Thrombin generation time is a novel parameter for monitoring enoxaparin therapy in patients with end-stage renal disease
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Summary. Background: Patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) who receive enoxaparin are at increased risk for adverse bleeding episodes. This phenomenon appears to occur despite judicious monitoring of antifactor Xa (aFXa) activity. Better monitoring parameters are needed to quantify the anticoagulant effects of enoxaparin in the ESRD population. Objectives: The objective of this study was to determine the utility of using thrombin generation time (TGT), platelet contractile force (PCF) and clot elastic modulus (CEM) to monitor the degree of anticoagulation in ESRD subjects, and to compare these results to aFXa activity, the current gold-standard monitoring parameter. Methods: Eight healthy volunteers without renal dysfunction and eight ESRD subjects were enrolled into this study. Subjects received a single dose of enoxaparin 1 mg kg⁻¹ subcutaneously, and blood samples were obtained for the determination of aFXa activity, TGT, PCF and CEM at baseline, 4, 8, and 12 h postdose. Results: Baseline, 4, 8, and 12-h aFXa activity concentrations were not different between groups. However, the corresponding TGT at 8 and 12 h was significantly prolonged in the ESRD group (P = 0.04, and P = 0.008, respectively). The 4-h peak TGT trended toward significance (P = 0.06). There were no differences in PCF or CEM across time. Conclusions: These data suggest that the parameter aFXa activity is a poor predictor of the anticoagulant effect of enoxaparin in patients with ESRD. Thrombin generation time appears to be more sensitive to the antithrombotic effects of enoxaparin in this population. Further large-scale trials are needed to corroborate these data.
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Introduction

End-stage renal disease (ESRD) patients who are anticoagulated with low molecular weight heparin (LMWH) drugs such as enoxaparin are at high-risk for adverse bleeding events [1,2]. There is a significant body of literature documenting this phenomenon.

The prevailing explanation for the increased bleeding risk associated with enoxaparin in this population is that its antifactor Xa (aFXa) activity is eliminated via renal mechanisms. Pharmacokinetic investigations have documented a significant linear correlation between creatinine clearance and enoxaparin clearance [3,4]. Therefore, as the glomerular filtration rate (GFR) declines, there is a corresponding reduction in antifactor Xa elimination in this population. To prevent overdosing and to potentially reduce adverse bleeding events, the Seventh American College of Chest Physicians Conference on Antithrombotic and Thrombolytic Therapy [1] and the manufacturer of enoxaparin [5] recommend judicious monitoring of aFXa activity in patients with renal dysfunction.

Although aFXa activity is the current gold-standard monitoring marker for the plasma concentration of enoxaparin, it is poorly correlated to efficacy or toxicity [6–8]. Indeed, the relationship between the target range for aFXa activity and clinical efficacy and toxicity remains unclear. Debate still exists as to its clinical utility as a meaningful laboratory monitor [9,10]. This may be explained, in part, because factor Xa is merely an intermediate step in a highly complex biochemical pathway.

Our new understanding of coagulation revolves around the evolving mechanisms of thrombin generation as demonstrated by the working groups of Dr Mann and Dr Roberts [11–19]. These proposed models of coagulation have recently extended our understanding of the critical roles of thrombin and platelets in the coagulation process. Thrombin not only converts fibrinogen to fibrin, but it is also one of the most potent stimuli for platelet activation. Our laboratory has developed a whole blood assay (Hemodyne Hemostasis Analyzer™,
Hemodyne®, Inc., Richmond, VA, USA) that provides a global evaluation of the integrity of the coagulation system by reporting the parameters thrombin generation time (TGT), platelet contractile force (PCF), and clot elastic modulus (CEM). TGT is the speed at which thrombin is generated in whole blood. PCF is the force produced by platelets during clot retraction and therefore a measure of platelet function during clotting. CEM is measured simultaneously with PCF and it reflects the structural integrity of the clot. The normal ranges for TGT, PCF and CEM are 4–8 min, 6.6–9.1 kdyn, and 13.2–24.4 kdyn cm⁻², respectively [20]. We have successfully used these parameters to monitor the pharmacological effects of various antiplatelet, anticoagulant and hematostatic drugs [20–25]. Moreover, we have used these parameters to preliminarily describe the in vitro effects of enoxaparin in patients with renal dysfunction [26–28].

The primary objective of this study was to determine the in vivo utility of measuring these parameters to describe the degree of anticoagulation following enoxaparin exposure in ESRD patients, compared with the gold-standard monitoring parameter aFXa activity.

Methods

Study design and population

This was a prospective, open-label, in vivo, study of the effects of enoxaparin in patients with and without renal dysfunction. The Virginia Commonwealth University (VCU) Institutional Review Board and VCU General Clinical Research Center approved this study prior to subject enrollment, and this study was conducted in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki. A total of 16 subjects were enrolled in this study: eight healthy normal volunteers and eight ESRD subjects who received maintenance hemodialysis. All study interventions and measurements were obtained during an off-dialysis day. All subjects were anticoagulant naïve, non-thrombosed, and otherwise healthy. Subjects were admitted into this study if they were >18 years of age and provided written informed consent. In addition, subjects with ESRD must have received maintenance hemodialysis for at least 3 months. Subjects were excluded from this investigation if they had any of the following: active bleeding or thrombotic disorder; pregnancy; recent trauma or surgery (<7 days); cirrhosis or other liver abnormality; hematocrit <30%; active cancer; had received a blood transfusion (<7 days); cirrhosis or other liver abnormality; hematocrit <100 000 mL⁻¹; documented history of antithrombin III, protein C or protein S deficiency; concurrent use of anticoagulant or antiplatelet drug therapy. All eligible subjects provided written informed consent and who met the above criteria underwent screening evaluation, which consisted of a routine physical examination and laboratory evaluation [basic metabolic panel, complete blood count (CBC), international normalized ratio (INR), activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT), prothrombin time (PT)].

Study procedures

Each eligible subject was admitted to the VCU General Clinical Research Center for a 12-h dosing and blood sampling period. Pre-dose blood samples (3.2% sodium citrate blue top tubes) were collected via aseptic venipuncture to determine baseline aFXa activity, TGT, PCF and CEM. Subjects then received a single-dose of enoxaparin 1 mg kg⁻¹ subcutaneously (s.c.) in their abdomen. Blood sampling was conducted at 4, 8, and 12 h postdose to determine the pharmacokinetics of aFXa as well as the pharmacodynamics of TGT, PCF and CEM over time.

Specimen processing and analysis

Baseline chemistries (basic metabolic profile, CBC, PT, aPTT, INR) and aFXa concentrations were processed and analyzed at the VCU Health System Department of Pathology. Plasma enoxaparin aFXa activity was measured using a validated, commercially available chromogenic method (STA® heparin colorimetric analyzer; Diagnostica Stago, Parsippany, NJ, USA), and the results expressed as IU mL⁻¹. The lower limit of aFXa detection for this assay was 0.05 IU mL⁻¹; the coefficient of variation (CV) was ±3%. Whole blood samples were analyzed for TGT, PCF and CEM at the VCU Coagulation Special Studies Laboratory. These parameters were simultaneously measured using the Hemodyne Hemostasis Analysis System™ (Hemodyne®). Batroxobin was purchased as Atroxin®, Bothrops atrox venom protein (Sigma Diagnostics, St Louis, MO, USA), dissolved in deionized water and used without further modification. It was maintained on ice and used within 3 h of being reconstituted. Nanopure water was used in the preparation of all solutions. All clots were formed using 700 µL of citrated whole blood. Clotting was initiated at time zero by adding calcium chloride (CaCl₂) and batroxobin. Final clotting conditions included: CaCl₂ 10 mm, batroxobin 0.21 µg mL⁻¹, pH 7.4, ionic strength 0.15 m and a final volume of 0.750 mL. PCF development was measured for 1200 s (20 min) and recorded in kdynes. CEM was measured concurrently and reported in kdynes cm⁻², and the time between calcium addition and initial platelet contractile force development was designated as the TGT. The coefficient of variation (CV) for this instrument was ±7%.

Statistical analysis

All statistical procedures were performed using JMP statistical software version 5.1 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Descriptive statistics (mean ± SD) characterized each group’s baseline subject characteristics and laboratory parameters. Descriptive statistics (least squares mean ± SD, maximum and minimum range) for baseline and 4, 8, and 12-h aFXa activity, TGT, PCF and CEM were performed for each group. Repeated measures analysis of variance was used to detect intergroup differences in each parameter (aFXa, TGT, PCF
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and CEM) at each time interval. All statistical tests were performed at the significance level \( \alpha = 0.05 \).

## Results

Sixteen subjects completed this study. Their demographic data are listed in Table 1. Intergroup baseline demographics were similar with the exception of age, renal function, platelet count and aPTT. Although the mean platelet count and aPTT values were different between groups, the clinical relevance of these findings is limited, given both parameters were in the normal range for each group.

Table 2 displays the respective aFXa activity, TGT, PCF and CEM parameters across the 12-h time interval. There were no intergroup differences in the aFXa activities achieved throughout the dosing interval, however, there was a trend toward a greater 4-h peak aFXa level in the controls compared with the ESRD group (0.64 vs. 0.53 IU mL\(^{-1}\), respectively, \( P = 0.06 \)). Despite achieving similar aFXa activities, the ESRD group tended to have prolonged TGT values across the 12-h time interval. The peak TGT at 4 h trended toward significant prolongation (13.0 vs. 10.8 min, respectively, \( P = 0.06 \)), and reached statistical significance at 8 h (9.8 vs. 7.4 min, respectively, \( P = 0.04 \)) and 12 h (8.1 vs. 5.0 min, respectively, \( P = 0.008 \)). At the 12-h time point, the TGT was prolonged by more than 60% in the ESRD group compared with the controls. There were no intergroup differences in PCF or CEM across time.

## Discussion

The intended mechanism of any anticoagulant drug is to inhibit the generation of thrombin. Therefore, the laboratory para-

ter TGT has the potential to become an important clinical monitoring parameter. It is evolving from merely a benchtop research assay into a clinically useful instrument to monitor the thrombosis and hemostasis status of patients. Thrombin generation time can be rapidly performed on whole blood [20], and can provide a detailed analysis of the critical interaction between platelets and thrombin.

The results of this study failed to find any differences in aFXa disposition over 12 h between normal volunteers and patients with ESRD. This was relatively unexpected given the previous reports of a correlation between enoxaparin clearance and GFR [3,4]. It should be noted, however, that other studies have reported similar pharmacokinetic parameters within these two populations [29]. Although a more formal pharmacokinetic discussion is beyond the scope of this paper, one potential explanation for this finding is that this was a single-dose study, which would not account for drug accumulation upon multiple dosing.

This study highlights the limitations of merely monitoring the peak aFXa activity concentration in patients with renal dysfunction. As shown in Table 2, if clinicians simply monitored the peak aFXa concentration at 4 h in ESRD patients, they may feel relatively confident that their enoxaparin dosing regimen was adequate. However, based on the respective TGT, the ESRD group is 20% more anticoagulated at 4 h; 32% more anticoagulated at 8 h; and 62% more anticoagulated at 12 h. In fact, the 12-h TGT of 8.1 min in the ESRD group is not much different than the 4-h peak TGT in the controls (10.8 min). These relative differences in TGT would be likely to become much more disparate upon repeated dosing. Not only do these data confirm previous *in vitro* reports from our laboratory [27,28], but they may help begin to explain why ESRD patients tend to have a greater incidence of bleeding episodes despite clinicians tailoring enoxaparin dosing to maintain aFXa activity in the target range of 0.5–1.2 IU mL\(^{-1}\).

The exact mechanism of the enhanced TGT in the ESRD patients is difficult to explain, however, several potential mechanisms should be explored. First, the pharmacokinetics of enoxaparin are poorly understood. Enoxaparin is not a single molecular entity, but rather a conglomerate of small to medium chain heparin molecules less than 5000 Da. Thus, there is no available assay that directly measures the enoxaparin ‘molecule’ in plasma. Therefore, clinicians must rely on aFXa concentrations as a surrogate marker. The elimination of enoxaparin is thought to occur via non-saturable renal mechanisms [30–32], however, other investigations have suggested other metabolic pathways [33,34]. It is possible that enoxaparin, and other LMWH drugs, undergo metabolism to smaller, active heparin saccharide fragments that are undetectable by conventional aFXa assays [34]. These active metabolites may possess antithrombotic properties and may be renally excreted. Thus in ESRD, metabolite accumulation occurs, thereby resulting in prolonged TGT without an appreciable increase in the aFXa concentration.

Secondly, enoxaparin is known to have other mechanisms besides the inhibition of factor Xa. These mechanisms include antifactor IIa (anti-thrombin) activity, a high

---

**Table 1** Subject characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Control ((n = 8))</th>
<th>ESRD ((n = 8))</th>
<th>(P)-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td>4 black/4 white</td>
<td>6 black/2 white</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>F/5 M</td>
<td>F/4 M</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>29.8 ± 9.6</td>
<td>47.9 ± 6.9</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>83.1 ± 19.2</td>
<td>79.3 ± 28</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enoxaparin (mg)</td>
<td>82.5 ± 20.4</td>
<td>79.5 ± 27.3</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFR (mL min(^{-1}))</td>
<td>95.0 ± 15.4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets ((\times 10^9))</td>
<td>285.5 ± 51.5</td>
<td>218.3 ± 62.0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin (g dL(^{-1}))</td>
<td>14.1 ± 1.38</td>
<td>13.0 ± 2.0</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit (%)</td>
<td>41.5 ± 3.9</td>
<td>40.0 ± 6.0</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUN (mg dL(^{-1}))</td>
<td>13.3 ± 1.9</td>
<td>37.8 ± 13.8</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum creatinine (mg dL(^{-1}))</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>9.6 ± 3.2</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aPTT (s)</td>
<td>30.8 ± 2.8</td>
<td>35.9 ± 4.8</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT (s)</td>
<td>9.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>10.1 ± 1.8</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kii/V</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where appropriate, data are presented as mean ± SD. ESRD, end-stage renal disease; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; aPTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; PT, prothrombin time; ns, not significant.
binding affinity for antithrombin III, and inhibition of thrombin via the prothrombinase enzyme complex [30], as well as release of heparan sulfate or tissue factor pathway inhibitor from the endothelium [34]. Although the relative contributions of these mechanisms to anticoagulation appear to be non-significant in patients without renal dysfunction [30], they may play a larger role in patients with ESRD. Third, it is possible that the ESRD subjects in this study had acquired platelet dysfunction from their baseline azotemic state. There has been a preponderance of reports documenting altered platelet function in this population. This was likely not the case in the current study, given our subject characteristics. The ESRD subjects were adherent to 4-h hemodialysis treatments three times weekly, and they had very good urea solute removal adequacy. Kt/Vurea, a measure of overall dialysis urea clearance, was 1.7, which is well above the recommended Kt/Vurea threshold of 1.3 [35]. Further, the mean blood urea nitrogen (BUN) concentration was 37.8 mg dL⁻¹, which would not be considered ‘uremic’ by current clinical or laboratory standards. These subjects were not anemic (hemoglobin 13.0 g dL⁻¹; hematocrit 40%), and all subjects were receiving erythropoietin therapy. The mean platelet count was normal (218 000 mL⁻¹). Moreover, the ESRD group had nearly identical PCF and CEM values compared with controls at baseline, suggesting their platelet function was not impaired. The amalgamation of these findings makes the altered platelet function theory unlikely. Lastly, it is possible that chronic unfractionated heparin (UFH) exposure in the ESRD group may sensitize this population to the effects of enoxaparin. The corresponding TGT value appears to be approximately 20–60% more prolonged in the ESRD group relative to the controls. This may suggest that the currently accepted target range of aFXa activity in patients with renal dysfunction may be too liberal. To better delineate the role of using the Hemodyne instrument to monitor LMWH therapy in the ESRD population vs. the traditional aFXa assay, further large-scale clinical trials are needed that specifically evaluate clinical efficacy as well as bleeding events at a given TGT value.

These results question the validity of current recommendations to monitor and maintain 4-h peak aFXa activity between 0.5 and 1.2 IU mL⁻¹ in patients with renal dysfunction. National clinical practice guidelines [1] and the manufacturer of enoxaparin [5] have widely recommended clinicians monitor aFXa activity to avoid overdosing and consequently to prevent adverse bleeding events in patients with renal dysfunction. In fact the manufacturer of enoxaparin recently changed the dosing recommendations for patients with a creatinine clearance estimate less than 30 mL min⁻¹. These new guidelines recommend an enoxaparin dose of 1 mg kg⁻¹ s.c. every 24 h. These dosing guidelines were based in part on published pharmacokinetic data that demonstrated reduced aFXa activity clearance and increased bleeding incidence in patients with renal dysfunction. Another recently published study [36] that used sophisticated pharmacokinetic modeling methods suggested an enoxaparin dose of 0.66 mg kg⁻¹ s.c. every 12 h will maintain peak aFXa concentrations within the goal range of 0.5–1.2 IU mL⁻¹ in patients with severe renal failure.

This study, and other data collected in our laboratory [27], suggests that at a given peak aFXa activity level, the corresponding TGT value appears to be approximately 20–60% more prolonged in the ESRD group relative to the controls. To better delineate the role of using the Hemodyne instrument to monitor LMWH therapy in the ESRD population vs. the traditional aFXa assay, further largescale clinical trials are needed that specifically evaluate clinical efficacy as well as bleeding events at a given TGT value.

In conclusion, this is the first in vivo study to document the utility of using TGT as a monitoring parameter for enoxaparin in ESRD subjects. We have convincingly shown that despite achieving similar aFXa activity concentrations across a 12-h dosing period, patients with ESRD have significantly prolonged TGT values relative to controls. These data document that at a given aFXa level, ESRD subjects are more anticoagulated relative to the normal controls without renal dysfunction. These data corroborate our previous in vitro experiments. Thrombin generation time may become an important monitoring parameter for ESRD patients who receive enoxaparin therapy. Further large-scale studies are needed to confirm these results.
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