This article was downloaded by: [Virginia Commonwealth University Libraries] On: 08 October 2013, At: 13:13 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Difference Equations and Applications

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gdea20</u>

Regarding the Equation x n + 1 = cx n + f(x n - x n - 1)

H. Sedaghat ^a

^a Department of Mathematics , Virginia Commonwealth University , Richmond, VA, 23284-2014, USA Published online: 17 Sep 2010.

To cite this article: H. Sedaghat (2002) Regarding the Equation x n + 1 = cx n + f (x n - x n - 1), Journal of Difference Equations and Applications, 8:7, 667-671

To link to this article: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10236190290032525</u>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions

Journal of Difference Equations and Applications, 2002 Vol. 8 (7), pp. 667–671



Open Problems and Conjectures

Edited by Gerry Ladas

In this section we present some open problems and conjectures about some interesting types of difference equations. Please submit your problems and conjectures with all relevant information to G. Ladas.

Regarding the Equation $x_{n+1} = cx_n + f(x_n - x_{n-1})$

H. SEDAGHAT*

Department of Mathematics, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA 23284-2014, USA

(Received 18 October 2001; Revised 27 November 2001; In final form 27 November 2001)

The second order difference equation

$$x_{n+1} = cx_n + f(x_n - x_{n-1}), \quad c \in [0, 1]$$
 (1)

has a long history in macroeconomics that dates back to well over half a

^{*}Corresponding author. E-mail: hsedagha@vcu.edu

ISSN 1023-6198 print/ISSN 1563-5120 online © 2002 Taylor & Francis Ltd DOI: 10.1080/10236190290032525

H. SEDAGHAT

century. See [1-6] and [8]. Here, we present some of the few facts that are known about Eq. (1), and state a few of the many conjectures that remain unsolved.

BOUNDEDNESS AND ABSORBING INTERVALS

In the early economic literature, the "investment function" $f \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$ was assumed to be monotonically increasing, though not necessarily smooth. The following is established in Ref. [5]:

THEOREM 1 If c < 1 and:

(a) *f* is nondecreasing and bounded below on \mathbb{R} ;

(b) there is $t_0 > 0$ and $a \in (0, 1)$ such that $f(t) \le at$ for all $t \ge t_0$, then for every solution $\{x_n\}$ of Eq. (1), there are real numbers L,M such that $x_n \in [L, M]$ for all n exceeding some positive integer n_0 . In particular, every solution of Eq. (1) is bounded.

The interval [L,M] in Theorem 1 is an *absorbing interval*. Note that L,M do not depend on the initial conditions, although the integer n_0 does. The proof of Theorem 1 utilizes properties of solutions of the first order equation

$$t_{n+1} = f(t_n), \quad t_0 \doteq x_0 - x_{-1}.$$
 (2)

A straightforward modification shows that certain types of decreasing f that are bounded above also satisfy the conclusion of Theorem 1. On the other hand, if c = 1, then Eq. (1) can be written as

$$\Delta x_n = f(\Delta x_{n-1})$$

so each solution $\{x_n\}$ of Eq. (1) is a sequence of partial sums of the corresponding solution $\{t_n\}$ of Eq. (2); i.e.

$$x_n = x_0 + \sum_{k=1}^n t_k.$$

It follows that if f(0) = 0 and if $\{t_n\}$ converges at a sufficiently fast rate to zero (e.g. if $|f(t)| \le \alpha |t|$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$) then $\{x_n\}$ converges to a real number (namely, the sum of the series $x_0 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_n$)which is finite but

OPEN PROBLEMS

depends on initial conditions. Therefore, though every solution is bounded in this case, there are no absorbing intervals.

CONJECTURE 1 The conclusion of Theorem 1 holds if:

- (a) c < 1 and f is nondecreasing;
- (b) there is r > 0 and $a \in (0, 1)$ such that $|f(t)| \le a|t|$ for all t such that $|t| \ge r$.

Conjecture 1 is true for the linear case $f(t) = \alpha t$ where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Thus the hypothesis "boundedness from below" in Theorem 1 is not necessary. On the other hand, if $\alpha < 0$, then the eigenvalues of the linearization of Eq. (1) are both real and the negative eigenvalue exceeds 1 in magnitude provided that $\alpha < -(1 + c)/2$. It follows that Conjecture 1 is false in the linear case if f is decreasing. Similarly, the case of linear f shows Conjecture 1 to be false if a > 1.

STABILITY OF THE ORIGIN

Assume that f(0) = 0 in Eq. (1), so that the origin is the unique fixed point of Eq. (1). Define $F(u, v) \doteq cu + f(u - v)$. If $|f(t)| \le a|t|$ for some a > 0, then

 $|F(u,v)| \le c|u| + a|u - v| \le (c+a)|u| + a|v| \le (c+2a)\max\{|u|, |v|\}.$

It follows that if $c + 2a \in (0, 1)$, then the origin is globally asymptotically stable (see Ref. [7]). Note that this conclusion is valid regardless of whether *f* is monotonic. However, if f(t) = at is linear, then for any $a \in (0, 1)$, the origin is globally asymptotically stable. Numerical simulations for nonlinear *f* tend to support this conclusion more generally; hence we propose:

CONJECTURE 2 If c < 1, f is nondecreasing and there is $a \in (0, 1)$ such that $|f(t)| \le a|t|$ for all real t, then the origin is globally asymptotically stable.

669

H. SEDAGHAT

OSCILLATIONS

670

The following is proved in Ref. [6].

THEOREM 2 Suppose that $g \in C^1(R)$, g(0) = 0 and g'(0) > 1, and define $f(t) = g(t) + \beta$ where $\beta > 0$. If f satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1 and β is large enough that $f(t) \ge 0$ for all t, then every nontrivial solution of Eq. (1) oscillates persistently (i.e. it has two or more limit points).

CONJECTURE 3 The conclusion of Theorem 2 holds if $f(t) = g(t) + \beta$ only satisfies the hypotheses of Conjecture 1.

Numerical simulations indicate that oscillations resulting from the conditions of Theorem 2 are always of the almost periodic type, and in certain cases, eventually periodic. This appears to be a consequence of the increasing nature of f. This naturally leads to an interesting problem.

OPEN PROBLEM. For c < 1, determine some sufficient conditions on f for all solutions of Eq. (1) to be eventually periodic or approach a periodic solution with period 2 or greater.

If f is not differentiable at 0, then solutions of Eq. (1) may exhibit complex or strange behavior. In Ref. [8] it is shown that if

- (a) f(t) = 0 for $t \le 0$;
- (b) there is r > 0 such that f(t) = bt for 0 < t < r, and for $b > (1 + \sqrt{1-c})^2$;
- (c) there is $t_0 > r$ and $a \in (0, 1)$ such that $f(t) \le at$ for all $t \ge t_0$;

then the origin is globally attracting yet unstable for all $c \in [0, 1)$. The same conclusion seems to hold if we only assume that $f(t) \ge bt$ in (b). The lower bound for *b* in statement (b) ensures that the linear segment of Eq. (1) with f(t) = bt generates monotonically divergent solutions (until the interval (0, r) is exited) regardless of the value of *c*.

If f is not monotonic, oscillatory behavior occurs in a peculiar manner. Numerical simulations indicate that the following is possibly true:

CONJECTURE 4 Let f be bounded and f(0) = 0. Assume that f'(t) exists and is continuous, and that f'(t) > 0 for t > 0 and f'(t) < 0 for t < 0. If the right and left derivatives of f at 0 satisfy

$$f'(0^+), |f'(0^-)| \ge (1 + \sqrt{1 - c})^2, \quad c \in [0, 1)$$

OPEN PROBLEMS

then every solution $\{x_n\}$ of Eq. (1) satisfies the following:

$$0 \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n < \limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n < \infty$$

Note that under the hypotheses of Conjecture 4, the origin is both the unique minimum of f and the unique fixed point of Eq. (1). Thus solutions of Eq. (1) appear to be oscillating *above*, *not about* the equilibrium point of Eq. (1).

Hypotheses like f(0) = 0, smoothness or boundedness are not essential; they make it easier to state the conjecture. Further, with them it is easy to show that the limit infimum is non-negative and the limit supremum is finite. A simple example of f that satisfies all of the hypotheses of Conjecture 4 is $f(t) = \arctan|bt|$ with $b > (1 + \sqrt{1-c})^2$.

References

- R.M. Goodwin, The nonlinear accelerator and the persistence of business cycles, Econometrica, 19 (1951), 1–17.
- [2] J.R. Hicks, (1965) A Contribution to the Theory of the Trade Cycle, 2nd ed, Clarendon Press, Oxford, [First edition: Oxford University Press, Oxford, (1950)].
- [3] T. Puu, Nonlinear Economic Dynamics, 3rd ed, Springer, Berlin, 1993.
- [4] P.A. Samuelson, Interaction between the multiplier analysis and the principle of acceleration, *The Review of Economic Statistics*, 21 (1939), 75–78.
- [5] H. Sedaghat, A class of nonlinear second order difference equations from macroeconomics, *Nonlinear Analysis*, 29 (1997), 593–603.
- [6] H. Sedaghat, Bounded oscillations in the Hicks business cycle model and other delay equations, *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications*, 4 (1998), 325–341.
- [7] H. Sedaghat, Geometric stability conditions for higher order difference equations, J. Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 224 (1998), 255–272.
- [8] H. Sedaghat, Nonlinear difference equations: theory with applications to social science models, forthcoming monograph.

671