B & 0 & 1 \\
5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & A & B & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
8 & 9 & A & B & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & A & B & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Figure 3.2: The colorings of four rectangles used to construct a coloring of the torus for the $(4 l-3)-$ point star stencil. The colorings shown are from Theorem 11, when $l=3$.
torus. The resulting coloring uses at most $l^{2}+2$ colors and is valid for the $m \times n$ torus as guaranteed by Lemmas 4 and 5.

Our technique can be used to get an even better bound for coloring the cylinder. A coloring of a torus with any height can be used to color a cylinder, since we need not worry about boundary conditions in the height dimension. If we use the coloring for a torus with height $l$, then we only need to use the $l \times l$ and $l \times\left(l^{2}+1\right)$ rectangles. The result is a coloring with $l^{2}+1$ colors.

Theorem 7 There is an $\left(l^{2}+1\right)$-coloring of the $m \times n$ cylinder for the $l \times l$ square stencil when $n \geq$ $(l-1) l^{2}$.

### 3.2 Star Stencils

Now we give colorings for the torus that are valid for the $(4 l-3)$-point star stencils. To prove this, we show that the colorings are valid for the $l \times l$ square stencil, the $(2 l-1) \times 1$ rectangle stencil, and the $1 \times(2 l-1)$ rectangle stencil.

If $m \geq l^{2}\left(l^{2}+1\right)$ and $n \geq\left(l^{2}+1\right)\left(l^{2}+2\right)$, then by Lemma 1 we can partition the torus into rectangles of the four sizes $\left(l^{2}+b\right) \times\left(l^{2}+c\right)$ where $b \in\{1,2\}$ and $c \in\{2,3\}$. We use the colorings for each of these rectangles that are valid for the $l \times l$ stencil that are given in Theorem 2. When the colorings for these rectangles are combined, we get a coloring for the torus. Call this coloring $\hat{C}$ and call the partition into rectangles $P$.

Lemma 8 The coloring $\hat{C}$ is valid for the $l \times l$ square stencil.
Proof This follows immediately from Theorem 2 and Lemmas 4 and 5.

Lemma 9 The coloring $\hat{C}$ is valid for the $(2 l-1) \times 1$ rectangle stencil.
Proof If $\hat{C}$ were invalid for the $(2 l-1) \times 1$ stencil, there would exist two points $\left(i_{1}, j\right)$ and $\left(i_{2}, j\right)$ in the same $(2 l-1) \times 1$ stencil that receive the same color. We show that is impossible.

We can assume that $\left(i_{1}, j\right)$ and $\left(i_{2}, j\right)$ lie in different rectangles in $P$, since it is easy to see that different entries within the same column of a rectangle receive different colors. We consider the entries of column $j$ modulo $l$. As we move down a column we encounter in succession all the entries that lie in the same equivalence class. Additionally, we encounter the entries in the same equivalence class in increasing order. That is, as we move down a column of height $l^{2}+b$, we encounter $l$ blocks of entries, where each block consists of entries which lie in the same modulo class $(\bmod l)$. Each block of entries is of length $l$ or $l+1$. The only exception is that beginning at the top of a column, we may be part way
through a block. The preceding portion of this block will appear at the bottom of the column, so that when viewed as a torus, the block appears whole and in order.

The important insight is that for a fixed column, each rectangle in the partition $P$ has the same first $l$ entries in that column. As we move down the column, we must cross a boundary between two rectangles. Both the rectangle above the boundary and the one below it have the same first $l$ rows. This means that as we cross the boundary from one rectangle to another, all the blocks are whole and in order. The column of each rectangle contains $l \geq 2$ of these blocks (If $l=1$ the lemma is trivial). If two entries receive the same color, they must be in different blocks, and there must be at least one additional block between them. This means that the second one must appear at least $2 l$ positions after the first.

Lemma 10 The coloring $\hat{C}$ is valid for the $1 \times(2 l-1)$ rectangle stencil.

Proof If $\hat{C}$ were invalid for the $1 \times(2 l-1)$ rectangle stencil, there would exist $\left(i, j_{1}\right)$ and $\left(i, j_{2}\right)$ that lie in the same $1 \times(2 l-1)$ rectangle. Either both points are colored using the same coloring (i.e. in the partition they lie within rectangles of the same size), or they are colored using two different colorings. First, we assume they are colored using the same coloring. However, we know that within a row, each coloring is cyclic with period $l^{2}+c$. In addition, we know that each color appears only once every $l^{2}+c$ entries. Thus, if $\left(i, j_{1}\right)$ and $\left(i, j_{2}\right)$ receive the same color, they must be at a distance of at least $l^{2}+c \geq 2 l-1$.

Now consider the case where $\left(i, j_{1}\right)$ and $\left(i, j_{2}\right)$ are colored using different colorings: $\left(i, j_{1}\right)$ is colored by $C_{1}=C\left(i, j, l, l^{2}+b, l^{2}+2\right)$, and $\left(i, j_{2}\right)$ is colored by $C_{2}=C\left(i, j, l, l^{2}+b, l^{2}+3\right)$. Say $\left(i, j_{1}\right)$ receives color $d_{1}$. If both points were colored with the same coloring, the next occurence of color $d_{1}$ to the right of $\left(i, j_{1}\right)$ would be at $\left(i, j_{1}+l^{2}+c\right)$. However, the first appearance of a color in each row of coloring $C_{2}$ appears no closer to the boundary between colorings $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ than if we were to continue using
$C_{1}$ (see Lemma 4). As a result, no two copies of the same color can appear in the same row less than $l^{2}+c \geq 2 l-1$ positions apart.

Theorem 11 If $m \geq l^{2}\left(l^{2}+1\right)$ and $n \geq\left(l^{2}+1\right)\left(l^{2}+2\right)$, then there is a $\left(l^{2}+3\right)$-coloring of the $m \times n$ torus that is valid for the $(4 l-3)$-point star stencil.

Proof This follows immediately from Lemmas 8, 9, and 10.

### 3.3 Three Dimensional Stencils

There is also some interest in the three dimensional version of the problem. In the three-dimensional case, the lattices we are interested in are $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ and $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{m}$. We are motivated to look at colorings of these lattices for the $l \times l \times l$ cube. We also consider colorings of $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ for the ( $6 l-5$ )-point star. Apart from the 7-point star considered by Goldfarb and Toint [5], the author is not aware of any treatment of these cases in the literature.

The intution for Theorem 12 is as follows. We assume that two points receive the same color under the specified coloring. We proceed to show that they cannot lie inside the same $(6 l-5)$-point star stencil. Because we are giving a single coloring for all of $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ (and not considering boundary conditions for discrete tori) there are no issues of compatibility between different colorings.

Theorem 12 Define the coloring $C(i, j, k, l)=\left(i+l^{2} j+\left(l^{2}+1\right) k\right) \bmod (l(l+1)+1) . C(i, j, k, l)$ is a valid $(l(l+1)+1)$-coloring for $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ for the $(6 l-5)$-point star.

Proof If the coloring is invalid, there are two points $p_{1}=\left(i_{1}, j_{1}, k_{1}\right)$ and $p_{2}=\left(i_{2}, j_{2}, k_{2}\right)$ that receive the same color and lie within the same copy of a $(6 l-5)$-point star stencil. The points of a star differ in only one coordinate from the center of the star, so if $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ lie in the same star, at least one coordinate of $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ must be the same. Let $M=l(l+1)+1$.

First, consider the case where two coordinates are the same. We simplify the expression ( $i_{1}+$ $\left.l^{2} j_{1}+\left(l^{2}+1\right) k_{1}\right) \equiv\left(i_{2}+l^{2} j_{2}+\left(l^{2}+1\right) k_{2}\right) \bmod M$ by substituting in two of the three equalities: $i_{1}=i_{2}$, $j_{1}=j_{2}$, and $k_{1}=k_{2}$. Depending on which two of the three equalities we assume to be true, we get one of three possibilities: $i_{1} \equiv i_{2} \bmod M, l^{2} j_{1} \equiv l^{2} j_{2} \bmod M$, or $\left(l^{2}+1\right) k_{1} \equiv\left(l^{2}+1\right) k_{2} \bmod M$. Since $1, l^{2}$, and $\left(l^{2}+1\right)$ are all relatively prime to $M$, we see that either $M\left|\left(i_{1}-i_{2}\right), M\right|\left(j_{1}-j_{2}\right)$, or $M \mid\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right)$. However, we know that $\left|i_{1}-i_{2}\right|<2 l-1,\left|j_{1}-j_{2}\right|<2 l-1$, and $\left|k_{1}-k_{2}\right|<2 l-1$. Thus, if $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ lie insid the same stencil and receive the same color they agree in exactly one coordinate.

Consider the case where exactly one coordinate of $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ is identical. Then $\left|i_{1}-i_{2}\right|<l, \mid j_{1}-$ $j_{2} \mid<l$, and $\left|k_{1}-k_{2}\right|<l$ and one of the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(i_{1}+l^{2} j_{1}\right) & \equiv\left(i_{2}+l^{2} j_{2}\right) \bmod M \\
\left(i_{1}+\left(l^{2}+1\right) k_{1}\right) & \equiv\left(i_{2}+\left(l^{2}+1\right) k_{2}\right) \bmod M \\
\left(l^{2} j_{1}+\left(l^{2}+1\right) k_{1}\right) & \equiv\left(l^{2} j_{2}+\left(l^{2}+1\right) k_{2}\right) \bmod M
\end{aligned}
$$

We rewrite these as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(i_{1}-(l+1) j_{1}\right) & \equiv\left(i_{2}-(l+1) j_{2}\right) \bmod M \\
\left(i_{1}-l k_{1}\right) & \equiv\left(i_{2}-l k_{2}\right) \bmod M \\
\left(-l j_{1}+k_{1}\right) & \equiv\left(-l j_{2}+k_{2}\right) \bmod M
\end{aligned}
$$

The third equation follows by multiplying through by $(l+1)$. Those equations then imply (respectively) that one of the following is true:

$$
\begin{array}{l|l}
M & \left(i_{1}-i_{2}-(l+1)\left(j_{1}-j_{2}\right)\right) \\
M & \left(i_{1}-i_{2}-l\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right)\right) \\
M & \left(k_{1}-k_{2}-l\left(j_{1}-j_{2}\right)\right)
\end{array}
$$

In each case (making use of $\left|i_{1}-i_{2}\right|<l,\left|j_{1}-j_{2}\right|<l$, and $\left|k_{1}-k_{2}\right|<l$ ), we see that the quantity $M$ is supposed to divide has absolute value less than $M$. This implies that each quantity must be 0 and hence that $\left(i_{1}, j_{1}, k_{1}\right)=\left(i_{2}, j_{2}, k_{2}\right)$. This is a contradiction. Hence, the coloring is valid.

Now we turn our attention to the $l \times l \times l$ stencil. Because we want to color $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{m}$, we need to give a coloring for all of the $l^{3} \times l^{3} \times\left(l^{3}+b\right)$ three dimensional cylinders $(0 \leq b \leq l)$, rather than just the $l^{3} \times l^{3} \times l^{3}$ three dimensional torus. The proof takes the same form as before. We assume there are two points that lie within a stencil and receive the same color, then eventually reach a contradiction. Define the coloring $C(i, j, k, l, b)=\left(\left(l^{2} i+l j\right) \bmod l^{3}+k\right) \bmod \left(l^{3}+b\right)$.

Theorem 13 If $0 \leq b \leq l$, then $C(i, j, k, l, b)$ is a valid coloring of the $l^{3} \times l^{3} \times\left(l^{3}+b\right)$ three-dimensional cylinder. $C(i, j, k, l, b)$ uses $l^{3}+b$ colors.

Proof If the coloring is invalid, there are points $p_{1}=\left(i_{1}, j_{1}, k_{1}\right)$ and $p_{2}=\left(i_{2}, j_{2}, k_{2}\right)$ that receive the same color and lie inside the same $l \times l \times l$ cube. As a result, $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ satisfy constraints (3.1) and (3.2) below:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|i_{1}-i_{2}\right|<l,\left|j_{1}-j_{2}\right|<l,\left|k_{1}-k_{2}\right|<l  \tag{3.1}\\
\left(\left(\left(l^{2} i_{1}+l j_{1}\right) \bmod l^{3}\right)+k_{1}\right) \equiv\left(\left(l^{2} i_{2}+l j_{2}\right) \bmod l^{3}+k_{2}\right)\left(\bmod \left(l^{3}+b\right)\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Without loss of generality, assume $\left(l^{2} i_{1}+l j_{1}\right) \bmod l^{3} \geq\left(l^{2} i_{2}+l j_{2}\right) \bmod l^{3}$. Let $T=\left(\left(l^{2} i_{1}+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.l j_{1}\right) \bmod l^{3}-\left(l^{2} i_{2}+l j_{2}\right) \bmod l^{3}+\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right)\right)$. Then $T$ is divisible by $l^{3}+b$ and $-\left(l^{3}+b\right)<T<$ $2\left(l^{3}+b\right)$. In particular, $T \in\left\{0, l^{3}+b\right\}$. Let $U=l^{2}\left(i_{1}-i_{2}\right)+l\left(j_{1}-j_{2}\right)+\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right)$. Then $U \in$ $\left\{0,-l^{3}, l^{3}+b, b\right\}$. Making use of (3.1), we see that $|U|<l^{3}$. If the right side is 0 , we immediately get $\left(i_{1}, j_{1}, k_{1}\right)=\left(i_{2}, j_{2}, k_{2}\right)$. This leaves only the case $U=b$. To have a solution other than $\left(i_{1}, j_{1}, k_{1}\right)=\left(i_{2}, j_{2}, k_{2}\right)$, we need $0<b$. Again using (3.1) and the fact that $b \leq l$, we see that the only possible solutions are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
l^{2} i_{1}+l j_{1}=l^{2} i_{2}+l j_{2} & k_{1}=k_{2}+b \\
l^{2} i_{1}+l j_{1}=l^{2} i_{2}+l j_{2}+l & k_{1}=k_{2}+(b-l) \tag{ii}
\end{array}
$$

We need to show that none of these pairs of points actually receive the same colors. It is easy to see that no pair of points satisfying $(i)$ receives the same color.

Consider pairs of points satisfying (ii). The key is to determine the difference $\left(l^{2} i_{1}+l j_{1}\right) \bmod l^{3}-$ $\left(l^{2} i_{2}+l j_{2}\right) \bmod l^{3}$. Let $N=\left(l^{2} i_{2}+l j_{2}\right) \bmod l^{3}$. There are two possibilities. Either there exists a positive integer $d$ such that $l^{2} i_{2}+l j_{2}<d l^{3} \leq l^{2} i_{2}+l j_{2}+l$, or there does not exist such an $d$. If there does not exist such an $d$, then $\left(l^{2} i_{1}+l j_{1}\right) \bmod l^{3}=N+l$. This leads to $\left(N+k_{2}\right) \bmod \left(l^{3}+b\right)=$ $\left(N+l+k_{2}+b-l\right) \bmod \left(l^{3}+b\right)$. This implies that $b \equiv 0 \bmod \left(l^{3}+b\right)$. However, since $0<b \leq l$, this is a contradiction. Hence, there must exist such an integer $d$.

Consider (ii) when there exists a positive integer $d$ such that $l^{2} i_{2}+l j_{2}<d l^{3} \leq l^{2} i_{1}+l j_{1}$. Then $\left(l^{2} i_{1}+l j_{1}\right) \bmod l^{3}=N+l-l^{3}$. This leads to $\left(N+k_{2}\right) \equiv\left(N+l-l^{3}+k_{2}+b-l\right) \bmod \left(l^{3}+b\right)$. Simplifying, we get $l^{3} \equiv b \bmod \left(l^{3}+b\right)$. However, $0<b \leq l$, so we reach a contradiction. Hence, there are no pairs of points receiving the same color and also satisfying constraint (ii). Thus, there is no pair of
points $\left(i_{1}, j_{1}, k_{1}\right),\left(i_{2}, j_{2}, k_{2}\right)$ receiving the same color and also lying inside the same $l \times l \times l$ cube. As a result, the coloring is valid.

Corollary 14 There exists a $l^{3}$-coloring of $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$, which is valid for the $l \times l \times l$ cube.
Proof Set $b=0$ above. Then the coloring above is for a $l \times l \times l$ cube and uses $l^{3}$ colors. It is easy to see that this coloring also works for the points of $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$.

Lemma 15 Define the colorings $C_{1}=C\left(i, j, k, l, b_{1}\right)$ and $C_{2}=C\left(i, j, k, l, b_{2}\right)$. If $0 \leq b_{1} \leq b_{2}$ then $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are compatible.

Proof Analagous to rows and columns, we define towers to be the set of lattice points for which $i, j$ are fixed and $k$ varies. Under $C_{1}$, as $k$ increases in a tower, we get the repeating sequence $0,1,2, \ldots, l^{3}+$ $b_{1}-2, l^{3}+b_{1}-1$. Under $C_{2}$, as $k$ increases in a tower, we get the repeating sequence $0,1,2, \ldots, l^{3}+b_{2}-$ $2, l^{3}+b_{2}-1$. The key insight is that in a tower, under $C_{2}$, no color is closer to the boundary between $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ than if we were to continue using $C_{1}$. Say we have one point $\left(i_{1}, j_{1}, k_{1}\right)$, colored by $C_{1}$, and another point $\left(i_{2}, j_{2}, k_{2}\right)$, colored by $C_{2}$, which make the colorings incompatible. Instead of changing from $C_{1}$ to $C_{2}$ at the boundary between them, we could continue using $C_{1}$ for all the points and find a point $\left(i_{3}, j_{3}, k_{3}\right)$, which makes $C_{1}$ incompatible with itself. Since $C_{1}$ is not incompatible with itself, $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ must be compatible.

Theorem 16 Say $l$ and $m$ are positive integers that satisfy $m \geq l^{3}$. Define $q$ to be the least nonnegative integer for which $m$ can be written as a linear combination of $l^{3}, l^{3}+1, \ldots, l^{3}+q-1, l^{3}+q$. There is an $\left(l^{3}+q\right)$-coloring of $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{m}$, which is valid for the $l \times l \times l$ cube.

Proof Following the ideas of Theorem 6, we partition $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{m}$ into copies of $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{b_{i}}$, where $b_{i}$ can differ in different copies but $l^{3} \leq b_{i} \leq l^{3}+q$ for all copies. We color each copy of $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{b_{i}}$ using the
coloring given by Theorem 13. By the Lemma 15, these colorings are compatible, so the total coloring is valid.

Corollary $\mathbf{1 7}$ Let $l$ and $m$ be positive integers such that $m \geq l^{3}\left(l^{3}-1\right)$. There is an $\left(l^{3}+1\right)$-coloring of $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{m}$, which is valid for the $l \times l \times l$ stencil.

Proof This follows from the Theorem 16 and Lemma 1.

## Chapter 4

## Lower Bounds

We give lower bounds which prove that the colorings for the square and cube stencils are either optimal or within one color of being optimal.

Theorem 18 Any valid coloring of the $m \times n$ torus for the $l \times l$ square stencil requires $l^{2}+1$ colors unless $l \mid m$ and $l \mid n$.

Proof Consider an $m \times l$ sub-cylinder (the dimension of size $m$ is the one that wraps around). Say our coloring uses at most $l^{2}$ colors. By the pigeon-hole principle, there is some color class of size at least $\left\lceil\frac{m \times l}{l^{2}}\right\rceil=\left\lceil\frac{m}{l}\right\rceil$. However, a color class can have size at most $\left\lfloor\frac{m \times l}{l^{2}}\right\rfloor=\left\lfloor\frac{m}{l}\right\rfloor$ (since two entries in the same color class must be at least $l$ rows apart). If $l \mid m$, these quantities are equal. Otherwise, we need at least $l^{2}+1$ colors. An analagous argument can be made to show that we need $l \mid n$.

Slight variations of this proof lead to the following theorems.

Theorem 19 Any coloring of the $m \times n$ cylinder, that is valid for the $l \times l$ square stencil requires $l^{2}+1$ colors unless $l \mid n$.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & \\
6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & + \\
11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & + \\
16 & 17 & 18 & 19 & 20 & + \\
21 & 22 & 23 & 24 & 25 & + \\
& * & * & * & * &
\end{array}\right]
$$

Figure 4.1: The proof of Theorem 21 for $l=5$.

Theorem 20 Any coloring of $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{m}$, that is valid for the $l \times l \times l$ cube requires $l^{3}+1$ colors unless $l \mid m$.

Now we give a bound on the number of colors needed for star stencils.

Theorem 21 If $m, n>l$, we need at least $l^{2}+1$ colors to color an $m \times n$ rectangle, such that no two points with the same color lie in a $(4 l-3)$-point star.

Proof It is easy to see that no vertices in a $l \times l$ square can receive the same color. We begin by coloring these all different. For ease of reference, we will refer to the vertices as entries of a $m \times n$ matrix, where $a_{i j}$ denotes the vertex in the $i$ th row and $j$ th column.

The only colors available to color column $l+1$ are those used in column 1 . To color $(1, l+1),(2, l+$ 1), $\ldots,(l, l+1)$, we must use each color in the set $\{1+k l: 0 \leq k<l\}$ exactly once. Since $(1,1)=1$, we see that $(1, l+1) \neq 1$. So there exists $i$ with $2 \leq i \leq l$ and $(i, l+1)=1$ (one of the entries denoted by + in the diagram). The only colors available to color row $l+1$ are those used in row 1 . To color $(l+1,2),(l+1,3), \ldots,(l+1, l)$ (those entries denoted by $*$ in the diagram) we must use every color in the set $\{2,3, \ldots, l\}$ exactly once. However, this leaves no color for $(l+1,1)$. Color 1 cannot be used, since $(1,1)=1$ and all other colors are already assigned to some $(i, j)$ with $2 \leq i \leq l+1$ and $1 \leq j \leq l$. Thus, we need an additional color for $(l+1,1)$, so at least $(l+1)^{2}+1$ colors are required.

Theorem 22 The coloring given for the ( $6 l-5$ )-point star is assymptotically best possible.

Proof Every (axis-aligned) cross-section of the coloring for the ( $6 l-5$ )-point star must be a valid coloring for the $(4 l-3)$-point star. Thus, we have a lower bound of $l^{2}+1$ colors. We use $l(l+1)+1$ colors. The ratio of upper and lower bound is $\left(1+\frac{1}{l-1}\right)$, which approaches 1 as $l$ gets large.

## Chapter 5

## Conclusion

We have given colorings that are valid for the ( $4 l-3$ )-point star and the $l \times l$ square stencils (for all $l$ ) in the plane, on the cylinder and on the torus. On the torus, we have proved that the colorings given for the $(4 l-3)$-point star are within at most 2 colors of optimality. On the cylinder, they are within at most 1 color of optimality. In the plane all star colorings given are optimal. On the torus and the cylinder, we have given colorings for the square stencils that are within at most 1 color of optimality. The colorings for square stencils in the plane are optimal.

We have given colorings for the $l \times l \times l$ cube stencils for $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ and $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{m}$. Both are optimal. We have also given colorings of $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ for the $(6 l-5)$-point star, which are assymptotically best possible.

## Bibliography

[1] Christian Bischof and Paul Hovland. Using ADIFOR to Compute Dense and Sparse Jacobians. ADIFOR Working Note 2, Technical Memorandum ANL/MCS-TM-158, January 1992.
[2] Thomas F. Coleman and Jorge J. Moré. Estimation of Sparse Hessian Matrices and Graph Coloring Problems. Math. Programming, 28:243-270, 1984.
[3] A.R. Curtis, M.J.D. Powell, and J.K. Reid. On the Estimation of Sparse Jacobian Matrices. J. Inst. Maths Applics, 13:117-119, 1974.
[4] Charles Vanden Eynden. Elementary Number Theory. Random House, 1987.
[5] D. Goldfarb and Ph. L. Toint. Optimal Estimation of Jacobian and Hessian Matrices That Arise in Finite Difference Calculations. Mathematics Of Computation, 43(167):69-88, July 1984.
[6] Andreas Griewank. Evaluating Derivatives: Principles and Techniques of Algorithmic Differentiation. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 2000.
[7] S. Thomas McCormick. Optimal Approximation of Sparse Hessians and its Equivalence to a Graph Coloring Problem. Math. Programming, 26:153-171, 1983.
[8] Garry N. Newsam and John D. Ramsdell. Estimation of Sparse Jacobian Matrices. SIAM J. ALG. DISC. METH., 4(3):404-418, September 1983.

